

Resolution

of the Federal Joint Committee on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients according to Section 35a SGB V Birch Bark Extract (treatment of wounds associated with epidermolysis bullosa (6 months and older))

of 16 February 2023

At its session on 16 February 2023, the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008 / 22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended by the publication of the resolution of D Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD.MM.YYYY BX), as follows:

I. Annex XII shall be amended in alphabetical order to include the active ingredient Birch bark extract as follows:

Birch bark extract

Resolution of: 16 February 2023 Entry into force on: 16 February 2023 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD. MM YYYY Bx

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 21 June 2022):

Treatment of partial thickness wounds associated with dystrophic and junctional epidermolysis bullosa (EB) in patients 6 months and older.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 16.02.2023):

See therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

1. Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence

Birch bark extract is approved as a medicinal product for the treatment of rare diseases under Regulation (EC) No. 141/2000 of the European Parliament and the Council of 16 December 1999 on orphan drugs. In accordance with Section 35a, paragraph 1, sentence 11, 1st half of the sentence SGB V, the additional medical benefit is considered to be proven through the grant of the marketing authorisation.

The Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) determines the extent of the additional benefit for the number of patients and patient groups for which there is a therapeutically significant additional benefit in accordance with Chapter 5, Section 12, paragraph 1, number 1, sentence 2 of its Rules of Procedure (VerfO) in conjunction with Section 5, paragraph 8 AM-NutzenV, indicating the significance of the evidence. This quantification of the additional benefit is based on the criteria laid out in Chapter 5, Section 5, paragraph 7, numbers 1 to 4 of the Rules of Procedure (VerfO).

<u>Children</u>, adolescents and adults aged 6 months and above with wounds associated with dystrophic or junctional epidermolysis bullosa

Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence of birch bark extract:

Hint for a minor additional benefit

Study results according to endpoints:1

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No deaths occurred.
Morbidity	↑	Advantage in the endpoint of first complete wound closure
Health-related quality of life	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessment.

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 \downarrow : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

个个: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

BEB-13 (EASE) study: pivotal, multicentre, double-blind RCT birch bark extract vs control gel (90-day double-blind period (DBP))

Mortality

Endpoint	
Overall survival	No deaths occurred during the DBP of the EASE study.

Morbidity

Endpoint	Bir	Birch bark extract		Placebo	Intervention vs control
	Nª	Patients with event n (%)	Nª	Patients with event n (%)	Relative risk [95% CI] p value ^b
First complete wound closure of t	he EB	target wound with	in 45 c	lays	
 according to clinical assessment 	109	45 (41.3)	114	33 (28.9)	1.44 [1.01; 2.05]; 0.041
 which is confirmed by a second observation after 7 days 	109	19 (17.4)	114	10 (8.8)	2.03 [0.99; 4.18]; 0.048

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the G-BA (published on 1. Dezember 2022), unless otherwise indicated.

_	which did not open again until day 45		27 (24.8)	114	23 (20.2)	1.23 [0.76; 2.01] 0.400
W	ound infection					
_	of the target wound ^c	109	2 (1.8)	114	5 (4.4)	0.44 [0.08; 2.34]; 0.326
_	of additional wounds (defined as wounds that met the target wound criteria) ^d	109	2 (1.8)	114	1 (0.9)	-
_	of other wounds (defined as wounds that did not meet the target wound criteria) ^e	109	12 (11.0)	114	18 (15.8)	-

Endpoint		Birch bark ext	ract	r.	Placebo		Intervention vs control	
	Nª	Patients with event n (%)	Median time (days) [95% CI]	Nª	Patients with event n (%)	Median time (days) [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^f	
Time to first	first complete closure of the target wound according to clinical assessment (preadly)							
	109	50 (50.5)	92.0 [50.0; NE]	114	50 (43.9)	94.0 [89.0; NE]	0.86 [0.57; 1.31]; 0.251	

Endpoint	Bir	ch bark extract		Placebo	Intervention vs control
	Nª	Patients with event n (%) ^g	Nª	Patients with event n (%) ^g	LS mean difference [95% CI] p value ^h
Wound status: Change in EB targe	et wou	nd size (presented	additio	onally)	
Size of EB target wound at baseline [cm²]	109	107 (98.2) <i>MV (SD):</i> 16.7 (17.6)	114	111 (97.4) <i>MV (SD)</i> : 17.4 (12.2)	-
Percentage change in target wound size compared to baseline on day 60	109	84 (77.1) LS mean (SE): -49.07 (8.70)	114	92 (80.7) LS mean (SE): -40.99 (8.08)	-8.09 [-26.61; 10.43]; 0.390
Body surface area percentage (BS additionally)	AP) af	fected by partial th	icknes	ss EB wounds (pres	ented
BSAP according to Lund-Browder diagram at baseline	109	109 (100.0) <i>MV (SD):</i> 12.06 (9.97)	114	113 (99.1) <i>MV (SD):</i> 12.18 (12.22)	-
Change in BSAP compared to baseline on day 90	109	86 (78.9) LS mean (SE):	114	85 (74.6) LS mean (SE):	-1.28

		-3.41 (0.82)		-2.13 (0.79)	[-2.87; 0.30], 0.111
Frequency of dressing change (pr	esente	ed additionally)			
Frequency of dressing change per week at baseline	109	106 (97.2) <i>MV (SD):</i> 4.81 (1.97)	114	112 (98.2) <i>MV (SD):</i> 5.04 (1.96)	-
Change in frequency of dressing changes per week compared to baseline on day 90	109	101 (92.7) LS mean (SE): -0.65 (0.21)	114	105 (92.1) LS mean (SE): -0.03 (0.20)	-0.62 [-1.03; -0.22]; 0.0027

Endpoint	Birch bark extract Placebo				Placebo	
	N ^a	Patients with event n (%)g	Median (min; max)	Nª	Patients with event n (%) ^g	Median (min; max)
Background pain						
Children < 4 years acc	ording	to FLACC total score	ji			
Baseline	7	7 (100)	0 (0; 4)	10	10 (100)	0.5 (0; 4)
Change to baseline on day 60	7	7 (100)	0 (-4; 0)	10	8 (80)	0 (-4; 1)
			G	roup di	fference p value: no	n-assessable ^j
Age group ≥ 4 years a	ccordi	ng to Wong-Baker FA	ACES pain rati	ng scale	pi	
Baseline	102	102 (100)	3 (0; 10)	104	102 (98.1)	2 (0; 10)
Change to baseline on day 90	102	79 (77.5)	0 (-8; 6)	104	79 (76.0)	0 (-8; 6)
					Group difference p	value: 0.771 ^k
Procedural pain						
Children < 4 years acc	ording	to FLACC total score	și.			
Baseline	7	7 (100)	3 (2; 10)	10	10 (100)	2 (0; 10)
Change to baseline on day 60	7	7 (100)	-2 (-9; 6)	10	8 (80)	0 (-10; 4)
			G	roup di	fference p value: no	n-assessable ^j
Age group ≥ 4 years a	ccordi	ng to Wong-Baker FA	ACES pain rati	ng scale	și	
Baseline	102	98 (96.1)	4 (0; 10)	104	100 (96.1)	2 (0; 10)
Change to baseline on day 90	102	76 (74.5)	-1 (-10; 8)	104	78 (75.0)	0 (-10; 6)
					Group difference p	value: 0.051 ^k

Endpoint		Birch bark extra	act					
	Na	Patients with event n (%) ^g	Median (min; max)	Nª	Patients with event n (%) ^g	Median (min; max)		
Itching according to	Itch M	an Scale ^l in children i	in the age gro	up 4-13	3 years			
Baseline	50	50 (100)	2 (0; 4)	56	55 (98)	2 (0; 4)		
Change to baseline on day 90	50	39 (78)	-1 (-4; 3)	56	43 (77)	-1 (-4: 2)		
Group difference p va	Group difference p value: 0.182 ^k							

Endpoint		Birch bark ex	tract	Placebo							
	Nª	Patients with event n (%) ^g	MV (SD)	Nª	Patients with event n (%) ^g	MV (SD)					
Itching according to	tching according to Leuven Itch Scale ^m in the age group ≥ 14 years										
Frequency subscale											
Baseline	52	52 (100)	69.2 (25.5)	48	48 (100)	68.8 (26.6)					
Change to baseline on day 60	52	40 (76.9)	-11.9 (25.94)	48	39 (81.3)	-9.6 (24.07)					
					Group difference	p value: 0.871					
Change to baseline on day 90	52	40 (76.9)	-8.1 (26.2)	48	37 (77.1)	-10.1 (27.3)					
					Group difference	p value: 0.344					
Duration subscale											
Baseline	52	49 (94.2)	31.3 (43.8)	48	47 (97.9)	24.8 (37.1)					
Change to baseline on day 60	52	37 (71.2)	-8.11 (36.35)	48	37 (77.1)	-0.90 (41.19)					
					Group difference	p value: 0.350					
Strength subscale											
Baseline	52	49 (94.2)	54.5 (22.55)	48	47 (97.9)	51.5 (26.29)					
Change to baseline on day 60	52	37 (71.2)	-10.5 (24.60)	48	37 (77.1)	-4.3 (33.13)					
					Group difference	p value: 0.400					
Symptom consequer	nces su	bscale									
Baseline	52	49 (94.2)	28.29 (22.47)	48	47 (97.9)	30.85 (25.56)					
Change to baseline on day 60	52	37 (71.2)	-5.59 (14.52)	48	37 (77.1)	-6.22 (16.51)					
					Group difference	p value: 0.113					

Distress subscale ⁿ								
Baseline	52	49 (94.2)	42.9 (31.09)	48	47 (97.9)	43.2 (32.24)		
Change to baseline on day 60	52	37 (71.2)	-9.5 (21.47)	48	37 (77.1)	-2.4 (25.43)		
					Group difference	p value: 0.116 ^l		
Symptom localisatio	n subs	cale						
Baseline	52	49 (94.2)	35.64 (24.26)	48	47 (97.9)	33.55 (24.51)		
Change to baseline on day 60	52	37 (71.2)	-3.53 (15.34)	48	37 (77.1)	-1.66 (17.50)		
	Group difference p value: 0.916							

Endpoint	E	Birch bark extract		Placebo	Intervention vs control
	Nª	Patients with event n (%) ^g	Nª	Patients with event n (%) ^g	LS mean difference [95% CI] p value ^h
Sleep impairment a	ccordi	ng to 11-point Likert sc	ale in s	ubjects≥14 years of ag	e
Baseline	52	52 (100) MV (SD): 4.6 (3.42)	48	48 (100) MV (SD): 4.4 (3.13)	-
Change to baseline on day 90	52	40 (76.9) LS mean (SE): -0.75 (0.50)	48	92 (80.7) LS mean (SE): -1.12 (0.46)	0.37 [-0.77; 1.51]; 0.519

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint	
Quality of life	No data could be considered.

Side effects

Endpoint	Birch bark extract		Placebo		Intervention vs control
	Nº	Patients with event n (%)	Nº	Patients with event n (%)	Relative risk [95% CI] p value ^p
Adverse events (AEs)	108	88 (81)	113	91 (81)	-
Serious AEs (SAEs)	108	7 (6)	113	6 (5)	1.24 [0.43; 3.57]; 0.6909

Severe AEs	108	13 (12)	113	6 (5)	2.40 [0.98; 5.87]; 0.0543		
Therapy discontinuations due to AEsq	108	3 (3)	113	4 (4)	0.79 [0.18; 3.47]; 0.7537		
Adverse events with incidence ≥ 10% according to MedDRA system organ class							
Infections and infestations	108	37 (34)	113	36 (32)	1.08 [0.75; 1.56]; 0.6756		
Gastrointestinal disorders	108	11 (10)	113	14 (12)	0.80 [0.38; 1.68]; 0.5495		
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	108	11 (10)	113	15 (13)	0.76 [0.36; 1.61]; 0.4776		
General disorders and administration site conditions	108	21 (19)	113	25 (22)	0.88 [0.52; 1.49]; 0.6403		
- Fever (PT)	108	9 (8)	113	15 (13)	0.62 [0.27; 1.39]; 0.2418		
Injury, poisoning and procedural complications	108	68 (63)	113	65 (58)	1.10[0.89; 1.36]; 0.3814		
- Wound complication (PT)	108	66 (61)	113	60 (53)	1.16 [0.92; 1.47]; 0.2017		

- a. FAS population.
- b. Stratified analysis. Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test stratified by EB subtype and target wound size category.
- c. In 1 subject in the intervention group, a wound infection was falsely reported as an infection of the target wound, although it was an infection of a "different" wound. A corrected analysis was performed post hoc with the corrected event rates (1 [0.9%] vs 5 [4.4%]), and no statistically significant difference was observed (relative risk 0.23 [95% CI 0.03; 1.97]; p = 0.142).
- d. At baseline, 33 people in the intervention arm and 30 people in the control arm had at least 1 additional wound (Table 10).
- e. No information is available on how many people were affected by other wounds; the corrected analysis (see footnote c) showed an incidence of 13 (12%) vs 18 (16%) people with wound infections of other wounds.
- f. Cox regression model with treatment group, EB subtype, target wound size category, wound dressing type until day 90, baseline haemoglobin, baseline albumin and age of wound as covariates. p value based on log-rank test stratified by B subtype.
- g. Number of patients evaluated. % share in relation to the randomised study population.
- h. Stratified analysis: ANCOVA with treatment group and EB severity and target wound size at baseline as fixed effects and baseline value as covariate.
- i. Scale 0–10. A higher score represents greater pain.
- j. Due to the small number of subjects, the test statistic including p value was not estimable for the planned analysis (2-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test stratified by EB subtype and target wound size category at baseline).
- k. 2-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test using the Van Elteren extension, stratified by EB subtype and target wound size category at baseline
- I. Scale 0–4. A higher score represents more intense itching.
- m. Scale 0-100. A higher score represents more intense itching.
- n. Some study sites used an incorrect length of continuous VAS for the intensity and stress domains. A corrected analysis was carried out post hoc in which the values recorded with an incorrectly measured scale were converted to the correct scale. The corrected analysis did not produce any results that differed from the analysis shown here.
- Safety analysis set of the EASE study without subjects with EB simplex (n = 1 per treatment arm).
- Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel-Chi² hypothesis test stratified according to the factors EB severity and EB target wound size category.
- q. The study participants received the study medication until the end of the DBP. When the wound was closed, further treatment was not necessary. Wound status deterioration, infection of the EB target wound, occurrence of unacceptable AEs and use of unauthorised concomitant medications were major protocol-defined reasons for discontinuation. The possible reasons for discontinuation that may occur prior to a potential discontinuation due to AEs represent a competing event for therapy discontinuation due to AEs. Against the background that these events occurred only to a

small extent, they have no impact on the certainty of results and interpretability of the AEs that led to discontinuation of the study medication.

Abbreviations used: ANCOVA: Analysis of covariance; BSAP: Body Surface Area Percentage; DBP: double-blind period; EB: Epidermolysis bullosa; FAS: Full Analysis Set; FLACC: Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, Consolability; HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; LS: Least Squares; max: maximum; min: minimum; MV: mean value; N: number of patients evaluated; n: Number of patients with (at least one) event; NE: not estimable; SD: standard deviation; SE: standard error; (S)AE: (serious) adverse event; VAS: visual analogue scale

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

<u>Children, adolescents and adults aged 6 months and above with wounds associated with dystrophic or junctional epidermolysis bullosa</u>

Approx. 270 to 860 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Filsuvez (active ingredient: birch bark extract) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 1 February 2023):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/filsuvez-epar-product-information en.pdf

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient			
Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Birch bark extract	Different from patient to patient			

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 February 2023)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

5. Medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with birch bark extract

Medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V are medicinal products with the following new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy with birch bark extract for the treatment of partial thickness wounds associated with dystrophic and junctional epidermolysis bullosa (EB) in patients 6

months and older on the basis of the marketing authorisation granted under Medicinal Products Act:

<u>Children</u>, adolescents and adults aged 6 months and above with wounds associated with dystrophic or junctional epidermolysis bullosa

- No active ingredient that can be used in a combination therapy that fulfils the requirements of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.
- II. The resolution will enter into force on the day of its publication on the website of the G-BA on 16 February 2023.

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at <u>www.g-ba.de</u>.

Berlin, 16 February 2023

Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) in accordance with Section 91 SGB V
The Chair

Prof. Hecken