Resolution



of the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL):

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients According to Section 35a SGB V Niraparib (Reassessment of an Orphan Drug after the €50 Million Turnover Limit Was Exceeded (Ovarian Carcinoma, Tubal Carcinoma, or Primary Peritoneal Carcinomatosis; Maintenance Treatment after Second-Line Therapy))

of 2 April 2020

On 2 April 2020, the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) resolved by written statement to amend the Directive on the Prescription of Medicinal Products in SHI-accredited Medical Care (Pharmaceuticals Directive, AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008/22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended on DD Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD MM YYYY BX), as follows:

I. Annex XII will be amended as follows:

1. The information relating to niraparib as amended by the resolution of 7 June 2018 (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 12 July 2018 B3) is hereby repealed.

2. Annex XII shall be amended in alphabetical order to include niraparib as follows:

Niraparib

Resolution of: 2 April 2020 Entry into force on: 2 April 2020 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD MM YYYY Bx

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 16 November 2017):

Zejula is indicated as monotherapy for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with platinum-sensitive relapsed high grade serous epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response (complete or partial) to platinum-based chemotherapy.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

Adult patients with platinum-sensitive relapsed high grade serous epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response (complete or partial) to platinum-based chemotherapy; maintenance treatment

Appropriate comparator therapy: - olaparib or - monitoring wait-and-see approach Extent and probability of the additional benefit of niraparib compared with olaparib:

An additional benefit is not proven Reso

Study results according to endpoints¹:

Adult patients with platinum-sensitive relapsed high grade serous epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response (complete or partial) to platinum-based chemotherapy; maintenance treatment

Indirect comparison: Niraparib (NOVA study²) vs Olaparib (SOLO2 study³ and Study 19⁴) via the bridge comparator placebo:

Mortality

Endpoint	Niraparib (intervention) or Olaparib (appropriate comparator therapy)		Placebo		Group difference	
	N Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)		Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a	
Overall survival				0000		
Niraparib vs. pla	cebo			10		
NOVA	372	n.a. 189 n.a. 60 (16.1) 35 (19.3)			0.73 [0.48; 1.13] 0.155	
Olaparib vs place	Olaparib vs placebo					
Study 19	136	29.8 [no data available] 29 (72.1)	129	27.8 [no data available] 112 (86.8)	0.73 [0.55; 0.95] 0.021	
SOLO2	196	196 e n.a. 45 (23.0)		n.a. 27 (27.3)	0.80 [0.50; 1.31] 0.427	
Total					0.74 [0.59; 0.94] 0.011 ^b	
Indirect comparison via bridge comparator (according to Bucher) ^b : Niraparib vs olaparib				0.99 [0.61; 1.60] 0.956		

¹ Data from the dossier evaluation of the IQWiG (A19-88) and the addendum (A20-16) unless otherwise indicated.

² Data cut-off of 30 May 2016

³ Data cut-off 19/09/2016

⁴ Data cut-off 09/05/2016

Morbidity

Endpoint	Niraparib (intervention) or Olaparib (appropriate comparator therapy)			Placebo	Group difference
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value
	Patients with event n (%)			Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^a
Progression-free survival (PFS)					
No usable data ^c					
Health status					
EQ-5D VAS					
No usable data ^d				60	
Health-related qu	ality o	f life		repeater	
Endpoint		Niraparih		Placebo	Group difforence

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint	Niraparib (intervention) or Olaparib (appropriate comparator therapy)			Placebo	Group difference
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	monthsevent in me6 CI][95% Cwith eventPatients with		HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD)ª
FACT-O total score					
No usable datae	No usable data ^e				

Side effects

Endpoint		Niraparib Placebo (intervention) or		Placebo	Group difference	
	(appr	Olaparib propriate comparator therapy)				
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value	
	Patients with event n (%)			Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^a	
Adverse events ((AE) (pi	esented additionally)			
Niraparib vs. place	ebo					
NOVA	367	no data available 367 (100.0)	179	no data available 171 (95.5)	-	
Olaparib vs placel	bo			6		
Study 19	136	0.1 [no data available] 132 (97.1)	128	0.3 [no data available] 119 (93.0)	-	
SOLO2	195	0.1 99 0.2 [no data available] 192 (98.5) 94 (94.9)		[no data available]	-	
Serious adverse	events	(SAE)			·	
Niraparib vs. place	ebo	·ont				
NOVA (gBRCAmut cohort)	136	na. [22.8; n.a.] 42 (30.9)	65	n.a. [11.0; n.a.] 7 (10.8)	2.36 [1.04; 5.34] 0.034	
NOVA (Cohort: non-gBRCAmut)	231	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 68 (29.4)	114	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 20 (17.5)	1.69 [1.02; 2.81] 0.040	
Total		1.85 [1.21; 2.85] 0.005 ^b			[1.21; 2.85]	
Olaparib vs placel	bo				·	
Study 19	136	67.9 [no data available] 31 (22.8)] 128 42.0 [no data availa 11 (8.6)		1.61 [0.79; 3.46] 0.218	
SOLO2	195	n.a. 99 n.a. 35 (17.9) 8 (8.1)		1.64 [0.79; 3.84] 0.234		
Total	1.62 [0.94; 2.81] 0.083 ^b			[0.94; 2.81]		
	ndirect comparison via bridge comparator (according to Bucher) ^b : - ^f					

Endpoint	Niraparib (intervention) or Olaparib (appropriate comparator therapy)		Placebo		Group difference	
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event	event in months [95% CI] event in mont [95% CI]		HR [95% CI] p value Absolute	
		n (%)		n (%)	difference (AD) ^a	
Severe adverse e	events	(CTCAE grade ≥3)				
Niraparib vs. place	ebo	1	1	1	1	
NOVA (gBRCAmut cohort)	136	1.2 [0.8; 2.0] 108 (79.4)	65	n.a. [11.0; n.a.] 14 (21.5)	5.82 [3.32; 10.22] < 0.001	
NOVA (Cohort: non-gBRCAmut)	231	1.6 [1.0; 2.7] 164 (71.0)	114	n.a. [20.1:0.a.] 27 (23.7)	4.61 [3.06; 6.96] < 0.001	
Total			5.00 [3.59; 6.97] < 0.001 ^b			
Olaparib vs placel	bo	•		repec		
Study 19	136	22.9 [no data available] 59 (43.4)	128	n.a. 28 (21.9)	1.88 [1.20; 3.01] 0.013	
SOLO2	195	n.a. 72 (36.9) 99 n.a. 18 (18.2)		1.92 [1.17; 3.33] 0.012		
Total		1.90 [1.34; 2.68] < 0.001 ^b				
	Indirect comparison via bridge comparator (according to Bucher)b:2.63Niraparib vs olaparib[1.63; 4.25]< 0.001					
Discontinuation	becaus	se of AE				
Niraparib vs. place	ebo					
NOVA (gBRCAmut cohort)	136	n.a. [23.6; n.a.] 18 (13.2)	65	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 1 (1.5)	6.00 [0.79; 45.54] 0.049	
NOVA (Cohort: non-gBRCAmut)	231	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 36 (15.6)	114	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 3 (2.6)	5.99 [1.84; 19.55] < 0.001	
Total	5.99 [2.16; 16.64] < 0.001 ^b			[2.16; 16.64]		
Olaparib vs placel	bo					

Endpoint	Niraparib (intervention) or Olaparib (appropriate comparator therapy)		Placebo		Group difference	
	Ν	N Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)		Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD)ª	
Study 19	136	n.a. 8 (5.9)	128	n.a. 2 (1.6)	1.96 [0.44; 13.68] 0.528	
SOLO2	195 n.a. 21 (10.8)		99	n.a. 2 (2.0)	3.71 [1.07; 23.40] 0.063	
Total	2.79 [0.89; 8.80] 0.080 ^b					
	Indirect comparison via bridge comparator (according to Bucher) ^b : - ^f					
Specific adverse events						
No usable data						
 References: ^a Absolute difference (AD) given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation ^b Calculation of the IQWiG ^c No usable data are available for PFS because no results were reported for the total population of the NOVA study ^d no usable data available because the studies used different follow-up strategies for this endpoint ^e no usable data available because this endpoint was not surveyed in the NOVA study ^f The results cannot be interpreted because of an insufficient certainty of results for this data constellation 						
Abbreviations used: AD = absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; FACT-O = Functional Analysis of Cancer Therapy – Ovarian; EQ-5D VAS = European Quality of Life Questionnaire 5 Dimensions Visual Analogue Scale; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; SAE = serious adverse event; AE = adverse event; vs = versus						

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary
	Risk of bias	
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No difference relevant for the benefit assessment
Morbidity	n.a.	No data suitable for the benefit assessment
Health-related quality of life	n.a.	No data suitable for the benefit assessment
Side effects	Ļ	Disadvantage in the endpoint severe AE (CTCAE grade ≥ 3)

Explanations:

↑: positive statistically significant and relevant effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↓: negative statistically significant and relevant effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑: positive statistically significant and relevant effect with high reliability of data

↓↓: negative statistically significant and relevant effect with high reliability of data

↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment

n.a.: not assessable

en repealed 2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

Adult patients with platinum-sensitive relapsed high grade serous epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response (complete or partial) to platinum-based chemotherapy; maintenance treatment

approx. 1,900 to 2,400 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Zejula® (active ingredient: niraparib) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 28 January 2020):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/zejula-epar-productinformation de.pdf

Treatment with niraparib should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology, specialists in gynaecology, and specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement who are experienced in the treatment of patients with ovarian cancer.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Adult patients with platinum-sensitive relapsed high grade serous epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response (complete or partial) to platinum-based chemotherapy; maintenance treatment

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Niraparib	€100,953.53				
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
Olaparib	€82,741.46				
Monitoring wait-and-see approach	not quantifiable				

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 March 2020

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

II. Entry into force

- 1. The resolution will enter into force with effect from the day of its publication on the internet on the website of the G-BA on 2 April 2020.
- 2. The period of validity of the resolution is limited to 1 October 2020.

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at <u>www.g-ba.de</u>.

Berlin, 2 April 2020

Federal Joint Committee in accordance with Section 91 SGB V The Chair

Prof. Hecken