

Resolution

of the Federal Joint Committee on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients according to Section 35a SGB V.

Daratumumab (reassessment after the deadline (multiple myeloma, after at least 1 prior therapy, combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone or with bortezonib and dexamethasone))

of 15 September 2022

At its session on 15 September 2022, the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008 / 22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended by the publication of the resolution of D Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD.MM.YYYY BX), as follows:

- In Annex XII, the information on the benefit assessment of daratumumab in the version of the resolution of 15 February 2018 (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 15.03.2018 B3), last amended by the announcement of the resolution of 17 June 2021 (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 02.07.2021 B3) on patient group a) shall be amended as follows:
- 1. Before number 1 "Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy", the following section is added to the section "Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 20 May 2016)":

"Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 15 September 2022):

Darzalex is indicated in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone, or bortezomib and dexamethasone, for the treatment of adult patients with multiple myeloma who have received at least one prior therapy."

- 2. Number 1 "Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy" shall be amended as follows:
 - a) in the section preceding the heading "Study results according to endpoints:", the section following point a) shall be replaced by the following section a):

"a) Adults with multiple myeloma who have received at least one prior therapy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- bortezomib in combination with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin
- bortezomib in combination with dexamethasone

or

elotuzumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone or

- carfilzomib in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone or

- carfilzomib in combination with dexamethasone

Extent and probability of the additional base of lenalidomide and devamenthasone

with lenalidomide and devamenthasone with lenalidomide in combination with dexamethasone, or bortezomib in combination with dexamethasone:

Proof of a considerable additional benefit

- b) The section under the heading "Study results according to endpoints" shall be amended as follows:
 - aa) The information in the footnote 1 "1Data from IQWiG's dossier assessment (A17-
 - 40) and the addendum (A18-03), unless otherwise indicated" shall be replaced by the following information: "1 Data from IQWiG's dossier assessment (A22-40), unless otherwise indicated.".
 - e section following point a) shall be replaced by the following section a):

"a) Adults with multiple myeloma who have received at least one prior therapy

	Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints						
Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary					
Mortality	个个	Advantage in overall survival					
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessmen					
Health-related quality of life	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessmen					
Side effects	↓↓	Disadvantage in the endpoint of severe adverse e (CTCAE grade ≥ 3) and, in detail, of specific advers					
	ant or relevar ta for the ben	efit assessment.					
Resolution refu	is to self	Advantage in overall survival No relevant differences for the benefit assessment or relevant differences for the benefit assessment of the benefit					

- $\label{eq:lambda} {\bf \uparrow}: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data$
- ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

CASTOR study (data cut-off: 28.06.2021):

Daratumumab + bortezomib + dexamethasone vs bortezomib + dexamethasone Study design: randomised, open-label, actively controlled

POLLUX study (data cut-off: 30.09.2021):

Daratumumab + lenalidomide + dexamethasone vs lenalidomide + dexamethasone Study design: randomised, open-label, actively controlled

Mortality

ortality		domised, open-label, a			es. Let
Endpoint	C	Paratumumab arm		Comparator arm	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a
Overall survival	•			es all	
CASTOR	251	49.6 [42.2; 62.3] 148 (59.0)	247 0	38.5 [31.2; 46.2] 171 (69.2)	0.74 [0.59; 0.92] 0.008 11.1 months
POLLUX	286	67.6 [53.1; 80.5] 153 (53.5)	283	51.8 [44.0; 60.0] 175 (61.8)	0.73 [0.58; 0.91] 0.005 15.8 months
Meta-analysis	.01	ers version			0.74 [0.63; 0.86] < 0.001

N	Morbidity								
	Endpoint	Daratumumab arm		Comparator arm		Intervention vs control			
ì		Z	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Z	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD)ª			
X	Progression-free s	urviva	al (PFS) ^b						
	CASTOR	251	16.72 [13.14; 19.38] 195 (77.7%)	247	7.06 [6.21; 7.66] 209 (84.6%)	0.31 [0.24; 0.39] < 0.0001 9.66 months			
	POLLUX	286	45.80 [34.14; 54.60]	283	17.51 [13.93; 20.83]	0.47 [0.38; 0.57]			

Endpoint	D	Paratumumab arm		Comparator arm	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a
		(%) 181 (63.3%)		n (%) 223 (78.8%)	< 0.0001
					28.29 months
		y - time to deterioratio	n ^c		Ani la
Symptom scales o	fthe E	ORTC QLQ-C30			original and the second
Fatigue	1			7, 6,	0
CASTOR	251	1.5 [1.5; 2.1] 180 (71.7)	247	2,0 [1(5; 2.9] (61-1)	1.10 [0.88; 1.38] 0.379
POLLUX	286	1.9 [1.3; 2.0] 203 (71.0)	283	(2.0 (1.9; 2.8] (193 (68.2)	1.08 [0.89; 1.33] 0.431
Meta-analysis		[1.3; 2.0] 203 (71.0)	SON	0	1.09 [0.94; 1.26] 0.266
Nausea and vomit	ing	50,70,			
CASTOR	251	133 (53.0)	247	n.a. [7.9; n.c.] 79 (32.0)	1.31 [0.98; 1.74] 0.069
POLLUX	286	13.0 [9.3; 16.9] 156 (54.5)	283	10.2 [5.8; 15.6] 145 (51.2)	0.89 [0.70; 1.12] 0.309
POLLUX Meta-analysis					1.04 [0.87; 1.25] 0.677
Pain					
CASTOR	251	3.5 [2.8; 4.0] 156 (62.2)	247	3.6 [2.8; 4.9] 125 (50.6)	1.04 [0.82; 1.33] 0.738
POLLUX	286	5.6 [3.8; 10.3] 176 (61.5)	283	5.6 [3.7; 7.5] 174 (61.5)	0.89 [0.72; 1.11] 0.298
Meta-analysis					0.95

Endpoint	D	Paratumumab arm		Comparator arm	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute
		(%)		n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
					[0.81; 1.12]
Dyspnoea				,	dui Pi
CASTOR	251	3.6 [2.8; 4.9] 145 (57.8)	247	2.9 [2.3; 4.3] 128 (51.8)	0.92 [0.72; 1.18] 0.512
POLLUX	286	4.7 [2.9; 6.6] 176 (61.5)	283	[3,8; 8.4] 268 (59.4)	1.02 [0.82; 1.26] 0.876
Meta-analysis		e.S	efit	15 (59.4)	0.98 [0.83; 1.15] 0.766
Insomnia		al pe	811	•	
CASTOR	251	2,4 [2,1;3.5] 152 (60,6)	247	2.9 [2.1; 5.7] 118 (47.8)	1.08 [0.84; 1.39] 0.538
POLLUX	286	66 [4.7; 9.2] 163 (57.0)	283	3.8 [2.9; 5.8] 171 (60.4)	0.83 [0.67; 1.03] 0.092
Meta-analysis Appetite loss	CUR				0.93 [0.79; 1.09] 0.367
Appetite loss	1				
Appetite loss	251	5.0 [4.2; 6.9] 138 (55.0)	247	6.0 [4.6; 7.0] 109 (44.1)	1.06 [0.82; 1.38] 0.632
POLLUX	286	7.2 [4.9; 10.3] 170 (59.4)	283	9.6 [5.3; 14.1] 148 (52.3)	1.12 [0.90; 1.40] 0.317
Meta-analysis					1.09 [0.92; 1.30] 0.293
Constipation					

Endpoint	C	Paratumumab arm	(Comparator arm	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute
		(%)		n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
CASTOR	251	8.8 [4.2; 16.6] 120 (47.8)	247	6.2 [4.5; n.c.] 100 (40.5)	1.01 [0. 97 ; 1.33] 0.948
POLLUX	286	4.7 [2.9; 7.0] 162 (56.6)	283	3.3 [2.0; 5.7] 165 (58.3)	0.87 0.70; 1.08] 0.214
Meta-analysis				ASSESSITIONS OF THE PROPERTY O	0.92 [0.78; 1.09] 0.346
Diarrhoea			413	ass cent	
CASTOR	251	5.7 [4.2; 9.1] 141 (56.2)	247	6.6 [4.9; 10.1] 98 (39.7)	1.16 [0.89; 1.52] 0.284
POLLUX	286	5.7 [42]; 7.6] 195 (68.2)	283	5.7 [4.6; 7.7] 190 (67.1)	0.90 [0.73; 1.11] 0.332
Meta-analysis	101	[40; 7.6] 195 (682)			0.99 [0.84; 1.17] 0.916f
Health status	, JU				
EQ-5D VAS (time t	o dete	erioration) ^d			
CASTOR	251	10.1 [5.6; 28.2] 115 (45.8)	247	6.4 [4.4; n.c.] 98 (39.7)	0.88 [0.66; 1.16] 0.366
POLLUX	286	11.2 [7.9; 21.1] 145 (50.7)	283	11.6 [8.9; 18.6] 129 (45.6)	1.02 [0.80; 1.30] 0.896
Meta-analysis					0.96 [0.80; 1.15] 0.647

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint	C	Paratumumab arm	(Comparator arm	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute
	••-	(%)	-•	n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
-		flife - time to deteriora		2010.030	"Hes all
Global health stati		d functional scales of the	e EUR I	CQLQ-C30	000/1
CASTOR	251	3.5 [2.8; 6.1] 139 (55.4)	247	4.0 [2.9;5(1] 118 (47.8)	0.97 [0.76; 1.25] 0.831
POLLUX	286	4.7 [2.9; 7.4] 169 (59.1)	283	4.7 [2.9)7.5] 469 (59.7)	0.92 [0.74; 1.15] 0.463
Meta-analysis		Jeld bel	SAL	armi	0.94 [0.80; 1.11] 0.475
Physical functionin	ıg	Jelo Fill			
CASTOR	251	(3.4,4) [3.6;5,7] 154(61.4)	247	4.3 [3.5; 5.9] 119 (48.2)	0.98 [0.76; 1.26] 0.889
POLLUX	286	6.0 [4.0; 8.6] 169 (59.1)	283	7.5 [5.6; 10.2] 162 (57.2)	1.01 [0.81; 1.26] 0.909
Meta-analysis))				1.00 [0.84; 1.18] 0.971
Role functioning	ī				
CASTOR	251	2.3 [1.6; 2.9] 165 (65.7)	247	2.8 [2.1; 3.8] 131 (53.0)	1.18 [0.93; 1.49] 0.174
POLLUX	286	3.7 [2.8; 4.7] 195 (68.2)	283	3.1 [2.8; 4.7] 186 (65.7)	0.97 [0.79; 1.19] 0.770
Meta-analysis					1.06 [0.90; 1.23] 0.495

Endpoint	C	Daratumumab arm	(Comparator arm	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute
		(%)		n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
Emotional function	ning				ot ot
CASTOR	251	6.0 [4.5; 10.5] 131 (52.2)	247	4.9 [3.5; 7.1] 110 (44.5)	0.83 [0.64,1.08] 0.169
POLLUX	286	6.6 [4.7; 11.4] 150 (52.4)	283	8.4 [4.9; 13.0] 143 (50.5)	1.04 [0.82; 1.31] 0.768
Meta-analysis			C	ASSESSITION STATE OF THE PARTY	0.94 [0.79; 1.12] 0.492
Cognitive function	ing		SIL	Mar	
CASTOR	251	3.5 [2.8; 4.2] 152 (60.6)	247	3.5 [2.3; 4.9] 124 (50.2)	0.95 [0.74; 1.21] 0.671
POLLUX	286	(3.8; 7.4] (3.8; 7.4] (47.1)	283	4.7 [3.1; 6.6] 174 (61.5)	0.96 [0.78; 1.19] 0.703
Meta-analysis	101	[3.8; 7.4] [9.8; 7.4] (192)(67.1)			0.96 [0.81; 1.12] 0.580
Social functioning	Cn.				
POHUX	251	2.9 [2.2; 3.6] 171 (68.1)	247	3.0 [2.2; 4.2] 130 (52.6)	1.12 [0.88; 1.42] 0.352
POHOX	286	3.8 [3.0; 6.5] 181 (63.3)	283	2.9 [2.0; 4.6] 190 (67.1)	0.80 [0.65; 0.99] 0.038 0.9 months
Meta-analysis					0.93 [0.79; 1.08] 0.343

Side effects

Endpoint	D	aratumumab arm		Comparator arm	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^a
Adverse events (A	Es) (pr	esented additionally)			'62, W.
CASTOR	243	0.03 [0.03; 0.10] 241 (99.2)	237	0.3 [0.3; 0.5] 226 (95.4)	Edine Pulle,
POLLUX	283	0.03 [n.c.] 282 (99.6)	281	0.2 [0.1; 0.3] 274(97.5)	<u>.</u>
Meta-analysis				es ilco.	
Serious adverse ev	vents (SAE)	C	SSCON	
CASTOR	243	14.4 [6.7; 29.0] 134 (55.1)		n.a. 81 (34.2)	1.31 [0.98; 1.76] 0.071
POLLUX	283	14.3 [9.7; (7.5] 205 (72.4)	281	15.6 [11.8; 23.2] 148 (52.7)	1.08 [0.87; 1.35] 0.468
Meta-analysis	, e)	at version			1.16 [0.97; 1.38] 0.102
Severe adverse ev	ents ((.TCAE grade≥3)			
CASTOR POLLUX	243	1.2 [0.9; 1.2] 201 (82.7)	237	1.8 [1.2; 3.5] 151 (63.7)	1.40 [1.13; 1.75] 0.002 0.6 months
POLLHX	283	1.0 [0.7; 1.4] 262 (92.6)	281	3.4 [2.3; 4.7] 231 (82.2)	1.37 [1.14; 1.65] < 0.001 2.4 months
Meta-analysis					1.38 [1.20; 1.59] < 0.001
Effect modification	on by t	he "ISS stage" characte	ristic		
ISS stage					

Endpoint	C	aratumumab arm		Comparator arm	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD)ª
CASTOR		,		, ,	+
StageI	98	1.4 [1.1; 3.0] 79 (80.6)	92	5.4 [2.1; n.c.] 45 (48.9)	(1.77 [1.22; 2.58] (0.003 (4.0 months
Stage II	92	1.2 [0.7; 1.9] 76 (82.6)	97	1.3 [1.1;2,9] 70 (72.2)	1.13 [0.81; 1.58] 0.462
Stage III	53	0.5 [0.3; 0.7] 46 (86.8)	48	[0:5, 1.7] 36 (75.0)	1.39 [0.89; 2.15] 0.148
POLLUX		c.S	(O,)	arriva	
Stagel	136	0.8 [0.7; 1.8]) 123 (90.4)	139 2	7.1 [3.7; 9.9] 107 (77.0)	1.66 [1.28; 2.16] < 0.001 6.3 months
Stage II	93	(0.7; 2.7] 89 (95.7)	86	2.4 [1.5; 3.8] 74 (86.0)	1.05 [0.77; 1.44] 0.759
Stage III Weta-analysis	54	0.7 [0.7; 1.1] 50 (92.6)	56	1.2 [0.5; 2.3] 50 (89.3)	1.20 [0.81; 1.78] 0.369
Meta-analysis					Interaction: 0.019 ^h
Stage I					1.70 [1.37; 2.10] ^h < 0.001 ^h
Stage II					1.09 [0.86; 1.37] ^h 0.476 ^h
Stage III					1.28 [0.95; 1.72] ^h

Endpoint	C	Paratumumab arm		Comparator arm	Intervention vs control	
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD)ª	
					0.099 ^h	
Specific adverse e	vents				162 UL	
Reaction in connec	tion w	ith an infusion		(690,61	
CASTOR			Evalua	tion unsuitable ^f	, city	
POLLUX				tion unsuitable ^f	(e)	
Peripheral neuropa	thy NI	RE (HLT, severe AE) ^g		~~~		
CASTOR	243	n.a. 14 (5.8)	237	Sh.a. (1) 17 (1) 2)	0.67 [0.32; 1.38] 0.276	
Vomiting (PT, AE)	•		SIL	Ma		
CASTOR	243	n.a. 30 (12.3)	237	n.a. 9 (3.8)	2.89 [1.35; 6.18] 0.006	
POLLUX	283	66 (23,3)	281	n.a. 20 (7.1)	2.94 [1.77; 4.88] < 0.001	
Meta-analysis	16/	em disorders (SOC, seve			2.92 [1.92; 4.46] < 0.001 ^h	
Blood and lymphat	ic syst	em disorders (SOC, seve	re AEs)		
CASTOR	243	1.9 [1.2; 14.8] 137 (56.4)	237	n.a. 95 (40.1)	1.62 [1.24; 2.12] < 0.001	
POLLOX	283	3.5 [1.6; 8.9] 184 (65.0)	281	9.9 [6.7; 14.9] 163 (58.0)	1.21 [0.98; 1.51] 0.080	
Meta-analysis 1.36 [1.15; 1.61] < 0.001 ^h						
Respiratory, thorac	cic and	mediastinal disorders (SOC, se	evere AEs)		
CASTOR	243	n.a. 36 (14.8)	237	n.a. 12 (5.1)	2.36 [1.20; 4.64]	

Endpoint	C	Daratumumab arm	1	Comparator arm	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD)a
					0.013
POLLUX	283	n.a. 43 (15.2)	281	n.a. 24 (8.5)	21.28 [0.76,2:15] 20.354
Meta-analysis				sseshicals Di	1.61 [1.06; 2.43] 0.024 ^h
Diarrhoea (PT, seve	ere AEs	5)		55 . (3)	
CASTOR	243	n.a. 10 (4.1)	237	(1.3)	3.00 [0.81; 11.14] 0.101
POLLUX	283	n.a. 29 (10.2)	201	n.a. 11 (3.9)	1.83 [0.90; 3.72] 0.096
Meta-analysis		n.a. 18 (7.4)			2.05 [1.10; 3.82] 0.024 ^h
Hypertension (PT,	severe	AEs)			
CASTOR	243	n.a. 18 (7.4)	237	n.a. 2 (0.8)	7.01 [1.60; 30.71] 0.010
POLLUX SOLITIE	283	n.a. 13 (4.6)	281	n.a. 5 (1.8)	1.82 [0.64; 5.20] 0.266
Meta-analysis					2.86 [1.22; 6.72] 0.016 ^h

 $[^]a\ Absolute\ difference\ (AD)\ given\ only in\ the\ case\ of\ a\ statistically\ significant\ difference;\ own\ calculation$

^b Data from: Dossier on daratumumab Module 4A dated 31.03.2022

^c Time to first deterioration. An increase in score by ≥ 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100).

d Time to first deterioration. A decrease in score by ≥ 15 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100).

e Time to first deterioration. A decrease in score by ≥ 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100).

Endpoint	C	Daratumumab arm	Comparator arm		Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD)ª

The evaluation submitted by the pharmaceutical company is not suitable for the benefit assessment, but the results underlying the endpoint are additionally recorded via the specific AEs.

Abbreviations used:

"

AD = absolute difference; NRE = not recorded elsewhere; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EORTC = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer; HLT = high level term; HR = hazardratio; ISS = International Staging System; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; QLQ-C30 = Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30; VAS = visual analogue scale; vs = versus

- 3. Number 2 "Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment" is amended as follows:
 - a) In the heading, the words "patients and" shall be inserted after the words "number of".
 - b) The section after point a) is replaced by the following section a):
 - a) Adults with multiple myeloma who have received at least one prior therapy approx. 4,700 to 7,000 patients".
- 4. The information in number 3 "Requirements for a quality-assured application" is replaced by the following:

"The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Darzalex (active ingredient: daratumumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 1 June 2022):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/darzalex-epar-product-information en.pdf

Treatment with daratumumab should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology and oncology experienced in the treatment of patients with multiple myeloma.

Figure 7 This AE is specific for the active ingredient bortezomib and therefore, not relevant for the POLLUX study.

h IQWiG calculation

In accordance with the European Medicines Agency (EMA) requirements regarding additional risk minimisation measures, the pharmaceutical company must provide training material and a patient identification card. The training material for medical professionals and blood banks contains instructions on how to manage the risk of daratumumab interfering with blood typing (indirect antihuman globulin test or indirect Coombs test). Interference with blood typing induced by daratumumab may persist for up to 6 months after the last infusion of the medicinal product; therefore, medical professionals should advise patients to carry their a) Under the heading 'Annual treatment costs:', the following sentence is inserted:

e annual treatment costs shown refer to the first year of treatment costs shown refer to the first year of treatment costs shown refer to the first year of treatment costs.' patient identification card with them for up to 6 months after the end of the treatment."

- 5. Number 4 "Treatment costs" is amended as follows:

"The annual treatment costs shown refer to the first year of treatment

- a) Adults with multiple myeloma who have req

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Daratumumab in combination with lenalidom	Daratumumab in combination with lenalidomide and dexamethasone				
Daratumumab	€ 133,535.38				
Lenalidomide	€ 1,282.19				
Dexamethasone	€ 108.01				
Total	€ 134,975.58				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 343.77 - € 344.44				
Daratumumab in combination with bortezom	iib and dexamethasone				
Daratumumab	€ 121,969.26				
Bortezomib	€ 27,823.68				
Dexamethasone	€ 147.69				
Total	€ 149,940.63				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 294.09 - € 294.70				
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
Bortezomib in combination with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin					
Bortezomib	€ 27,823.68				
Doxorubicin (pegylated, liposomal)	€ 20,920.24				
Total	€ 48,743.92				
Bortezomib in combination with dexamethasone					

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient				
Bortezomib	€ 13,911.84 - € 27,823.68				
Dexamethasone	€ 104.56 - € 169.36				
Total	€ 14,016.40 - € 27,993.04				
Lenalidomide in combination with dexame	thasone				
Lenalidomide	€ 1,282.19				
Dexamethasone	€ 312.87				
Total	€ 1,595.06				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40				
Elotuzumab in combination with lenalidom	ide and dexamethasone				
Elotuzumab	€ 88,225.80				
Lenalidomide	€ 1,282.19				
Dexamethasone	€ 1,282.19 € 186.01 € 89,694.00				
Total	€ 89,694.00				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 363.16 - € 364.03				
Carfilzomib in combination with lenalidom	de and dexamethasone				
Carfilzomib	€ 81,879.52				
Lenalidomide	£1,282.19				
Dexamethasone	€ 193.68				
Total	€83,355.39				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40				
Carfilzomib in combination with dexameth	asone				
Carfilzomib	€ 154,432.44				
Dexamethasone	€ 243.53				
Total ;(O)	€ 154,675.97				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 106.40				
Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUI	ER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 August 2022)				
Designation Type of somiles Cost	of Niveshord Niveshord Costal				

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Daratumumab in combination with bortezomib and dexamethasone					

Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 81	4	32	€ 2,592
Appropriate co	mparator therapy:				
Bortezomib in c	ombination with pegy	ılated liposomal	doxorubicin		
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	4	32 Procedition of the children	€ 2,592
Doxorubicin (pegylated, liposomal)	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	Day4 21-day cycle	8010	€ 648
Bortezomib in c	ombination with dexa	ımethasone			
Bortezomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	(3) (1) (1) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3	4	16 - 32	€ 1,296 - € 2,592
	combination with lena	lidomide and de	xamethasone		
Elotuzumabili	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1st - 2nd cycle: 4 From 3rd cycle: 2	30	€ 2,130
Carfilzomib in c	ombination with lenal	idomide and de	xamethasone		
Carfilzomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1st - 12th cycle: 6 From 13th cycle: 4	76	€ 6,156

Carfilzomib in	combination with dexc	ımethasone			
Carfilzomib	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	6	78	€ 6,318
" . Entry into	force			At Procedu	bsite of the G-
	ution will enter into f September 2022.	orce on the da	y of its publice	tion on the we	bsite of the G-
Gazette, E	ntion on the period of Banz AT 15.03.2018 E e 2021 (Federal Gazet	3) last amend	ed by the anno	ouncement of	
The justificati ba.de.	on to this resolution v	will be published	ed on the webs	ite of the G-BA	at <u>www.g-</u>
Berlin, 15 Sep	tember 2022	10, 8,11			

- Federal Joint Committee (G-BA)
 In accordance with Section 91 SGB V
 The Chair

 Prof. Hecken