

Resolution

of the Federal Joint Committee on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients according to Section 35a SGB V: Daratumumab (new therapeutic indication: multiple myeloma, at least 1 prior therapy, combination with Pomalidomide and Dexamethasone)

of 3 February 2022

At its session on 3 February 2022, the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008 / 22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended by the publication of the resolution of D Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD.MM.YYYY BX), as follows:

I. In Annex XII, the following information shall be added after No. 4 to the information on the benefit assessment of Daratumumab in the version of the resolution of 20 January 2022:

Daratumumab

Resolution of: 3 February 2022 Entry into force on: 3 February 2022 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD. MM YYYY Bx

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 21 June 2021):

Darzalex is indicated in combination with pomalidomide and dexamethasone for the treatment of adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received one prior therapy containing a proteasome inhibitor and lenalidomide and were lenalidomide-refractory, or who have received at least two prior therapies that included lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression on or after the last therapy.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 3 February 2022):

see new therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation

- 1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy
- a) Adults with multiple myeloma who have received one prior therapy containing a proteasome inhibitor and lenalidomide and were lenalidomide-refractory

Appropriate comparator therapy:

• Bortezomib in combination with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin

or

bortezomib in combination with dexamethasone

or

• carfilzomib in combination with dexamethasone

or

• daratumumab in combination with bortezomib and dexamethasone

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of daratumumab in combination with pomalidomide and dexamethasone compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

An additional benefit is not proven.

b1) Adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least two prior therapies that included Lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression on the last therapy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

• Bortezomib in combination with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin

or

bortezomib in combination with dexamethasone

or

• lenalidomide in combination with dexamethasone

or

• pomalidomide in combination with dexamethasone

or

elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone

or

elotuzumab in combination with pomalidomide and dexamethasone

or

• carfilzomib in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone

or

• carfilzomib in combination with dexamethasone

or

daratumumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone

or

daratumumab in combination with bortezomib and dexamethasone

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of Daratumumab in combination with Pomalidomide and Dexamethasone compared with Pomalidomide in combination with Dexamethasone:

Hint for a minor additional benefit

b2) Adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least two prior therapies that included Lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression after the last therapy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Bortezomib in combination with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin

or

• bortezomib in combination with dexamethasone

or

• lenalidomide in combination with dexamethasone

or

elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone

or

· carfilzomib in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone

or

• carfilzomib in combination with dexamethasone

or

• daratumumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone

or

daratumumab in combination with bortezomib and dexamethasone

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of daratumumab in combination with pomalidomide and dexamethasone compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

An additional benefit is not proven.

Study results according to endpoints:1

a) Adults with multiple myeloma who have received one prior therapy containing a proteasome inhibitor and Lenalidomide and were lenalidomide-refractory

No adequate data are available to allow an assessment of the additional benefit.

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
Morbidity	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
Health-related quality of life	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
Side effects	n.a.	There are no assessable data.

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 \downarrow : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

个个: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

_

 $^{^{1}}$ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A21-101) and from the addendum (A21-170), unless otherwise indicated.

b1) Adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least two prior therapies that included lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression on the last therapy

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No difference in overall survival
Morbidity	↑	Advantage in the symptom scale of fatigue
Health-related quality	↑	Advantages in the scales of emotional functioning and
of life		future prospects
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit assessment. In
		detail, disadvantages of specific adverse events.
		detail, disdavantages of specific daverse events.

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

个个: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

APOLLO study:

- Daratumumab + pomalidomide + dexamethasone versus pomalidomide + dexamethasone
- Data cut-offs: 21.07.2020, 15.11.2020
- Assessment-relevant sub-population of the APOLLO study: Patients who have received at least two prior therapies that included lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression on the last therapy.

Mortality (data cut-off of 21.07.2020)

Endpoint	Daratumumab + Pomalidomide + Dexamethasone (D-Pd)			Pomalidomide + examethasone (Pd)	D-Pd vs Pd
	N	in months [95% CI]		Median survival time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	
Overall survival					
	106	n.a. [18.79; n.c.]	105	20.27 [15.47; n.c.]	0.78 [0.49; 1.24]
		35 (33)		41 (39)	0.299

Morbidity (data cut-off of 21.07.2020)

Endpoint	Daratumumab + Pomalidomide + Dexamethasone (D-Pd)			Pomalidomide + examethasone (Pd)	D-Pd vs Pd
	N Median time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)		N	Median time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a
				Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Progression-free s	urvival	(PFS) ^c			
	106	9.23 [6.54; 13.11]		6.34 [3.98; 8.54]	0.62 [0.45; 0.85]
	78 (73.6)			90 (85.7)	0.0028 AD = 2.89 months
Disease symptoma	atology	(time to confirmed pe	rmane	ent deterioration) ^{d,e}	
Symptom scales or	f the E0	ORTC QLQ-C30			
Pain	106	06 n.a. [20.73; n.c.]		25.27 [13.04; n.c.]	0.66 [0.36; 1.19]
		23 (21.7)		25 (23.8)	0.168
Fatigue	106	25.00 [18.69; 35.45] <i>35 (33.0)</i>	105	12.95 [8.35; 16.92] <i>43 (41.0)</i>	0.51 [0.32; 0.83] 0.007 AD = 12.05 months

(continuation)

Endpoint	Daratumumab + Pomalidomide + Dexamethasone (D-Pd)			Pomalidomide + examethasone (Pd)	D-Pd vs Pd
	N	N Median time in months [95% CI]		Median time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Nausea and vomiting	106	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	105	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	0.75 [0.30; 1.87]
		9 (8.5)		10 (9.5)	0.535
Dyspnoea	106	n.a. [29.63; n.c.]	105	24.34 [18.92; n.c.]	0.45 [0.17; 1.18]
		8 (7.5)		11 (10.5)	0.104
Insomnia	106	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]		n.a. [19.98; n.c.]	0.81 [0.36; 1.80]
		12 (11.3)		13 (12.4)	0.602
Loss of appetite	106	n.a. [27.80; n.c.]		n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	0.70 [0.31; 1.61]
		12 (11.3)		12 (11.4)	0.404
Constipation	106	n.a. [21.82; n.c.]		n.a. [17.77; n.c.]	0.56 [0.26; 1.22]
		12 (11.3)		16 (15.2)	0.146
Diarrhoea	106	106 n.a. [29.63; n.c.]		23.34 [18.92; n.c.]	0.45 [0.17; 1.18]
	8 (7.5)			11 (10.5)	0.104
Symptom scales of the EORTC QLQ-MY20					
Symptoms of disease	106	n.a. [n.a.; n.a.]	105	n.a. [18.66; n.c.]	0.67 [0.33; 1.33]
		16 (15.1)		18 (17.1)	0.247
Side effect of the therapy	106	24.87 [18.27; n.c.]	105	24.34 [14.03; n.c.]	0.65 [0.35; 1.22]
		21 (19.8)		22 (21.0)	0.182

(continuation)

Endpoint	Daratumumab + Pomalidomide + Dexamethasone (D-Pd)			Pomalidomide + examethasone (Pd)	D-Pd vs Pd
	N Median time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)		N	Median time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a
				Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Health status					
EQ-5D VAS – time	EQ-5D VAS – time to confirmed permanent deterioration ^{d,f}				
≥ 15 points	106	[19.32; n.c.]		n.a. [18.99; n.c.]	1.12 [0.59; 2.13] 0.724
≥ 10 points	106	23 (21.7) 20.73 [19.45; n.c.] 31 (29.2)	105	17 (16.2) 18.99 [11.30; n.c.] 31 (29.5)	0.88 [0.53; 1.47] 0.635
≥ 7 points	106	20.73 [17.77; n.c.] <i>32 (30.2)</i>	105	17.05 [11.30; 27.53] <i>34 (32.4)</i>	0.81 [0.49; 1.33] 0.409

Health-related quality of life (data cut-off of 21.07.2020)

Endpoint	Daratumumab + pomalidomide + dexamethasone (D-Pd)			Pomalidomide + examethasone (Pd)	D-Pd vs Pd
	N	Median time in months [95% CI]		Median time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a
	Patients with event n (%)			Patients with event n (%)	
Health-related quality of life (time to confirmed permanent deterioration) ^{d,g}					
Global health state	us and	functional scales of the	e EORT	C-QLQ-C30	
Global health status	106	25.00 [19.45; n.c.]	105	24.34 [16.53; 27.53]	0.84 [0.46; 1.55]
		25 (23.6)		21 (20.0)	0.586
Physical functioning	106	27.60 [18.69; n.c.]	105	20.20 [14.03; n.c.]	0.82 [0.49; 1.40]
		28 (26.4)		28 (26.7)	0.474

(continuation)

Endpoint	Daratumumab + Pomalidomide + Dexamethasone (D-Pd)			Pomalidomide + examethasone (Pd)	D-Pd vs Pd
	N	Median time in months [95% CI]	N	Median time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	
Role functioning	106	23.16 [19.19; 35.45]	105	20.04 [18.14; 24.15]	0.77 [0.45; 1.31]
		31 (29.2)		29 (27.6)	0.335
Emotional functioning	106	n.a. [20.73; n.c.]		20.20 [9.56; n.c.]	0.36 [0.19; 0.67]
		17 (16.0)		31 (29.5)	0.001
Cognitive functioning	106	106 25.00 [16.79; 32.69]		18.20 [11.27; n.c.]	0.74 [0.43; 1.29]
		31 (29.2)		26 (24.8)	0.292
Social functioning	106	28.71 [19.61; n.c.]	105	21.59 [13.31; n.c.]	0.71 [0.40; 1.25]
	27 (25.5)			27 (25.7)	0.231
Functional scales	of the E	ORTC QLQ-MY20			
Future prospects	106	n.a. [17.41; n.c.]	105	17.05 [10.55; 20.20]	0.57 [0.33; 0.97]
		24 (22.6)		33 (31.4)	0.040
Body image	106	20.53 [18.43; 32.69]	105	20.89 [16.79; 24.15]	0.95 [0.52; 1.77]
		28 (26.4)		19 (18.1)	0.882

Side effects (data cut-off of 15.11.2020)

Endpoint	Daratumumab + Pomalidomide + Dexamethasone (D-Pd)		Pomalidomide + Dexamethasone (Pd)		D-Pd vs Pd
	N	Median time in months [95% CI]	Z	Median time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p valueª
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	
Total adverse even	ts (pr	esented additionally)			
	104	0.26 [0.20; 0.33]	102	0.23 [0.07; 0.26]	-
		101 (97.1)		100 (98.0)	
Serious adverse ev	ents (SAE)			
	104	14.26 [7.75; 17.71]	102	14.29 [6.5; n.c.]	1.16 [0.78; 1.74]
	54 (51.9)		44 (43.1)	44 (43.1)	0.470
Severe adverse eve	ents (C	CTCAE grade ≥ 3)			
	104	0.64 [0.49; 0.72]	102	0.72 [0.66; 0.72]	1.05 [0.78; 1.42]
		89 (85.6)		89 (87.3)	0.747
Therapy discontinu	uation	due to adverse events	(≥1 ac	tive ingredient compor	ient)
	104	n.a.	102	n.a.	0.95 [0.21; 4.32]
		4 (3.8)		3 (2.9)	0.944
Specific adverse ev	ents (severe AEs CTCAE grade	≘ ≥ 3)		
Lymphopenia	104	n.a.	102	n.a.	7.42
(PT)		14 (13.5)		2 (2.0)	[1.68; 32.85] 0.008
Febrile	104	n.a.	102	n.a.	8.75
neutropenia (PT)		9 (8.7)		1 (1.0)	[1.11; 69.23] 0.040

a HR (including 95% CI) and p value calculated using Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as the only explanatory variable, stratified by number of prior therapies (2-3 vs ≥ 4) and ISS stage (I vs II vs III); p value for overall survival calculated using log-rank test, stratified by number of prior therapies (2-3 vs ≥ 4) and ISS stage (I vs II vs III)

b Indication of absolute difference (AD) only in case of statistically significant difference; own calculation

c Data from the written statement of the pharmaceutical company

d Time to confirmed permanent deterioration: A deterioration by the respective response criterion compared to the start of study, in which the response criterion is considered fulfilled in all subsequent observations until the end of the observation. Death due to disease progression was not defined as deterioration. Patients who reported one-off deterioration at the last survey time point are counted as non-responders.

e An increase in score by ≥ 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered as clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100).

f A decrease in score by ≥ 15% of the scale range (0 to 100) compared to the start of the study is considered as clinically relevant deterioration.

g A decrease in score by ≥ 10 points compared to baseline is considered as clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100).

Abbreviations used:

CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EORTC QLQ-C30: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30; EORTC QLQ-MY20: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Myeloma Module 20; HR = hazard ratio; ISS: International Staging System; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PT = preferred term; VAS = visual analogue scale; vs = versus

b2) Adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least two prior therapies that included Lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression after the last therapy

No adequate data are available to allow an assessment of the additional benefit.

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
Morbidity	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
Health-related quality of life	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
Side effects	n.a.	There are no assessable data.

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

a) Adults with multiple myeloma who have received one prior therapy containing a proteasome inhibitor and Lenalidomide and were Lenalidomide-refractory

approx. 640 - 1,050 patients

b1) Adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least two prior therapies that included Lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression on the last therapy

and

b2) Adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least two prior therapies that included Lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression after the last therapy

approx. 2,550 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Darzalex (active ingredient: daratumumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 29 November 2021):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/darzalex-epar-product-information en.pdf

Treatment with daratumumab should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology experienced in treating patients with multiple myeloma.

In accordance with the European Medicines Agency (EMA) requirements regarding additional risk minimisation measures, the pharmaceutical company must provide training material and a patient identification card. The training material for medical professionals and blood banks contains instructions on how to manage the risk of daratumumab interfering with blood typing (indirect antihuman globulin test or indirect Coombs test). Interference with blood typing induced by daratumumab may persist for up to 6 months after the last infusion of the medicinal product; therefore, medical professionals should advise patients to carry their patient identification card with them for up to 6 months after the end of the treatment.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

The annual treatment costs shown refer to the first year of treatment.

a) Adults with multiple myeloma who have received one prior therapy containing a proteasome inhibitor and Lenalidomide and were Lenalidomide-refractory

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Daratumumab in combination with Pomalidomide and Dexamethasone					
Daratumumab	€ 133,585.38				
Pomalidomide	€ 111,056.01				
Dexamethasone	€ 186.23				
Total	€ 244,827.62				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 333.38 - € 334.05				
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
Bortezomib in combination with pegylated liposomal Doxorubicin					
Bortezomib	€ 31,649.92				
Doxorubicin (pegylated, liposomal)	€ 18,773.52				
Total	€ 50,423.44				
Bortezomib in combination with Dexame	ethasone				
Bortezomib	€ 15,824.96 - € 31,649.92				
Dexamethasone	€ 104.56 - € 169.36				
Total	€ 15,929.52 - € 31,819.28				
Carfilzomib in combination with Dexame	ethasone				
Carfilzomib	€ 171,177.42				
Dexamethasone	€ 243.53				
Total	€ 171,420.95				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40				

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient		
Daratumumab in combination with Borte	zomib and Dexamethasone		
Daratumumab	€ 121,969.26		
Bortezomib	€ 31,649.92		
Dexamethasone	€ 147.69		
Total	€ 153,766.87		
Additionally required SHI services	€ 284.60 - € 285.21		

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 January 2022)

Other SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year		
Medicinal produ	ct to be assessed						
Daratumumab ir	n combination with Po	malidomic	de and Dexametha	isone			
Daratumumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	Week 1 - 8: 1 x weekly Week 9 - 24: every 2 weeks From week 25: every 4 weeks	23	€ 1,633		
Appropriate com	nparator therapy:						
Bortezomib in co	mbination with Dexa	methasone	?				
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	4	16 - 32	€ 1,296 - € 2,592		
Bortezomib in co	Bortezomib in combination with pegylated liposomal Doxorubicin						
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	4	32	€ 2,592		

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Doxorubicin (pegylated, liposomal)	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	Day 4 21-day cycle	8	€ 648
Carfilzomib in co	mbination with Dexar	nethasone	•		
Carfilzomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	6	78	€ 6,318
Daratumumab ir	combination with Bo	ortezomib (and Dexamethaso	ne	
Daratumumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	Week 1 - 9: 1 x every 7 days Week 10 - 24: every 21 days From week 25: every 28 days	21	€ 1,491
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	4	32	€ 2,592

b1) Adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least two prior therapies that included Lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression on the last therapy

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient			
Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Daratumumab in combination with Pomo	alidomide and Dexamethasone			
Daratumumab	€ 133,585.38			
Pomalidomide	€ 111,056.01			
Dexamethasone	€ 186.23			
Total	€ 244,827.62			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 333.38 - € 334.05			
Appropriate comparator therapy:				
Carfilzomib in combination with Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone				
Carfilzomib	€ 90,845.00			
Lenalidomide	€ 102,104.08			
Dexamethasone	€ 193.68			
Total	€ 193,142.76			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40			
Carfilzomib in combination with Dexame	thasone			
Carfilzomib	€ 171,177.42			
Dexamethasone	€ 253.53			
Total	€ 171,420.95			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40			
Bortezomib in combination with Dexame	thasone			
Bortezomib	€ 15,824.96 - € 31,649.92			
Dexamethasone	€ 104.56 - € 169.36			
Total	€ 15,929.52 - € 31,819.28			
Bortezomib in combination with pegylate	d liposomal Doxorubicin			
Bortezomib	€ 31,649.92			

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient			
Doxorubicin (pegylated, liposomal)	€ 18,773.52			
Total	€ 50,423.44			
Lenalidomide in combination with Dexamethasone				
Lenalidomide	€ 102,104.08			
Dexamethasone	€ 312.87			
Total	€ 102,416.95			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40			
Elotuzumab in combination with Lenalido	omide and Dexamethasone			
Elotuzumab	€ 88,225.80			
Lenalidomide	€ 102,104.08			
Dexamethasone	€ 416.03			
Total	€ 190,745.91			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 349.60 - € 350.47			
Elotuzumab in combination with Pomalia	lomide and Dexamethasone			
Elotuzumab	€ 88,225.80			
Pomalidomide	€ 111,056.01			
Dexamethasone	€ 416.03			
Total	€ 199,697.84			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 154.02 - € 154.57			
Pomalidomide in combination with Dexa	methasone			
Pomalidomide	€ 111,056.01			
Dexamethasone	€ 193.68			
Total	€ 111,249.69			
Daratumumab in combination with Lenal	lidomide and Dexamethasone			
Daratumumab	€ 133,585.38			
Lenalidomide	€ 102,104.08			
Dexamethasone	€ 186.23			
Total	€ 235,875.69			

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient		
Additionally required SHI services	€ 333.38 - € 334.05		
Daratumumab in combination with Bortezomib and Dexamethasone			
Daratumumab	€ 121,969.26		
Bortezomib	€ 31,649.92		
Dexamethasone	€ 147.69		
Total	€ 153,766.87		
Additionally required SHI services	€ 284.60 - € 285.21		

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 January 2022)

Other SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Medicinal produ	ct to be assessed:				
Daratumumab ir	n combination with Po	malidomi	de and Dexametha	sone	
Daratumumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	Week 1 - 8: 1 x weekly Week 9 - 24: every 2 weeks From week 25: every 4 weeks	23	€ 1,633
Appropriate com	nparator therapy:				
Bortezomib in co	mbination with Dexa	methason	2		
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	4	16 - 32	€ 1,296 - € 2,592

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year		
Carfilzomib in co	mbination with Lenal	idomide aı	nd Dexamethasone	•			
Carfilzomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	1st - 12th cycle: 6 From 13th cycle: 4	76	€ 6,156		
Carfilzomib in co	mbination with Dexar	methasone	?				
Carfilzomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	6	78	€ 6,318		
Daratumumab ir	n combination with Le	nalidomid	e and Dexamethas	one			
Daratumumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	Week 1 - 8: 1 x weekly Week 9 - 24: every 2 weeks From week 25: every 4 weeks	23	€ 1,633		
Daratumumab ir	Daratumumab in combination with Bortezomib and Dexamethasone						
Daratumumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	Week 1 - 9: 1 x every 7 days Week 10 - 24: every 21 days From week 25: every 28 days	21	€ 1,491		

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year	
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	4	32	€ 2,592	
Bortezomib in co	mbination with pegyl	ated lipos	omal Doxorubicin			
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	4	32	€ 2,592	
Doxorubicin (pegylated, liposomal)	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	Day 4 21-day cycle	8	€ 648	
Elotuzumab in co	ombination with Lena	lidomide a	nd Dexamethason	e		
Elotuzumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	1st - 2nd cycle: 4 From 3rd cycle: 2	30	€ 2,130	
Elotuzumab in combination with Pomalidomide and Dexamethasone						
Elotuzumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	1st - 2nd cycle: 4 From 3rd cycle: 1	19	€ 1,349	

b2) Adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least two prior therapies that included Lenalidomide and a proteasome inhibitor and have demonstrated disease progression after the last therapy

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient			
Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Daratumumab in combination with Pomalidomide and Dexamethasone				
Daratumumab	€ 133,585.38			
Pomalidomide	€ 111,056.01			
Dexamethasone	€ 186.23			
Total	€ 244,827.62			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 333.38 - € 334.05			
Appropriate comparator therapy:				
Carfilzomib in combination with Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone				
Carfilzomib	€ 90,845.00			
Lenalidomide	€ 102,104.08			
Dexamethasone	€ 193.68			
Total	€ 193,142.76			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40			
Carfilzomib in combination with Dexame	thasone			
Carfilzomib	€ 171,177.42			
Dexamethasone	€ 253.53			
Total	€ 171,420.95			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40			
Bortezomib in combination with Dexame	thasone			
Bortezomib	€ 15,824.96 - € 31,649.92			
Dexamethasone	€ 104.56 - € 169.36			
Total	€ 15,929.52 - € 31,819.28			
Bortezomib in combination with pegylated liposomal Doxorubicin				
Bortezomib	€ 31,649.92			

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient
Doxorubicin (pegylated, liposomal)	€ 18,773.52
Total	€ 50,423.44
Lenalidomide in combination with Dexan	nethasone
Lenalidomide	€ 102,104.08
Dexamethasone	€ 312.87
Total	€ 102,416.95
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40
Elotuzumab in combination with Lenalida	omide and Dexamethasone
Elotuzumab	€ 88,225.80
Lenalidomide	€ 102,104.08
Dexamethasone	€ 416.03
Total	€ 190,745.91
Additionally required SHI services	€ 349.60 - € 350.47
Daratumumab in combination with Lena	lidomide and Dexamethasone
Daratumumab	€ 133,585.38
Lenalidomide	€ 102,104.08
Dexamethasone	€ 186.23
Total	€ 235,875.69
Additionally required SHI services	€ 333.38 - € 334.05
Daratumumab in combination with Borte	ezomib and Dexamethasone
Daratumumab	€ 121,969.26
Bortezomib	€ 31,649.92
Dexamethasone	€ 147.69
Total	€ 153,766.87
Additionally required SHI services	€ 284.60 - € 285.21

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 January 2022)

Other SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year		
Medicinal produ	ct to be assessed:						
Daratumumab ir	combination with Pc	malidomi	de and Dexametha	sone			
Daratumumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	Week 1 - 8: 1 x weekly Week 9 - 24: every 2 weeks From week 25: every 4 weeks	23	€ 1,633		
Appropriate com	parator therapy:						
Bortezomib in co	mbination with Dexa	methason	2				
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	4	16 - 32	€ 1,296 - € 2,592		
Carfilzomib in co	mbination with Lenal	idomide aı	nd Dexamethasone				
Carfilzomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1st - 12th cycle: 6 From 13th cycle: 4	76	€ 6,156		
Carfilzomib in co	Carfilzomib in combination with Dexamethasone						
Carfilzomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	6	78	€ 6,318		

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Daratumumab in	combination with Le	nalidomid	e and Dexamethas	one	
Daratumumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	Week 1 - 8: 1 x weekly Week 9 - 24: every 2 weeks From week 25: every 4 weeks	23	€ 1,633
Daratumumab in	combination with Bo	rtezomib	and Dexamethasor	ne	
Daratumumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	Week 1 - 9: 1 x every 7 days Week 10 - 24: every 21 days From week 25: every 28 days	21	€ 1,491
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	4	32	€ 2,592
Bortezomib in co	mbination with pegyl	ated lipos	omal Doxorubicin		
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	4	32	€ 2,592
Doxorubicin (pegylated, liposomal)	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	Day 4 21-day cycle	8	€ 648

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Elotuzumab in combination with Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone					
Elotuzumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	1st - 2nd cycle: 4 From 3rd cycle: 2	30	€ 2,130

II. The resolution will enter into force on the day of its publication on the website of the G-BA on 3 February 2022.

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at www.g-ba.de.

Berlin, 3 February 2022

Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) in accordance with Section 91 SGB V
The Chair

Prof. Hecken