

# Resolution

of the Federal Joint Committee on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients according to Section 35a (SGB V). Ibrutinib (New Therapeutic Indication: Chronic Lymphocytic irectivel Leukaemia, First-line, Combination with Venetoclax

of 20 July 2023

At its session on 20 July 2023, the Federal Joint Committee G-BA resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008 / 22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended by the publication of the resolution of D Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD.MM.YYYY BX), as follows:

hereit assessment version In Annex XII, the following information shall be added after No. 4 to the information on the benefit assessment of Ibrutinib in accordance with the resolution of 1 April

#### Ibrutinib

Resolution of: 20 July 2023 Entry into force on: 20 July 2023 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD. MM YYYY Bx

#### New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 02 August 2022):

Imbruvica as a single agent or in combination with rituximab or obinutuzumab or venetoclax is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL).

#### Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 20 July 2023):

Imbruvica in combination with venetoclax is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL).

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

#### Adults with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL)

#### Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Ibrutinib

or

- Ibrutinib in combination with rituximab or obinutuzumab

or

- Fludarabine in combination with cyclophosphamide and rituximab [FCR] (only for patients without genetic risk factors and < 65 years of age who are eligible for therapy with FCR on the basis of their general condition and comorbidities)
  - Bendamustine in combination with rituximab (only for patients without genetic risk factors and who are ineligible for therapy with FCR according to the above criteria)
  - Chlorambucil in combination with rituximab or obinutuzumab (only for patients without genetic risk factors and who are ineligible for therapy with FCR according to the above criteria)

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of ibrutinib in combination with venetoclax compared to chlorambucil in combination with obinutuzumab

Adults with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) without the a) presence of genetic risk factors who are ineligible for therapy with FCR on the basis of their general condition and comorbidities

An additional benefit is not proven.

#### Extent and probability of the additional benefit of ibrutinib in combination with venetoclax compared to the appropriate comparator therapy

b) Adults with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia out genetic <u>ic Omp</u>r risk factors who are eligible for therapy with FCR on the basis of their general condition and comorbidities and adults with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) with genetic risk factors

An additional benefit is not proven.

#### Study results according to endpoints:<sup>1</sup>

a) Adults with previously untreated chronic Omphocytic leukaemia (CLL) without the presence of genetic risk factors who are ineligible for therapy with FCR on the basis of their general condition and comorbidities

#### Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	$\leftrightarrow$	No relevant difference for the benefit
		assessment.
Morbidity	$\leftrightarrow$	Overall, no differences relevant for the
alle this		benefit assessment; disadvantage in the
		endpoint of diarrhoea.
Health-related quality of life	$\leftrightarrow$	No relevant differences for the benefit
<sup>o</sup>		assessment.
Side effects	$\leftrightarrow$	No relevant differences for the benefit
6		assessment.

**Explanations:** 

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow\uparrow$ : statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A23-04) and from the addendum (A23-54), unless otherwise indicated.

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary			
	risk of bias				
$\psi\psi$ : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data					
↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference					
arnothing: No data available.					
n.a.: not assessable					

Checoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab
 Checoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab
 Change design: randomised, open-label
 Relevant sub-population: Patients without genetic risk factors for whom therapy with FCR is unsuitable
 Data cut-offs used:

 1st data cut-off: 26 February 2021 (most i'' related quality of life)
 Checoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab

- 1st data cut-off: 26 February 2021 (morbidity (except progression-free survival, health-related quality of life) 3rd data cut-off: 17 January 2022 (mortality, morbidity) effects) 
   3rd data cut-off: 17 January 2022 (mortality, morbidity (progression-free survival, health-effects)

   indpoint
   \_

Mortality	1
-----------	---

Endpoint	Ibrutinib + venetoclax		int Ibrutinib + venetoclax Chlorambucil + obinutuzumab		lbrutinib + venetoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value <sup>a</sup>
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) <sup>b</sup>
Overall survival (3	rd dat	a cut-off: 17 January 20	22)		
Bellie	23	n.a.	24	n.a. [38.73; n.c.]	0.34 [0.04; 3.30]
lease no		1 (4.3)		3 (12.5)	p = 0.353

### Morbidity

Endpoint	lbı	rutinib + venetoclax		Chlorambucil + obinutuzumab	Ibrutinib + venetoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab
	N	Median time to event in months [95% Cl]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p valueª Absolute
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) <sup>b</sup>
Progression-free	surviva	l (PFS) (3rd data cut-off	: 17.01	L.2022)°	Will Al
IRC	23	n.r. [n.r.; n.r.] 2 (8.7%)	24	31.34 [23.95; n.r.] <i>12 (50%)</i>	0.14 <sup>d</sup> [0.03; 0.62] p = 0.0097
INV	23	n.a. [n.r.; n.r.] <i>2 (8.7%)</i>	24	n a [31 08; n.?] 9 (37 5%)	0.20 <sup>d</sup> [0.04; 0.94] p = 0.0416
Symptomatology	(EORT	C QLQ-C30) (1st data cu	t-off: 2	26:02.2021)°	
Fatigue	23	5.82 [1.87; 8.67] <i>13 (56.5)</i>	A A	6.26 [2.37; n.c.] <i>11 (45.8)</i>	1.75 [0.78; 3.92] p = 0.174
Nausea and vomiting	23	13.83 [5.62;n.e.] ( 9 (39.1)	0 <sub>24</sub>	n.a. [13.86; n.c.] <i>6 (25.0)</i>	2.17 [0.77; 6.12] p = 0.144
Pain	23	11 30 [3:91; n.c.] 11 (47.8)	24	16.62 [3.94; 27.86] <i>13 (54.2)</i>	1.11 [0.50; 2.49] p = 0.790
Dyspnoea		n.a. [5.82; n.c.] <i>8 (34.8)</i>	24	13.93 [3.71; n.c.] <i>11 (45.8)</i>	0.79 [0.32; 1.97] p = 0.619
Insertinia	23	14.09 [3.75; n.c.] <i>9 (39.1)</i>	24	31.38 [2.37; n.c.] <i>10 (41.7)</i>	1.01 [0.41; 2.48] p = 0.988
Dyspnoea Insonnia Appetite loss	23	10.97 [2.56; n.c.] <i>10 (43.5)</i>	24	n.a. [6.77; n.c.] <i>5 (20.8)</i>	2.87 [0.98; 8.40] p = 0.055
Constipation	23	n.a. [5.58; n.c.] <i>7 (30.4)</i>	24	n.a. [8.35; n.c.] <i>5 (20.8)</i>	1.83 [0.58; 5.78] p = 0.301
Diarrhoea	23	8.51 [3.78; n.c.]	24	n.a. [13.86; n.c.]	3.11 [1.07; 9.00]

Endpoint	lbi	rutinib + venetoclax		Chlorambucil + obinutuzumab	lbrutinib + venetoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab	
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value <sup>a</sup> Absolute	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) <sup>b</sup>	
		11 (47.8)		5 (20.8)		
Symptomatology	(FACIT	fatigue) (1st data cut-o	ff: 26.	02.2021) <sup>f</sup>	Jul elk	
Fatigue	23	n.a. [8.48; n.c.] <i>6 (26.1)</i>	24	n.a. [20.40; n.c.] <i>6 (25.0)</i>	1.24 [0.40; 3.86] p = 0.707	
Health status (EQ	-5D VA	S) (1st data cut-off: 26.	02.202			
	23	n.a. [5.82; n.c.] <i>7 (30.4)</i>	24	n a [24248; n.c.] 4 (16.7)	2.56 [0.74; 8.76] p = 0.136	
ealth-related qua	ality of	life		O.C.		
Endpoint	Ibi	rutinib + venetoclax		Chlorambucil + obinutuzumab	Ibrutinib + venetoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab	
	N	Median time to event in months [95% Cl]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p valueª Absolute	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) <sup>b</sup>	
EORTC QLQ-C30 (	1st dat	a cut-off: 26.02.2021) <sup>h</sup>				
Global health	23	20.50 [8.15; n.c.] <i>9 (39.1)</i>	24	24.18 [5.58; n.c.] <i>9 (37.5)</i>	1.18 [0.47; 2.96] p = 0.732	
Phyeical functioning	23	n.a. [3.75; n.c.] <i>7 (30.4)</i>	24	n.a. [9.72; n.c.] <i>6 (25.0)</i>	1.52 [0.51; 4.53] p = 0.452	
Role functioning	23	14.16 [3.75; n.c.] <i>11 (47.8)</i>	24	7.24 [2.53; n.c.] <i>12 (50.0)</i>	0.96 [0.42; 2.18] p = 0.923	
Emotional functioning	23	n.a. [11.27; n.c.] <i>6 (26.1)</i>	24	18.97 [3.94; n.c.] <i>12 (50.0)</i>	0.44 [0.16; 1.18]	

Endpoint	Ib	rutinib + venetoclax	Chlorambucil + obinutuzumab		lbrutinib + venetoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab	
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Hazard ratio [95% Cl] p value <sup>a</sup> Absolute difference (AD) <sup>b</sup>	
Cognitive functioning	23	n.a. [3.75; n.c.] <i>7 (30.4)</i>	24	11.07 [3.71; n.c.] <i>11 (45.8)</i>	(0.26; 1.78] p = 0.435	
Social functioning	23	10.97 [1.94; n.c.] <i>11 (47.8)</i>	24	20.07 [3.78; n.c.] 12 (50.0)	1.21 [0.53; 2.75] p = 0.650	
de effects	1	I		ise cent		
Endpoint	Ib	rutinib + venetoclax		Chlorambucil + obinutuzumab	lbrutinib + venetoclax vs	

Επαροιητ	ibrutinib + venetociax			obinutuzumab	venetoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% Cl]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value <sup>a</sup>
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) <sup>b</sup>
Total adverse even	tsupre	esented additionally)			
Silt AS	23	0.49 [0.26; 0.99] <i>23 (100)</i>	24	0.03 [0.03; 0.07] <i>24 (100)</i>	-
Serious adverse ev	ents (S	SAE)			
25º NOC	23	n.a. [2.79; n.c.] <i>10 (43.5)</i>	24	n.a. [1.15; n.c.] <i>7 (29.2)</i>	1.40 [0.53; 3.69] p = 0.500
Severe adverse eve	ents (C	TCAE grade ≥ 3)			
	23	3.94 [1.91; 6.01] <i>17 (73.9)</i>	24	1.53 [0.23; 3.38] <i>19 (79.2)</i>	0.67 [0.35; 1.32]; p = 0.247
Therapy discontinu	ation	due to adverse events			
	23	n.a.	24	n.a.	0.55

Endpoint	Ibı	rutinib + venetoclax	Chlorambucil + obinutuzumab		Ibrutinib + venetoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab	
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p valueª Absolute	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) <sup>b</sup>	
		4 (17.4)		2 (8.3)	[0,05; 6:07] p = 0:626	
Specific adverse ev	rents			c)	Oltive!	
Bleeding (bleeding (SMQ <sup>i</sup> ,	23	n.a.	24	n.a.	3.42 [0.91; 12.88]	
AE) <sup>k</sup> Bleeding (SMQ <sup>i</sup> ,	23	<i>9 (39.1)</i> n.a.	24	3 (123) Sn.a.	p = 0.070	
severe AE <sup>j</sup> )		2 (8.7)		SC (M)		
Cardiac disorders (SOC,	23	n.a.	240	n.a.	0.57 [0.05; 6.24]	
severe AE <sup>j</sup> )		2 (8.7)	<u> </u>	2 (8.3)	p = 0.642	
Infections and infestations (SOC, severe AE <sup>j</sup> )	23	n.r. 3 (13.0)	ک <sup>24</sup>	16.89 [6.21; n.c.] <i>5 (20.8)</i>	0.72 [0.16; 3.27] p = 0.673	
Reaction in connection with an infusion		ent proponore	Evaluat	ion unsuitable <sup>m</sup>		
Benefit ase	CUL	n.a. 2 (8.7) n.r. 3 (136) (136) nor solution F				

Endpoint	Ibrutinib + venetoclax		Ibrutinib + venetoclax Chlorambucil + obinutuzumab		lbrutinib + venetoclax vs chlorambucil + obinutuzumab
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value <sup>a</sup> Absolute difference (AD) <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Effect, CI and p value: Cox proportional hazards model (unstratified).

<sup>b</sup> Data on absolute difference (AD) only in the case of statistically significant difference; own calculation <sup>c</sup> Data from the pharmaceutical company's written statement of 23 May 2023.

<sup>d</sup> Hazard ratio (including 95% CI and p value) calculated using unstratified Cox proportional hazards model with treatment as the only explanatory variable.

<sup>e</sup> Time to first deterioration. An increase by  $\geq$  10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100).

<sup>f</sup>Time to first deterioration. A decrease by  $\geq$  7.8 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 52)

<sup>g</sup> Time to first deterioration. A decrease by  $\geq$  15 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)

<sup>h</sup> Time to first deterioration. A decrease by  $\geq$  10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)

<sup>i</sup> Without events based on laboratory values.

<sup>j</sup> Operationalised as CTCAE grade  $\geq$  3.

<sup>k</sup> Results for the 3rd data cut-off were not submitted as part of the statements. However, since no patients were already at risk at this point, the results from Module 4 A for the 4th data cut-off are presented here. <sup>1</sup> The pharmaceutical company does not provide an effect estimate and p value as no events occurred in the

comparator arm.

<sup>m</sup> The evaluation submitted by the pharmaceutical company is unsuitable for benefit assessment, but serious and severe infusion reactions are considered in the overall rates of SAEs and severe AEs.

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EORTC = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer; FACIT-Fatigue = Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy – Fatigue; HB = hazard ratio; INV = investigator; IRC = independent review committee; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.t. = not reached; SMQ = Standardised MedDRA Query; SOC = system organ class; SAE: serious adverse event; AE: adverse event; VAS = visual analogue scale; vs = versus

## b) Adults with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) without genetic risk factors who are eligible for therapy with FCR on the basis of their general condition and comorbidities and adults with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) with genetic risk factors

No data are available to allow an assessment of the additional benefit.

#### Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary
	risk of bias	
Mortality	Ø	No data available.
Morbidity	Ø	No data available.
Health-related quality	Ø	No data available.
of life		
Side effects	Ø	No data available.
Explanations:		S. at
个: statistically significant	and relevant positive effect	: with low/unclear reliability of data
$\downarrow$ : statistically significant	and relevant negative effec	t with low/unclear reliability of data
个个: statistically significar	nt and relevant positive effe	ect with high reliability of data
$\downarrow \downarrow$ : statistically significar	nt and relevant negative eff	No data available. with low/unclear reliability of data the with low/unclear reliability of data ect with high reliability of data fect with high reliability of data
$\leftrightarrow$ : no statistically signific	ant or relevant difference	
arnothing: No data available.		NOI OIL
n.a.: not assessable		Nº.15
		S. C.
		SXV

#### 2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

Adults with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL)

approx. 3,190 – 3,200 patients

# 3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for IMBRUVICA (active ingredient: ibrutinib) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 6 July 2023):

https://www.ema.eucopa.eu/en/documents/product-information/imbruvica-epar-productinformation/imbruvica-epar-product-

Treatment with ibrutinib should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology and oncology experienced in the treatment of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia.

#### 4. Treatment costs

#### Annual treatment costs:

#### Adults with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL)

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
1st year	€ 73,188.50 € 52,748.51 € 125,937.01 € CH				
Ibrutinib	€ 73,188.50 (i) pr				
Venetoclax	€ 52,748.51				
Total:	€ 125,937.01				
2nd year	aral Oile				
Ibrutinib	€ 11,028.40				
Venetoclax	€ 11,166 71				
Total:	. €€ 22,195.11				
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
lbrutinib monotherapy					
Ibrutinib	€ 73,188.50				
Ibrutinib in combination with rituximab					
Ibrutinib	€ 73,188.50				
Rituximab	€ 19,431.64				
Total:	€ 92,620.14				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 51.43				
Ibrutinib in combination with obinutuzuma	ab				
Ibrutinib	€ 73,188.50				
Obinutuzumab	€ 19,147.84				
Total:	€ 92,336.34				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 139.82				
Fludarabine in combination with cyclophos	sphamide and rituximab [FCR]				
Fludarabine	€ 1,892.46				
Svelophosphamide	€ 219.48				
Rituximab	€ 19,431.64				
Total:	€ 21,543.58				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 51.43				
Bendamustine in combination with rituxim	nab				
Bendamustine	€ 6,022.64				

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient
Rituximab	€ 19,431.64
Total:	€ 25,454.28
Additionally required SHI services	€ 51.43
Chlorambucil in combination with rituxim	hab
Chlorambucil	€ 166.10
Rituximab	€ 19,431.64
Total:	€ 19,597.74
Additionally required SHI services	€ 51.43
Chlorambucil in combination with obinut	uzumab
Chlorambucil	€ 166.10 C
Obinutuzumab	€ 19,147,84
Total:	€ 19,313.94
Additionally required SHI services	€ 139.82

Additionally required SHI services			€ 139.82			
Costs after deduction of Other SHI services:	statutory rebates (LAU	ER-TAXE®) as las	t revised: 1 July			
Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year	
Ibrutinib in combinat	tion with rituximab					
Rituximab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	<u>Cycle 1:</u> 2 <u>Cycle</u> <u>2 - 6:</u> 1	7	€ 700	
Ibrutinib in combinat	tion with obinutuzum	ab				
Obinutuzumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	<u>Cycle 1:</u> 4 <u>Cycle</u> <u>2 - 6:</u> 1	8 - 9	€ 800 - € 900	
Fludarabine in comb	ination with cyclopho	sphamide and	rituximab [FCR	]		
Rituximab	Surcharge for the preparation of a	€ 100	<u>Cycle 1:</u> 2	6	€ 600	

### Courtesy translation – only the German version is legally binding.

Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies		<u>Cycle 2 - 6:</u> 1		,+
Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 100	3	18 18 18 01 18 01 18	S€ 1800 PANNO
Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€ 100	3 ver		€ 1800
nbination with rituxin	nab [BR]	1-	1	
Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	UT€100 UT the O	2	12	€ 1200
Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	1	6	€ 600
bination with rituxima	ab			
Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal	€ 100	1	6	€ 600
	parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents bination with rituxin Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies bination with rituxima Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	unitparenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodiesunitSurcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 100Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing monoclonal antibodies€ 100Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies€ 100	unitcycleparenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodiesCycle 2 - 6: 1Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 1003Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 1003Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 1003Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 1002bination with rituximato [BR]2€ 1002Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 1001Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 1001Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies1Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies1	unitcyclepatient/ yearparenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodiesCycle 2 - 6: 1Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 100318Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 100318Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 100318Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 100212Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 100212Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents€ 10016Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing monoclonal antibodies€ 10016Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies€ 10016

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Obinutuzumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	<u>Cycle 1:</u> 4 <u>Cycle 2 - 6:</u> 1	8 - 9	€ 800 - € 900

# 5. Medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with brutinib

Medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V are medicinal products with the following new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy with ibrutinib for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (ELL) on the basis of the marketing authorisation granted under Medicinal Products Act:

### Adults with previously untreated chronic lymphocytic eukaemia (CLL)

A designation of the concomitant active ingredients shall be made in a further resolution. The adoption of the resolution will be preceded by a written and oral written statement procedure pursuant to Chapter 5, Section 19 of the Regulation, in the course of which the pharmaceutical companies concerned will be given the opportunity to comment on the planned designation.

The designation of combinations exclusively serves the implementation of the combination discount according to Section 130e SGB V between health insurance funds and pharmaceutical companies. The findings made neither restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate, nor do they make statements about expediency or economic feasibility.

# II. The resolution will enter into force on the day of its publication on the website of the G-BA on 20 July 2023.

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at <u>www.g-</u>

Berlin, 20 July 2023

Please not the content of the processing the production of the processing the pro Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) in accordance with Section 91 SGB V

Courtesy translation – only the German version is legally binding.

15