Resolution



of the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL):

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients According to Section 35a SGB V Trifluridine/Tipiracil (Reassessment after the Deadline: Metastatic Colorectal Cancer)

of 1 October 2020

At its session on 1 October 2020 the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive, (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008/22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended on DD Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD MM YYYY BX), as follows:

I. Annex XII will be amended as follows:

- 1. The information on trifluridine/tipiracil as amended by the resolution of 2 February 2017 (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 13 March 2017 B3) last amended on 5 July 2018 (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 2 August 2018 B3) is hereby repealed.
- 2. In Annex XII, the following information shall be added after No. 4 to the information on the benefit assessment of trifluridine/tipiracil in accordance with the resolution of 2 April 2020:

Trifluridine/Tipiracil

Resolution of: 1 October 2020 Entry into force on: 1 October 2020

Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD MM YYYY Bx

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 25 April 2016):

Lonsurf is indicated as monotherapy for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) who have been previously treated with, or are not considered candidates for, available therapies including fluoropyrimidine-, oxaliplatin- and irinotecan-based chemotherapies, anti-VEGF agents, and anti-EGFR agents.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

Adult patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) who have been previously treated with, or are not considered candidates for, available therapies including fluoropyrimidine-, oxaliplatin- and irinotecan-based chemotherapies, anti-VEGF agents, and anti-EGFR agents.

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Best supportive care

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of trifluridine/tipiracil compared with best supportive care:

Hint for a minor additional benefit.

Study results according to endpoints:1

RECOURSE study (RCT): Trifluridine/tipiracil vs best supportive care

TERRA study (RCT): Trifluridine/tipiracil **vs** best supportive care (relevant sub-population: patients who have been pre-treated in accordance with the marketing authorisation after European marketing authorisation (mITT))

2

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A20-35) and the addendum (A20-72) unless otherwise indicated.

Endpoint Study	Trifluridine/tipiracil + BSC		BSC		Trifluridine/tipira cil +BSC vs BSC		
	N Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)		Z	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^s		
Mortality		11 (70)	11 (70)				
Overall survival	Overall survival (OS)						
RECOURSE ^a	534	7.2 [6.6; 7.8] 463 (86.7)	266	5.2 [4.6; 5.9] 249 (93.6)	0.69 [0.59; 0.81] < 0.001 ^b AD: 2.0 months		
TERRA°	61	8.0 [6.3; 9.2] 53 (86.9)	33	4.4 [3.2; 7.2] 29 (87.9)	0.69 [0.43; 1.10] 0.118 ^d		
Total					0.70 [0.60; 0.81] < 0.001e		
Morbidity							
Progression-free	Progression-free survival (PFS) ^t						
RECOURSE	534	2.0 [1.9; 2.1] 496 (92.9)	266	1.7 [1.7; 1.8] 254 (95.5)	0.49 [0.42; 0.58] < 0.001 AD: 0.3 months		
TERRA	61	2.2 [1.9; 3.5] 58 (95.1)	33	1.8 [1.7; 1.9] 27 (81.8)	0.46 [0.28; 0.75] 0.002 AD: 0.4 months		
Total					0.48 [0.41; 0.56] < 0.001		
Health-related quality of life							
No usable data							

(Continuation)

Endpoint With/without	Trifluridine/tipiracil		BSC		Trifluridine/tipirac il +BSC vs BSC		
progression or manifestation of specific AEs	N	Median in months [95% CI]	N	Median in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value		
Study		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)			
Side effects							
Adverse events in	total						
With progression of	of the	underlying disease					
RECOURSE ^a	533	0.2 [0.2; 0.3] 524 (98.3)	265	0.4 [0.3; 0.4] 249 (94.0)	_		
TERRAf	61	0.4 [0.3; 0.4] 61 (100)	33	0.4 [0.2; 0.9] 29 (87.9)	-		
Without progressi	on of	the underlying diseas	e ^g				
RECOURSE ^a	553	0.3 [0.2; 0.3] 520 (97.6)	265	0.4 [0.4; 0.4] 244 (92.1)	_		
Serious adverse e	vents	s (SAE)					
With progression of	of the	underlying disease					
RECOURSE ^a	533	11.6 [8.7; n.a.] 162 (30.4)	265	5.4 [5.1; n.a.] 89 (33.6)	0.72 [0.55; 0.94]; 0.014 ^b		
TERRAf	61	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 15 (24.6)	33	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 12 (36.4)	0.53 [0.25; 1.14]; 0.098 ^d		
Total					0.69 [0.54; 0.89]; 0.004°		
Without progression	on of	the underlying disease	e ^g				
RECOURSE ^a	553	553 n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 118 (22.1)		n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 45 (17.0)	1.02 [0.72; 1.45]; 0.904 ^b		
Severe adverse events (CTCAE grade ≥ 3)							
With progression of the underlying disease							
RECOURSE ^a	533	1.5 [1.3; 1.8] 372 (69.8)	265	2.5 [2.0; 3.3] 138 (52.1)	1.44 [1.18; 1.77] < 0.001 ^b		
TERRAf	61	2.3 [1.9; 6.1] 34 (55.7)	33	1.4 [0.5; n.a.] 18 (54.5)	0.75 [0.42; 1.35]; 0.342 ^d		
Total					1.36 [1.12; 1.64]; 0.002 ^e		
Without progression of the underlying disease ⁹							
RECOURSE ^a	533	1.8 [1.6; 2.0] 343 (64.4)	265	3.8 [2.8; 18.6] 110 (41.5)	1.74 [1.40; 2.17]; < 0.001 ^b		

Endpoint With/without	N Median in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)		BSC		Trifluridine/tipir acil + BSC vs BSC	
progression or manifestation of specific AEs Study			N	Median in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value	
Side effects(conti	nued)					
Therapy discontin	uatio	n because of advers	e even	its		
With progression of	of the	underlying disease	ľ		,	
RECOURSE ^a	533	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 57 (10.7)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 36 (13.6)	0.63 [0.41; 0.96]; 0.030 ^b	
TERRAf	61	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 5 (8.2)	33	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 7 (21.2)	0.36 [0.12; 1.15]; 0.072 ^d	
Total					0.59 [0.39; 0.87]; 0.009 ^e	
Without progression of the underlying disease ⁹						
RECOURSE ^a	533	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 16 (3.0)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 4 (1.5)	1.64 [0.54; 4.98]; 0.376 ^b	
Specific adverse	events	5				
Gastrointestinal to	xicity,	operationalised as g	astroir	ntestinal disorders (So	OC, AE) ^h	
RECOURSE ^a	533	0.5 [0.4; 0.5] 414 ^k (77.7)	265	1.5 [1.1; 1.9] 162 ^k (61.1)	1.62 [1.34; 1.95] ⁱ ; < 0.001 ^j	
TERRAf	61	1.0 [0.4; 1.3] 43 (70.5)	33	1.8 [1.5; 5.1] 20 (60.6)	1.49 [0.85; 2.59] ⁱ ; 0.159 ^j	
Total					1.56 [1.31; 1.86]; < 0.001 ^e	
Diarrhoea (PT, AE) ^{h, I}					
RECOURSE®	533	10.3 [7.7; 18.2] 173 (32.5)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 33 (12.5)	2.58 [1.78; 3.76] ⁱ ; < 0.001 ^j	
TERRA ^f	61	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 9 (14.8)	33	5.1 [5.1; n.a.] 3 (9.1)	1.42 [0.38; 5.31] ⁱ ; 0.598 ^j	
Total					2.50 [1.75; 3.59]; < 0.001 ^e	
Nausea (PT, AE) ^{h, I}						
RECOURSE ^a	533	3.4 [2.2; 13.5] 261 (49.0)	265	17.7 [n.a.; n.a.] 64 (24.2)	2.38 [1.81; 3.14] ⁱ ; < 0.001 ^j	
TERRA ^f	61	5.3 [1.3; n.a.] 28 (45.9)	33	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 5 (15.2)	3.47 [1.34; 9.00] ⁱ ; 0.006 ^j	

Endpoint	Tr	ifluridine/tipiracil	BSC		Trifluridine/tipira cil + BSC vs BSC	
With/without progression or manifestation of specific AEs Study	N	Median in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value	
Side effects(conti	nued					
Nausea (PT, AE) ^{h,}	.1					
Total					2.41 [1.85; 3.14]; < 0.001 ^e	
Vomiting (PT, AE)	h					
RECOURSE ^a	533	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 151 (28.3)	265	17.7 [n.a.; n.a.] 39 (14.7)	1.93 [1.35; 2.77] ⁱ ; < 0.001 ^j	
TERRA ^f	61	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 15 (24.6)	33	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 6 (18.2)	1.26 [0.48; 3.29] ⁱ ; 0.633 ^j	
Total					1.78 [1.28; 2.49]; < 0.001°	
Myelosuppression grade ≥ 3) ^h	Myelosuppression, operationalised as blood and lymphatic system disorders (SOC, CTCAE grade ≥ 3) ^h					
RECOURSE ^a	533	6.9 [4.7; 9.7] 193 (36.2)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 11 (4.2)	8.77 [4.77; 16.13] ⁱ ; < 0.001 ^j	
TERRAf	61	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 17 (27.9)	33	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 5 (15.2)	1.57 [0.57; 4.30] ⁱ ; 0.377 ^j	
Total					5.57 [3.30; 9.38]; < 0.001°	
Anaemia (PT, CTC	CAE o	grade ≥ 3) ^h				
RECOURSE ^a	533	17.9 [15.8; n.a.] 92 (17.3)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 7 (2.6)	5.49 [2.53; 11.89] ⁱ ; < 0.001 ^j	
TERRA ^f	61	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 7 (11.5)	33	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 5 (15.2)	0.70 [0.22; 2.22] ⁱ ; 0.546 ^j	
Total					2.97 [1.56; 5.65]; 0.004°	
Febrile neutropoenia (PT, CTCAE grade ≥ 3) ^h						
RECOURSE ^a	533	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 21 (3.9)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 0 (0.0)	RR: 21.42 [1.30; 352.23] 0.001 ^m	
TERRAf	61	no data available	33	no data available	no data available	
Leukopenia (PT, CTCAE grade ≥ 3) ^h						
RECOURSE ^a	533	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 15 (2.8)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 0 (0.0)	RR: 15.44 [0.93; 257.08] 0.006 ^m	

Endpoint	Trifluridine/tipiracil		BSC		Trifluridine/tipira cil + BSC vs BSC
With/without progression or manifestation of specific AEs Study	N	Median in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value
Side effects(conti	nued				
Leukopenia (PT, C	CTCA	E grade ≥ 3) ^h			
TERRAf	61	no data available	33	no data available	no data available
Neutropoenia (PT,	СТС	AE grade ≥ 3) ^h			
RECOURSE ^a	533	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 110 (20.6)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 0 (0.0)	RR: 110.09 [6.87; 1764] < 0.001
TERRA ^f	61	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 10 (16.4)	33	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 0 (0.0)	RR: 11.52 [0.70; 190.52] 0.0878
Total					RR: 61.61 [8.53; 445] < 0.001 ⁿ
Psychiatric disorders (SOC, AE) ^h					
RECOURSE®	533	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 51 (9.6)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 42 (15.8)	0.48 [0.32; 0.73] ⁱ ; < 0.001 ^j
TERRAf	61	no data available	33	no data available	no data available
Hypertension (PT, CTCAE grade ≥ 3) ^h					
RECOURSEª	533	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 8 (1.5)	265	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.] 10 (3.8)	0.33 [0.13; 0.86] ⁱ ; 0.017 ^j
TERRAf	61	no data available	33	no data available	no data available

- a. Data cut-off of 8 October 2014
- b. Log rank test, stratified by KRAS status, time since diagnosis of the first metastasis, and region
- c. Data cut-off of 16 February 2016
- d. Log rank test, stratified by KRAS status and country
- e. Model with fixed effect based on individual patient data, stratified by KRAS status
- f. Data cut-off of 23 December 2015
- g. AEs that, in the opinion of the investigator, were associated with the progression of the underlying disease were not included in the evaluations of the overall rates shown here
- h. With progression of the underlying disease
- i. Effect and CI: Cox Proportional Hazards Model, adjusted by region, ECOG-PS at the start of study, and prior ramucirumab treatment
- j. Log rank test, adjusted by region, ECOG-PS at the start of study, and prior ramucirumab treatment
- k. Contradictory information on frequencies. Other frequencies are mentioned elsewhere in Module 4 A (trifluridine/tipiracil + BCS: n = 413 vs placebo + BCS: n = 161).
- I. SOC and PT spelling taken over from MedDRA without adaptation
- m. Own calculation, exact unconditional test (CSZ method according to [33])
- n. Own calculation, model with fixed effect (method according to Mantel-Haenszel). In both studies, the correction factor 0.5 was added to each cell frequency of the four-field table.
- s. Absolute difference (AD) given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation

t. Results from Dossier Module 4A dated 30 March 2020

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; BCS: best supportive Care; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ECOG-PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group- Performance Status; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; KRAS: Kirsten Rat Sarcoma viral oncogene homologue; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; vs = versus; PT: preferred term; RCT: randomised controlled study; RR: relative risk; SOC: system organ class; AE: adverse event

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary
	Risk of bias	
Mortality	↑	Advantage in overall survival
Morbidity	Ø	There are no suitable data for the benefit assessment.
Health-related quality of life	Ø	There are no suitable data for the benefit assessment.
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	There are advantages and disadvantages in terms of side effects.

Explanations:

- 1: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data
- ↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data
- ↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference
- Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

approx. 6,900 to 12,200 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Lonsurf® (active ingredient: trifluridine/tipiracil) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 7 May 2020):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/lonsurf-epar-product-information_de.pdf

Treatment with trifluridine/tipiracil should be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology, specialists in internal medicine and gastroenterology, and specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement who are experienced in the treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Trifluridine/tipiracil	€ 42,876.08				
Best supportive care	different for each individual patient				
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
Best supportive care	different for each individual patient				

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 September 2020

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

II. The resolution will enter into force with effect from the day of its publication on the internet on the website of the G-BA on 1 October 2020.

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at www.g-ba.de.

Berlin, 1 October 2020

Federal Joint Committee in accordance with Section 91 SGB V The Chair

Prof. Hecken