Resolution



of the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL):

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients According to Section 35a SGB V Dulaglutide (Renewed Benefit Assessment Because of New Scientific Knowledge in Accordance with Section 13: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus)

of 16 July 2020

In its session on 16 July 2020, the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) resolved to amend the Directive on the Prescription of Medicinal Products in SHI-accredited Medical Care (Pharmaceuticals Directive, AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008/22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended on DD Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD MM YYYY BX), as follows:

I. Annex XII will be amended as follows:

- 1. The information relating to active ingredient dulaglutide as amended by the resolution of 16 July 2015 (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 11 September 15 B1) is hereby repealed.
- 2. Annex XII shall be amended in alphabetical order to include the active ingredient dulaglutide as follows:

Dulaglutide

Resolution of: 16 July 2020 Entry into force on: 16 July 2020

Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD MM YYYY Bx

Therapeutic indication (according to the product information last revised October 2019):

Trulicity is indicated for the treatment of adults with insufficiently controlled type 2 diabetes mellitus as an adjunct to diet and exercise:

- as monotherapy when metformin is considered inappropriate due to intolerance or contraindications
- in addition to other medicinal products for the treatment of diabetes.

For study results with respect to combinations, effects on glycaemic control and cardiovascular events, and the populations studied, see sections 4.4, 4.5 and 5.1.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

 Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement alone do not sufficiently control the blood sugar and for whom the use of metformin is not suitable because of intolerance

Appropriate comparator therapy:

• Sulphonylurea (glibenclamide or glimepiride)

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of dulaglutide as monotherapy compared with a sulphonylurea (glibenclamide or glimepiride):

Additional benefit not proven

b) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with one other hypoglycaemic agent (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Metformin + sulphonylurea (glibenclamide or glimepiride) or
- Metformin + empagliflozin or
- Metformin + liraglutide

liraglutide only for patients with manifest cardiovascular disease who receive further medication for the treatment of cardiovascular risk factors, in particular antihypertensive drugs, anticoagulants, and/or lipid-lowering agents¹

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of dulaglutide in combination with other anti-diabetics compared with liraglutide:

Additional benefit not proven

¹ for the operationalisation, see study protocol: Marso et al. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes, N Engl J Med 2016; 375: 311–322. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1603827

 Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with at least two hypoglycaemic agents (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Human insulin + metformin or
- Human insulin + empagliflozin or
- Human insulin + liraglutide or
- Human insulin if the particular combination partners in accordance with the product information are incompatible or contraindicated or not sufficiently effective because of an advanced type 2 diabetes mellitus
 - Empagliflozin or liraglutide only for patients with manifest cardiovascular disease who receive further medication for the treatment of cardiovascular risk factors, in particular anti-hypertensive drugs, anticoagulants, and/or lipid-lowering agents²

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of dulaglutide in combination with other anti-diabetics compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

Additional benefit not proven

- d) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with insulin (with or without another hypoglycaemic agent) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar
 - d1) in patients without renal insufficiency

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- The optimisation of the human insulin regimen (possibly + metformin *or* empagliflozin *or* liraglutide)
 - Empagliflozin or liraglutide only for patients with manifest cardiovascular disease who receive further medication for the treatment of cardiovascular risk factors, in particular anti-hypertensive drugs, anticoagulants, and/or lipid-lowering agents

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of dulaglutide in combination with other anti-diabetics compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

Hint for a minor additional benefit.

d2) in patients with moderate or severe renal insufficiency in accordance with chronic kidney disease CKD stage 3 and 4 defined by an eGFR value < 60 to ≥ 15 ml/min/1.73 m²

Appropriate comparator therapy:

• The optimisation of the human insulin regimen (possibly + metformin *or* liraglutide)

liraglutide only for patients with manifest cardiovascular disease who receive further medication for the treatment of cardiovascular risk factors, in particular antihypertensive drugs, anticoagulants, and/or lipid-lowering agents¹

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of dulaglutide in combination with other anti-diabetics compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

² for the operationalisation, see study protocols: Zinman et al. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 2117–28. DOI 10.1056/NEJMoa1504720 or Marso et al. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes, N Engl J Med 2016; 375: 311–322. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1603827

Hint for a minor additional benefit.

Study results according to endpoints³

On a) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement alone do not sufficiently control the blood sugar and for whom the use of metformin is not suitable because of intolerance

in patients with high cardiovascular risk

No data relevant for the benefit assessment were submitted.

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary
	Risk of bias	
Mortality	Ø	No data relevant for the benefit assessment were submitted.
Morbidity	Ø	No data relevant for the benefit assessment were submitted.
Health-related quality of life	Ø	No data submitted.
Side effects	Ø	No data relevant for the benefit assessment were submitted.

Explanations:

- ↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data
- ↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data
- ↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference
- Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.
- n.a.: not assessable
- On: b) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with one other hypoglycaemic agent (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar
 - c) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with at least two hypoglycaemic agents (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar and
 - d) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with insulin (with or without another hypoglycaemic agent) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

each in patients with high cardiovascular risk

REWIND study:

Endpoint category	Intervention	control	Intervention
Endpoint	Dulaglutide	placebo	<u>vs control</u>

³ Data from the dossier evaluation of the IQWiG (A20-09) of 29 April 2020 unless otherwise indicated.

	N	Median time to event [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI]; p value ^a
Mortality					
Overall mortality	4949	4949 no data 4952 no data available 536 (10.8) 592 (12.0)		0.90 [0.80; 1.01]; 0.067	
Morbidity					
MACE ^b	4949	no data available 565 (11.4)	4952	no data available 642 (13.0)	0.87 [0.77; 0.97]; 0.012 AD 1.6%
Cardiovascular death ^{c, d}	4949	no data available 317 (6.4)	4952	no data available 346 (7.0)	0.91 [0.78; 1.06]; 0.211
Non-lethal myocardial infarction ^{d, e}	4949	no data available 169 (3.4)	4952	no data available 188 (3.8)	0.89 [0.72; 1.09]; 0.267
Non-lethal stroke ^d	4949	no data available 135 (2.7)	4952	no data available 175 (3.5)	0.76 [0.61; 0.95]; 0.017 <i>AD 0</i> .8%
Myocardial infarction		No	usable (data available ^f	
lethal ^d	4949	no data available 26 (0.5)	4952	no data available 20 (0.4)	1.29 [0.72; 2.30]; 0.397
non-lethal ^d	4949	no data available 169 (3.4)	4952	no data available 188 (3.8)	0.89 [0.72; 1.09]; 0.267
Stroke ^g	4949	no data available 158 (3.2)	4952	no data available 205 (4.1)	0.76 [0.62; 0.94]; 0.010 <i>AD 0</i> .9%
lethal ^d	4949	no data available 26 (0.5)	4952	no data available 33 (0.7)	0.78 [0.47; 1.30]; 0.344
non-lethal ^d	4949	no data available 135 (2.7)	4952	no data available 175 (3.5)	0.76 [0.61; 0.95]; 0.017 <i>AD 0.8%</i>
Diabetic retinopathy	4949	no data available 95 (1.9)	4952	no data available 76 (1.5)	1.24 [0.92; 1.68]; 0.156

Diabetic retinopathy requiring laser therapy ^d	4949	no data available 53 (1.1)	4952	no data available 35 (0.7)	1.51 [0.98; 2.31]; 0.059
Diabetic retinopathy requiring laser vitrectromy ^d	4949	no data available 19 (0.4)	4952	no data available 13 (0.3)	1.45 [0.72; 2.94]; 0.302
Diabetic retinopathy requiring anti- VEGF therapy ^d	4949	no data available 50 (1.0)	4952	no data available 44 (0.9)	1.13 [0.75; 1.69]; 0.561
Chronic renal replacement therapy ^h	4949	no data available 16 (0.3)	4952	no data available 21 (0.4)	0.75 [0.39; 1.44]; 0.393
Persistent deterioration of renal function ⁱ	4949	no data available 34 (0.7)	4952	no data available 53 (1.1)	0.63 [0.41; 0.97]; 0.037 <i>AD 0.4%</i>
Hospitalisation because of cardiac insufficiencyh or urgent visit because of cardiac insufficiency	4949	no data available 213 (4.3)	4952	no data available 226 (4.6)	0.93 [0.77; 1.12]; 0.456
Health-related qualit	y of life				
	No dat	a available			
Side effects					
Total rates					
AE (presented additionally)	4949	4575 (92.4)	4952	4535 (91.6)	-
SAE	4949	1997 (40.4)	4952	2056 (41.5)	RR ^a 0.97 [0.93; 1.02]; 0.249
Discontinuation because of AE	4949	434 (8.8)	4952	298 (6.0)	RR ^a 1.46 [1.26; 1.68]; < 0.001 <i>AD</i> 2.8%

Specific AE					
Severe hypoglycaemias	4949	57 (1.2)	4952	63 (1.3)	RR ^a 0.91 [0.63; 1.29]; 0.681
Acute pancreatitisk	4949	23 (0.5)	4952	13 (0.3)	RR ^a 1.75 [0.91; 3.36] ^l ; 0.098
Gastrointestinal disorders (SOC, AE)	4949	2346 (47.4)	4952	1689 (34.1)	RR ^a 1.39 [1.32; 1.46]: < 0.001 AD 13.1%
Nausea (PT, AE)	4949	737 (14.9)	4952	271 (5.5)	RR ^a 2.72 [2.38; 3.11]; < 0.001 <i>AD</i> 9.4%
Diarrhoea (PT, AE)	4949	671 (13.6)	4952	442 (8.9)	RR ^a 1.52 [1.36; 1.70]; <0,001 <i>AD 4.7%</i>

- a: Calculation by the IQWiG, unconditional exact test (CSZ method)
- c: combined cardiovascular endpoint without quiescent myocardial infarction
- c. including deaths of unknown cause
- d. All events in the entire course of the study and not the events included in the combined endpoint are presented.
- e. Quiescent myocardial infarctions were not included in the analysis of non-lethal myocardial infarctions presented.
- f. Quiescent myocardial infarctions were also included in the summary analysis of lethal and non-lethal myocardial infarctions. There are no evaluations without quiescent myocardial infarctions.
- g. lethal and non-lethal; patients who had several strokes were counted only once
- h. Dialysis or kidney transplantation
- I. Persistent (in two consecutive measurements) doubling of the serum creatinine compared with baseline and persistent (in two consecutive calculations) eGFR ≤ 45 ml/min/1.73 m²
- j. An urgent visit was defined as an urgent, unscheduled visit to a doctor or emergency room with clinical signs and symptoms of cardiac insufficiency and the need for additional or intensified therapy.
- k: These are adjudicated events based on 2 of 3 of the following criteria: 1. Abdominal pain characteristic of acute pancreatitis, 2. ≥ 3-fold increase in the upper normal value limit of serum amylase and/or serum lipase, and 3. Detection by means of CT or MRI. discrepancies in Module 4 E; the events from the study report adjudicated by an independent, external, and blinded CEC are presented All events listed were symptomatic.
- I. Peto-OR as estimator for the RR, IQWiG calculation

Abbreviations:

AD: absolute difference; CEC: clinical endpoint committee; CT: computed tomography; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; MACE: major adverse cardiovascular event (cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction; stroke); MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; n: number of patients with (at least one): N: number of patients evaluated; PT: preferred term; RR: relative risk; SOC: system organ class; SAE: serious adverse event; AE: adverse event; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor.

Supplementary presented endpoints of the REWIND study

	Intervention Dulaglutide				<u>contro</u> placek	Intervention vs control	
	N ^a	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at month 60 MV ^b (SE)	N ^a	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at month 60 MV ^b (SE)	MD [95% CI]; p value ^b
HbA1c (%)	no data availa ble	7.3 (1.1)	-0.3 (0.02)	no data avail able	7.4 (1.1)	0.2 (0.02)	-0.51 [-0.57; -0.45]; < 0.001°
Body weight [kg]	no data availa ble	88.5 (18.4)	-3.5 (0.09)	no data avail able	88.9 (18.6)	-2.2 (0.09)	-1.31 [-1.56; -1.07]; < 0.001

a: Number of patients who were taken into account in the evaluation for the calculation of the estimation of the effect; the values at the start of study can be based on other patient figures.

Abbreviations:

HbA1c: glycohaemoglobin; CI: confidence interval; MD: Mean Difference; MMRM: mixed model with repeated measurements; MV: mean value; N: number of patients evaluated; SD: Standard Deviation; vs: versus

b) In adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with one other hypoglycaemic agent (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar and with high cardiovascular risk⁴

AWARD-6 study:

Endpoint category	Intervention Dulaglutide + metformin			Lira	<u>contro</u> glutide + n	Intervention vs control	
Endpoint	Ν	Patients with event n (%)		N	Patients with event n (%)		RR [95% CI]; p value ^a
Mortality		11 (70)				, , , ,	p value
Overall mortality	20	(0)		24	0	(0)	n.c.
	N ^a	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at the end of study MV (SE)		Values at start of study MV (SD)	at the end of study	L 27
Morbidity							
Health status (EQ-5D-VAS)	No usable data available for the relevant sub-population ^b						
Health-related quality of life							
	No d	ata availabl	e ^c				

b: MMRM, adjusted for values at the start of study, rounds, interaction between treatment and rounds, and patient

⁴ in accordance with the inclusion criteria of the REWIND study

Endpoint category	Dula	Intervention aglutide + metformin	Lira	<u>control</u> glutide + metformin	Intervention vs control		
Endpoint	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI]; p value ^a		
Side effects							
Total rates							
AEs (additionally shown)	20	14 (70.0)	24	12 (50.0)	-		
SAE	20	0 (0)	24	2 (8.3)	0.24 [0.01; 4.69]; 0.218		
Discontinuation because of AE	20	0 (0)	24	3 (12.5)	0.17 [0.01; 3.11]; 0.119		
Specific AE							
Non-severe, symptom	omatio	c, confirmed hypoglycae	emias				
PG ≤ 70 mg/dl		No usable data availa	able fo	or the relevant sub-pop	oulation ^{.d}		
PG < 54 mg/dl		No usable data availa	able fo	or the relevant sub-pop	oulation ^{.d}		
Severe hypoglycaemias		No usable data available for the relevant sub-population.d					
Acute pancreatitis ^e	20	0 (0)	24	0 (0)	n.c.		

- a. IQWiG's calculation of RR, CI (asymptotic), and p value (unconditional exact test, CSZ method). In the case of 0 events in one study arm, the correction factor 0.5 was used to calculate effect and CI in both study arms.
- b: In Module 4 B, for the relevant sub-population, only evaluations from which data that occurred after administration of an emergency medication were excluded are available. It was possible to administer emergency medication because of severe, persistent hyperglycaemia or as follow-up treatment after the end of the study medication.
- c: The instruments APPADL and IW-SP surveyed for the endpoint category by the pharmaceutical company are assigned differently to the endpoint category morbidity. The associated endpoints are not included in the benefit assessment (see Section 2.8.3.4.3.2 of the IQWiG report).
- d. In Module 4 B, only evaluations for the relevant sub-population in which hypoglycaemias that occurred after the administration of emergency medication were not considered are available. It was possible to administer emergency medication because of severe, persistent hyperglycaemia or as follow-up treatment after the end of the study medication.
- e. Adjudicated events based on 2 of 3 of the following criteria: 1. Abdominal pain characteristic of acute pancreatitis, 2. 3-fold increase in serum amylase and/or serum lipase, and 3. CT or MRI results

Abbreviations:

APPADL: Ability to Perform Physical Activities of Daily Living; CT: computed tomography; EQ-5D: European Quality of Life Questionnaire – 5 Dimensions; IW-SP: Impact of Weight on Self-Perception; CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; MV: mean value; N: Number of patients assessed; n.c: not calculable; RR: relative risk; SD: standard deviation; SE: standard error; SAE: serious adverse event; AE: adverse event; VAS: visual analogue scale

On: b) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment <u>with one</u> other hypoglycaemic agent (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ Risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	There is no relevant difference for the benefit assessment

Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	No more than negligible benefit for the endpoint "non-lethal stroke", in the combined MACE endpoint, in particular in the single component non-lethal stroke, and in the endpoint "persistent deterioration of renal function"
Health-related quality of life	Ø	No data submitted.
Side effects	↓	For the benefit assessment, there was a relevant disadvantage in the endpoint "discontinuation because of adverse events" and the endpoint "gastrointestinal disorders"

Explanations:

- ↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data
- ↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data
- ↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference
- Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

On c) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with at least two hypoglycaemic agents (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ Risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	There is no relevant difference for the benefit assessment
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	No more than negligible benefit for the endpoint "non-lethal stroke", in the combined MACE endpoint, in particular in the single component non-lethal stroke, and in the endpoint "persistent deterioration of renal function"
Health-related quality of life	Ø	No data submitted.
Side effects	↓	For the benefit assessment, there was a relevant disadvantage in the endpoint "discontinuation because of adverse events" and the endpoint "gastrointestinal disorders"

Explanations:

- ↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data
- ↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data
- Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.
- n.a.: not assessable

On d) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with insulin (with or without another hypoglycaemic agent) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

d1) in patients without renal insufficiency

AWARD-4 study⁵:

Endpoint category Endpoint	Dul insu	ervention laglutide + ulin lispro ± netformin	control Insulin glargine + insulin lispro ± metformin		Intervention vs control RR [95% CI]			
	N	Patients with events n (%)	N	Patients with events n (%)	p value			
<u>Mortality</u>	<u>Mortality</u>							
Overall mortality	295	1 (0.3)°	296	3 (1.0)°	0.37 [0.05; 2.62]; 0.624ª			
Morbidity								
Cardiovascular morbidity ^c	295	5 (1.7)	296	12 (4.1)				
	N ^b	Change at end of study MV ^c (SE)	N ^b	Change at end of study MV ^c (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value			
Health status (EQ-5D VAS)	279	-0.46 ^e (1.01)	282	-0.18 ^e (1.01)	-0.28 [-3.08; 2.52] ^d 0.815			
Endpoints additionally s	shown							
HbA1c changes[%]	273	-1.48 (0.08)	276	-1.23 (0.08)	-0.25 [-0.42; -0.07] ^d 0.005			
Body weight [kg]	225	0.34 ^f (0.32)	232	3.65 ^f (0.31)	-3.31 [-4.17; -2.45] < 0.001			
Health-related quality of	<u>life</u>							
No usable data are availa	ble.							
Endpoint category Endpoint	Dul insu	ervention laglutide + ılin lispro ± ıetformin	control Insulin glargine + insulin lispro ± metformin		Intervention vs control RR [95% CI]			
	N	Patients with events n (%)	N	Patients with events n (%)	p value			
Side effects								
AE	295	223 (75.6)	296	211 (71.3)				
SAE ^{g,h}	295	27 (9.2)	296	54 (18.2)	0.50 [0.33; 0.77]			

⁵ Data from the dossier evaluation of the IQWiG (A15-07) of 29 April 2015; RCT; AWARD-4 study; direct comparison: Dulaglutide + insulin lispro ± metformin vs insulin glargine + insulin lispro ± metformin.

					0.001
Discontinuation because of AE ^{h,i}	295	31 (10.5)	296	9 (3.0)	3.46 [1.67; 7.13] ^d < 0.001 ^k
Severe hypoglycaemias ^k	No usa	able data are a	vailable		
symptomatic hypoglycaemia ^l (blood sugar < 54 mg/dl)	295	198 (68.0)	296	204 (69.2)	0.98 [0.88; 1.10] 0.772
symptomatic hypoglycaemia (blood sugar ≤ 70 mg/dl)	295	237 (80.3)	296	247 (83.4)	0.96 [0.89; 1.04] ^d 0.391 ^k
Gastrointestinal disorders	295	142 (48.1)	296	54 (18.2)	2.64 [2.02; 3.45] ^d < 0.001 ^k
Nausea	295	76 (25.8)	296	10 (3.4)	7.63 [4.02; 14.45] ^d < 0.001 ^k
Diarrhoea	295	50 (16.9)	296	18 (6.1)	2.79 [1.67; 4.66] ^d < 0.001 ^k
Vomiting	295	36 (12.2)	296	5 (1.7)	7.22 [2.88; 18.15] ^d < 0.001 ^k
Dyspepsia	295	27 (9.2)	296	1 (0.3)	27.09 [3.71; 198.07] ^d < 0.001 ^k
Loss of appetite	295	27 (9.2)	296	0 (0)	55.19 [3.38; 900.51] ^m < 0.001 ^k
Pancreatitis	295	0 (0)	296	0 (0)	n.c.
Reaction at the injection site	295	1 (0.3)	296	0 (0.0)	3.01 [0.12; 73.59] ^m 0.349 ^k

a: Peto OR

For the endpoint cardiovascular morbidity, only the event rates are presented.

- d: Own calculation of the IQWiG.
- e: Endpoint change calculated using an ANCOVA model with LOCF for the difference in the changes from the start of study between treatment arms; adjusted for value at the start of study, country, and metformin treatment
- f: MMRM evaluation of the ITT population.
- g: Hypoglycaemia was also recorded. From the study documentation available, there is no indication that the result was different when events related to hypoglycaemia were included.
- h: Results up to week 52.
- i: Hypoglycaemia was also recorded. For the endpoint discontinuations because of AE, 0 vs 1 patients in the dulaglutide or insulin glargine treatment arm discontinued therapy because of hypoglycaemia. Deducting these patients with event yields the effect RR 3.89 [1.82; 8.32]^f; p = <0.001^g.
- j: IQWiG's own calculation, unconditional exact test (CSZ method according to Martín Andrés A, Silva Mato A. Choosing the optimal unconditioned test for comparing two independent proportions. Computat Stat Data Anal 1994; 17(5): 555–574).
- k: It was not possible to derive results on severe hypoglycaemia from the operationalisations available.
- I: Results up to week 52, not including observations after emergency medication.
- m: Own calculation of the IQWiG, RR with correction factor of 0.5

Abbreviations: ANCOVA: analysis of covariance; CI: confidence interval; EQ-5D: European Quality of Life-5 Dimensions; ITT: intention to treat; CI: confidence interval; LOCF: Last Observation Carried Forward; MMRM: Mixed Models for Repeated Measurements; MV: mean value; MD: Mean difference, N: number of patients evaluated; n: number of patients with event; n.c.: not calculable; RCT:

b: Number of patients included in the evaluation to calculate the effect estimator; values at the start of study may be based on other patient numbers.

c: Data on cardiovascular morbidity as well as other micro- and macrovascular complications are not usable or not available for the assessment of an additional benefit.

randomised controlled trial; RR: relative risk; SD: standard deviation; SE: standard error; SAE: serious adverse event; AE: adverse event; vs: versus

d1) in patients without renal insufficiency

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	There is no relevant difference for the benefit assessment
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	There is no relevant difference for the benefit assessment
Health-related quality of life	Ø	No data submitted.
Side effects	1	For the benefit assessment, there was a relevant advantage in the prevention of severe adverse events

Explanations:

- ↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data
- ↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data
- ↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference
- Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.
- n.a.: not assessable

d2) in patients with moderate or severe renal insufficiency in accordance with chronic kidney disease CKD stage 3 and 4 defined by an eGFR value < 60 to \geq 15 ml/min/1.73 m²

AWARD-7 study:

Endpoint category Endpoint	Intervention Dulaglutide + insulin lispro			<u>control</u> ulin glargine + nsulin lispro	Intervention vs control
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI]; p valueª
Mortality					
Overall mortality	192	3 (1.6)	194	6 (3.1)	0.50 [0.12; 2.04]; 0.324
Morbidity					
Progression to end- stage renal disease (ESRD) ^b	192	20 (10.4)	194	27 (13.9)	0.75 [0.43; 1.29]; 0.299°
Health-related qualit	y of life)			
	Endpo	oint not surveyed			
Side effects					
Total rates	ı		ı		
AE (presented additionally)	192	172 (89.6)	194	160 (82.5)	-
SAE	192	41 (21.4)	194	56 (28.9)	0.74 [0.52; 1.06]; 0.098
Discontinuation because of AE	192	22 (11.5) ^d	194	7 (3.6)	3.32 [1.45; 7.62]; 0.002 <i>AD 7.</i> 9%
Specific AE					
Gastrointestinal disorders (SOC, AE)	192	89 (46.4)	194	46 (23.7)	1.97 [1.47; 2.64]; < 0.001 <i>AD</i> 22.7%
Diarrhoea (PT, AE)	192	33 (17.2)	194	14 (7.2)	2.39 [1.32; 4.30]; 0.003 <i>AD 10%</i>
Nausea (PT, AE)	192	38 (19.8)	194	9 (4.6)	4.26 [2.12; 8.53]; < 0.001 <i>AD 15.2%</i>

Vomiting (PT, AE)	192	26 (13.5)	194	9 (4.6)	2.93 [1.41; 6.05]; 0.002 AD 8.9%
Gastrointestinal disorders (SOC, AE)	192	89 (46.4)	194	46 (23.7)	1.97 [1.47; 2.64]; < 0.001 <i>AD</i> 22.7%
Non-severe, symptom	natic, co	onfirmed hypoglyca	emias		
PG ≤ 54 mg/dl	190°	58 (30.5)	194	80 (41.2)	0.74 [0.56; 0.97] ^c ; 0.029 ^c AD 10.7%
PG < 70 mg/dl presented additionally	190°	88 (46.3)	194	124 (63.9)	0.72 [0.60; 0.87] ^c ; < 0.001 ^c AD 17.6%
Severe hypoglycaemias ^f	190 ^e	0 (0)	194	12 (6.2)	0.04 [0.00; 0.68] ^c ; < 0.001 ^c AD 6.2%
Acute pancreatitis ^g	192	2 (1.0)	194	1 (0.5)	1.98 [0.20; 19.10] ^h ; 0.601°

- a. RR, 95% CI, and p value Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel method stratified by CKD category at start of study
- b. includes the following events: chronic renal disease stage V, need for renal replacement therapy or eGFR $< 15 \, \text{ml/min}/1.73 \, \text{m}^2$
- c. IQWiG's calculation of RR, CI (asymptotic), and p value (unconditional exact test (CSZ method)). In the case of 0 events in one study arm, the correction factor 0.5 was used to calculate effect and CI in both study arms.
- d. Contain a "sudden death" event
- e. No data on hypoglycaemia after the start of study were available for two patients in the dulaglutide treatment arm who dropped out of the study on the day of the start of study. As in the study report, they were excluded from the analysis of hypoglycaemia.
- f. The present analysis of the endpoint does not consider events that occurred after the administration of an emergency medication or after the end of the study medication. However, the study documents show that this applies at most to one patient in the dulaglutide arm.
- g. These are adjudicated events based on 2 of 3 of the following criteria: 1. Abdominal pain characteristic of acute pancreatitis, 2. ≥ 3-fold increase in the upper normal value limit of serum amylase and/or serum lipase, and 3. Detection by means of CT or MRI.
- h. Peto-OR as estimator for the RR

Abbreviations

AD: absolute difference; CKD: chronic kidney disease; CT: computed tomography; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESRD: end-stage renal disease; CI: confidence interval; n: Number of patients with (at least one) event; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; N: Number of patients evaluated; OR: Odds Ratio; PG: plasma glucose; PT: preferred term RCT: randomised controlled trial; RR: relative risk; SOC: system organ class; SAE: serious adverse event; AE: adverse event

Supplementary presented endpoints of the AWARD-7 study

	Intervention Dulaglutide + insulin lispro		<u>control</u> Insulin glargine + insulin lispro			Intervention vs control	
	N ^a	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at end of study MV ^b (SE)	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at end of study MV ^b (SE)	MD [95% CI]; p value ^b
HbA1c (%)	no data availa ble	8.60 (0.85)	-0.92 (0.12)	no data avail able	8.56 (0.97)	-0.87 (0.12)	-0.05 [-0.28; 0.17]; no data available ^c
Body weight [kg]	no data availa ble	88.1 (16.01)	-2.27 (0.44) ^d	no data avail able	88.2 (18.49)	1.34 (0.43) ^d	-3.61 [-4.67; -2.55]; < 0.001 ^d
BMI (kg/m²)	no data availa ble	32.1 (4.84)	-0.82 (0.16) ^e	no data avail able	32.4 (5.33)	0.54 (0.15) ^e	-1.37 [-1.75; -0.98]; < 0.001°

- a: Number of patients who were taken into account in the evaluation for the calculation of the estimation of the effect; the values at the start of study can be based on other patient figures.
- b: Unless otherwise stated, MMRM evaluation of the mITT population adjusted for treatment, rounds, macroalbuminuria region, severity of chronic kidney disease at the start of study, HbA1c and logarithmic eGFR at the start of study, and interaction term for treatment and rounds
- c. 1-sided p value based on tree gatekeeping method for adjustment for multiple testing (p = 0.314)
- d. MMRM evaluation of the safety population; additionally adjusted for body weight at the start of study
- e. MMRM evaluation of the safety population; additionally adjusted for BMI at the start of study Abbreviations:

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c: glycosylated haemoglobin; CI: confidence interval; MD mean difference; mITT: modified intention to treat; MMRM: mixed model with repeated measurements; MV: mean value; N: number of patients evaluated; SD: standard deviation; SE: Standard error

d2) in patients with moderate or severe renal insufficiency in accordance with chronic kidney disease CKD stage 3 and 4 defined by an eGFR value < 60 to ≥ 15 ml/min/1.73 m²

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ Risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	There is no relevant difference for the benefit assessment
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	There is no relevant difference for the benefit assessment
Health-related quality of life	Ø	No data submitted.
Side effects	↑	For the benefit assessment, there was a relevant advantage in the prevention severe and non-severe symptomatic hypoglycaemias

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

- ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data
- ↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data
- ↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference
- Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.
- n.a.: not assessable

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

 Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement alone do not sufficiently control the blood sugar and for whom the use of metformin is not suitable because of intolerance

approx. 364 000 patients

b) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with one other hypoglycaemic agent (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

approx. 642 000 patients

 Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with at least two hypoglycaemic agents (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

approx. 440 000 patients

d) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with insulin (with or without another hypoglycaemic agent) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

approx. 662 000 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Trulicity[®] (active ingredient: dulaglutide at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 9 June 2020):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/trulicity-epar-product-information de.pdf

The use of GLP-1 receptor agonists (e.g. dulaglutide) was associated with a risk of developing acute pancreatitis. Patients should be informed about characteristic symptoms of acute pancreatitis, and the therapy should be changed if necessary.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

a) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement alone do not sufficiently control the blood sugar and for whom the use of metformin is not suitable because of intolerance

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs per patient	
Medicinal product to be assessed		
Dulaglutide	€1,243.63	
Appropriate comparator therapy (sulphonylurea (glibenclamide or glimepiride))		
Glibenclamide or	€13.09 – 78.54	
Glimepiride	€29.79 – 152.41	

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 June 2020)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

b) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with one hypoglycaemic agent (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs per patient
Medicinal product to be evaluated (dulagle (except insulin))	utide in combination with a hypoglycaemic agent ⁶
Dulaglutide	€1,243.63
Metformin	€33.36 – 100.07
Glibenclamide or	€13.09 – 78.54
Glimepiride	€29.79 – 152.41
	Total:
dulaglutide + metformin or	€1,276.98 – 1,343.70
Dulaglutide + glibenclamide or	€1,256.72 - 1,322.16
dulaglutide + glimepiride	€1,273.42 – 1,396.03
Appropriate comparator therapy	
Metformin	€33.36 - 100.07
Sulphonylurea	
Glibenclamide or	€13.09 – 78.54
Glimepiride	€29.79 – 152.41
Empagliflozin	€659.15

⁶ An example of combination therapy with a hypoglycaemic agent is the combination with metformin or with a sulphonylurea (glibenclamide or glimepiride).

18

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs per patient
Liraglutide	€1,308.99 – 1,963.48
	Total:
Metformin + glibenclamide <i>or</i> metformin + glimepiride	€ 46.45 – 178.61 € 63.15 – 252.48
Metformin + empagliflozin	€692.51 – 759.22
Metformin + liraglutide	€ 1,342.34 – 2,063.55
liraglutide only for patients with manifest cardiovascular disease who receive further medication for the treatment of cardiovascular risk factors, in particular anti-hypertensive drugs anticoagulants, and/or lipid-lowering agents	er Ir

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 June 2020)

Costs for additionally required SHI services:

Appropriate comparator therapy		
Liraglutide	Disposable needles	€61.69

c) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with at least two hypoglycaemic agents (apart from insulin) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs per patient		
Medicinal product to be evaluated (dulaglutide in combination with at least two hypoglycaemic agents ⁷ (except insulin))			
Dulaglutide	€1,243.63		
Metformin	€33.36 – 100.07		
Glibenclamide or	€13.09 – 78.54		
Glimepiride	€29.79 – 152.41		
	Total:		
dulaglutide + metformin + glibenclamide or	€1,290.07 - 1,422.23		
dulaglutide + metformin + glimepiride	€1,306.77 - 1,496.11		
Appropriate comparator therapy			
Metformin	€33.36 – 100.07		

⁷ An example of combination therapy with other hypoglycaemic agents is the combination with metformin and with a sulphonylurea (glibenclamide or glimepiride).

19

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs per patient	
Empagliflozin	€659.15	
Liraglutide	€1,308.99 - 1,963.48	
Human insulin (NPH insulin)	€382.74 – 765.49	
	Total:	
Human insulin (NPH-insulin) + metformin	€416.10 - 865.56	
Human insulin (NPH insulin) + empagliflozin	€1,041.90 - 1,424.64	
Human insulin (NPH insulin) + liraglutide	€1,691.73 – 2,728.97	
Empagliflozin or liraglutide only for patients with manifest cardiovascular disease who receive further medication for the treatment of cardiovascular risk factors, in particular anti-hypertensive drugs, anticoagulants, and/or lipid-lowering agents		
Possibly therapy only with human insulin if, in accordance with the product information, metformin and empagliflozin and liraglutide are incompatible or contraindicated or are not sufficiently effective because of an advanced type 2 diabetes mellitus		
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin)	€382.70 – 765.39	

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 June 2020)

Costs for additionally required SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Designation	Costs/year
Appropriate comparator therapy		
Human insulin (NPH insulin) as well as conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin)	Blood glucose test	€116.44 – 349.31
	strips	€7.48 – 22.45
	Lancets	€61.69 – 123.37
	Disposable needles	
Liraglutide	Disposable needles	€61.69
Appropriate comparator therapy		
Liraglutide	Disposable needles	€61.69

- d) Adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom diet and movement and the treatment with insulin (with or without another hypoglycaemic agent) do not sufficiently control the blood sugar
- d1) in patients without renal insufficiency

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs per patient
Medicinal product to be assessed (dulaglutide another hypoglycaemic agent8))	in combination with insulin (with or without
Dulaglutide	€1,243.63
Human insulin (NPH insulin)	€382.74 – 765.49
Possibly metformin	€33.36 – 100.07
dulaglutide + human insulin (NPH insulin) <i>or</i> dulaglutide + human insulin (NPH insulin) + metformin	Total: €1,626.37 – 2,009.11 €1,659.73 – 2,109.18
Appropriate comparator therapy	
Metformin	€33.36 – 100.07
Empagliflozin	€659.15
Liraglutide	€1,308.99 - 1,963.48
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin)	€ 382.74 – 765.49
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin) possibly + metformin or empagliflozin or liraglutide Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin) + metformin	Total: €416.10 – 865.56
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin) + empagliflozin	€1.041.90 - 1,424.64
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin) + liraglutide Empagliflozin or liraglutide only for patients with manifest cardiovascular disease who receive further medication for the treatment of cardiovascular risk factors, in particular anti-hypertensive drugs, anticoagulants, and/or lipid-lowering agents	€1,691.73 - 2,728.97
Intensified conventional insulin therapy	
Human insulin (NPH insulin)	€153.10 – 459.29
Human insulin (bolus insulin)	€153.10 – 459.29
Total:	Total:
	€ 382.74 – 765.49

-

 $^{^{\}rm 8}$ For example, for the combination with another hypoglycaemic agent, metformin is stated

Costs for additionally required SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Designation	Costs/year	
Medicinal product to be assessed (dulaglutide in combination with insulin (with or without another hypoglycaemic agent))			
Human insulin (NPH insulin)	Blood glucose test	€ 116.44 – 349.31	
	strips	€7.48 – 22.45	
	Lancets	€61.69 – 123.37	
	Disposable needles		
Appropriate comparator therapy			
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin)	Blood glucose test	€116.44 – 349.31	
	strips	€7.48 – 22.45	
	Lancets	€61.69 – 123.37	
	Disposable needles		
Intensified conventional insulin therapy	Blood glucose test	€ 465.74 – 698.61	
	strips	€29.93 – 44.90	
	Lancets	€246.74 – 308.43	
	Disposable needles		
Liraglutide	Disposable needles	€61.69	

d2) in patients with moderate or severe renal insufficiency in accordance with chronic kidney disease CKD stage 3 and 4 defined by an eGFR value < 60 to ≥ 15 ml/min/1.73 m²

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs per patient	
Medicinal product to be assessed (dulaglutide in combination with insulin (with or without another hypoglycaemic agent ⁹))		
Dulaglutide	€1,243.63	
Human insulin (NPH insulin)	€382.74 – 765.49	
Possibly metformin	€33.36 – 100.07	
dulaglutide + human insulin (NPH insulin) <i>or</i> dulaglutide + human insulin (NPH insulin) + metformin	Total: €1,626.37 - 2,009.11 €1,659.73 - 2,109.18	
Appropriate comparator therapy		
Metformin	€33.36 – 100.07	

⁹ For example, for the combination with another hypoglycaemic agent, metformin is stated

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs per patient
Liraglutide	€1,308.99 - 1,963.48
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin)	€382.74 – 765.49
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin) possibly + metformin or liraglutide	
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin) + metformin	Total: € 416.10 – 865.56
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin) + liraglutide liraglutide only for patients with manifest cardiovascular disease who receive further medication for the treatment of cardiovascular risk factors, in particular anti-hypertensive drugs, anticoagulants, and/or lipid-lowering agents	€1,691.73 - 2,728.97
Intensified conventional insulin therapy	
Human insulin (NPH insulin)	€ 153.10 – 459.29
Human insulin (bolus insulin)	€ 153.10 – 459.29
Total:	Total:
	€ 382.74 – 765.49

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 June 2020)

Costs for additionally required SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Designation	Costs/year	
Medicinal product to be assessed (dulaglutide in combination with insulin (with or without another hypoglycaemic agent))			
Human insulin (NPH insulin)	Blood glucose test strips	€116.44 – 349.31	
		€7.48 – 22.45	
	Lancets	€61.69 – 123.37	
	Disposable needles		
Appropriate comparator therapy			
Conventional insulin therapy (mixed insulin)	Blood glucose test strips	€116.44 – 349.31	
		€7.48 – 22.45	
	Lancets	€61.69 – 123.37	
	Disposable needles		
Intensified conventional insulin therapy	Blood glucose test	€ 465.74 – 698.61	
	strips	€29.93 – 44.90	

Designation of the therapy	Designation	Costs/year
	Lancets	€246.74 – 308.43
	Disposable needles	
Liraglutide	Disposable needles	€61.69

II. The resolution will enter into force with effect from the day of its publication on the internet on the website of the G-BA on 16 July 2020.

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at www.g-ba.de.

Berlin, 16 July 2020

Federal Joint Committee in accordance with Section 91 SGB V
The Chair

Prof. Hecken