

# Resolution

of the Federal Joint Committee on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:

Annex XII – Benefit Assessment of Medicinal Products with New Active Ingredients according to Section 35a SGB V Lonapegsomatropin (growth failure due to growth hormone deficiency,  $\geq$  3 to < 18 years)

of 7 March 2024

At its session on 7 March 2024, the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008 / 22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended by the publication of the resolution of D Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD.MM.YYYY BX), as follows:

I. Annex XII shall be amended in alphabetical order to include the active ingredient Lonapegsomatropin as follows:

## Lonapegsomatropin

Resolution of: 7 March 2024 Entry into force on: 7 March 2024 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD. MM YYYY Bx

# Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 11 January 2022):

Growth failure in children and adolescents aged from 3 years up to 18 years due to insufficient endogenous growth hormone secretion (growth hormone deficiency [GHD])

### Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 7 March 2024):

See therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

### 1. Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence

Lonapegsomatropin is approved as a medicinal product for the treatment of rare diseases under Regulation (EC) No. 141/2000 of the European Parliament and the Council of 16 December 1999 on orphan drugs. In accordance with Section 35a, paragraph 1, sentence 11, 1st half of the sentence SGB V, the additional medical benefit is considered to be proven through the grant of the marketing authorisation.

The Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) determines the extent of the additional benefit for the number of patients and patient groups for which there is a therapeutically significant additional benefit in accordance with Chapter 5 Section 12, paragraph 1, number 1, sentence 2 of its Rules of Procedure (VerfO) in conjunction with Section 5, paragraph 8 AM-NutzenV, indicating the significance of the evidence. This quantification of the additional benefit is based on the criteria laid out in Chapter 5 Section 5, paragraph 7, numbers 1 to 4 of the Rules of Procedure (VerfO).

Children and adolescents aged from 3 years up to 18 years with growth failure due to insufficient growth hormone secretion

#### Extent of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence of lonapegsomatropin:

Hint for a non-quantifiable additional benefit since the scientific data does not allow quantification.

# Study results according to endpoints:<sup>1</sup>

Children and adolescents aged from 3 years up to 18 years with growth failure due to insufficient growth hormone secretion

## Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary	
Mortality	$\leftrightarrow$	No deaths occurred.	
Morbidity	$\leftrightarrow$	Overall, no relevant differences for the benefit assessment	
Health-related quality of life	Ø	No data available.	
Side effects	$\leftrightarrow$	Overall, no relevant differences for the benefit assessment	
<ul> <li>Explanations:</li> <li>↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data</li> <li>↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data</li> <li>↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data</li> <li>↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data</li> <li>↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data</li> <li>↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data</li> <li>↓: no statistically significant or relevant difference</li> <li>∅: No data available.</li> <li>n.a.: not assessable</li> </ul>			

heiGHt and CT-301-CN studies: randomised, open-label, actively controlled phase III studies, lonapegsomatropin vs somatropin, 52 weeks

#### Mortality

Endpoint Study	Lonapegsomatropin			Somatropin	Lonapegsomatropin vs somatropin	
	N <sup>b)</sup>	Patients with event n (%)	N <sup>b)</sup>	Patients with event n (%)	Effect estimator [95% CI] p value	
Overall mortality	a)					
heiGHt	No deaths occurred.					
CT-301-CN	No de	No deaths occurred.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data from the dossier assessment of the G-BA (published on 15. Dezember 2023), and from the amendment to the dossier assessment from 09.02.2024, unless otherwise indicated.

# Morbidity

Endpoint Study	Lonapegsomatropin				somatrop	Lonapegso matropin vs somatropin	
	<b>N</b> <sup>c)</sup>	Baseline MV (SD) MV [95% CI] <sup>r)</sup>	Change to month 12 LS-MV (SE) LS-MV [95% CI] <sup>r)</sup>	<b>N</b> <sup>c)</sup>	Baseline MV (SD) MV [95% CI] <sup>r)</sup>	Change to month 12 LS-MV (SE) LS-MV [95% CI] <sup>r)</sup>	LS-MD [95% CI]; p value
Body heigh	t (SDS) -	ANCOVA					
heiGHt	105 <sup>d)</sup>	-2.89 (0.85)	1.10 (0.04) <sup>e)</sup>	56 <sup>d)</sup>	-3.00 (0.90)	0.96 (0.05) <sup>e)</sup>	0.14 [0.03; 0.26]; 0.015 <sup>e)</sup>
CT-301- CN <sup>f)</sup>	100 <sup>g)</sup>	-2.12 (0.57)	0.96 (0.042)	53 <sup>g)</sup>	-2.21 (0.66)	0.81 (0.051)	0.16 [0.049; 0.262] 0.0046
Meta- analysis <sup>f)</sup>	205	-2.37 [-2.46; - 2.27]	1.03 [0.97; 1.09]	109	-2.49 [-2.64; -2.35]	0.88 [0.81; 0.96]	0.15 [0.07; 0.23]; 0.0002
Body heigh	t (SDS) -	MMRM					
heiGHt	105 <sup>d)</sup>	-2.89 (0.85)	1.05; (0.04) <sup>h)</sup>	56 <sup>d)</sup>	-3.00 (0.90)	0.94; (0.06) <sup>h)</sup>	0.11 [-0.03; 0.26]; 0.12 <sup>h)</sup>
CT-301- CN	-	-	_i)	-	-	_i)	_i)

Endpoint Study	Lonapegsomatropin			Somatropin	Lonapegsomatropin vs somatropin
	N	month 12 LS-MV (SE) <sup>q),r)</sup> [95% CI] <sup>r)</sup>	N	month 12 LS-MV (SE) <sup>q),r)</sup> [95% CI] <sup>r)</sup>	LS-MD [95% Cl]; p value <sup>r)</sup>
Annualized grow	th rate [	c <b>m/year]</b> <sup>o)</sup> (presented	ladditior	nally)	
Change to month	12				
heiGHt	105	11.17 (0.233)	56	10.31 (0.298)	0.86 [0.216; 1.502]; 0.0088
CT-301-CN	100	10.66 (0.218)	53	9.75 (0.261)	0.91 [0.367; 1.455]; 0.0010
Meta-analysis <sup>p)</sup>	205	10.892 [7.737; 14.047]	109	9.986 [7.151; 12.82]	0.889 [0.474; 1.304] n.d.

# Health-related quality of life

No data on the endpoint category of quality of life are available.

# Side effects

Endpoint Lonapegsomatropin Study			Somatropin	Lonapegsomatropin vs Somatropin	
	N <sup>b)</sup>	Patients with event n (%)	N <sup>b)</sup>	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% Cl] <sup>1),n)</sup> ; p value
Total adverse even	<b>nts</b> (prese	nted additionally)			
heiGHt	105	81 (77.1)	56	39 (69.64)	-
CT-301-CN	100	98 (98)	53	50 (94.3)	-
Meta-analysis	205	179 (87.3) <sup>m)</sup>	109	89 (81.7) <sup>m)</sup>	-
Severe adverse eve	ents				
heiGHt	105	1 (1.0)	56	0 (0)	1.59 [0.07; 38.26]; 0.47
CT-301-CN	100	2 (2.0)	53	0 (0)	1.62 [0.17; 15.16]; 0.30
Meta-analysis	205	3 (1.5) <sup>j)</sup>	109	O (O) <sup>j)</sup>	n.d.
Serious adverse ev	ents (SAB	E)			
heiGHt	105	1 (1.0)	56	1 (1.8)	0.52 [0.03; 8.18]; 0.64
CT-301-CN	100	4 (4.0)	53	2 (3.8)	0.83 [0.17; 4.14]; 0.95
Meta-analysis	205	5 (2.4) <sup>k)</sup>	109	3 (2.8) <sup>k)</sup>	0.88 [0.22; 3.62]; 0.86 <sup>k)</sup>
Therapy discontinu	uation du	e to adverse events			
heiGHt	105	0 (0)	56	0 (0)	n.d.
CT-301-CN	100	2 (2.0)	53	0 (0)	1.62 [0.17; 15.16]; 0.30
Meta-analysis	205	2 (1.0) <sup>j)</sup>	109	O (O) <sup>j)</sup>	n.d.

<b>Endpoint</b> Study	Lonapegsomatropin			Somatropin	Lonapegsomatropin vs Somatropin
	N <sup>b)</sup>	Patients with event n (%)	N <sup>b)</sup>	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] <sup>I),n)</sup> ; p value
		-		idence ≥ 5% in one stu nt arms; SOC and PT)	idy arm and
No severe AEs ≥ 5%					
-		(with incidence ≥ 5% tment arms; SOC an		e study arm and statist	ically significant
No SAEs ≥ 5%					
Adverse events of s arms)	special in	terest (with statistic	ally sig	nificant difference be	ween the treatment
Condition of the inj	ection sit	e <sup>t)</sup>			
Abnormal reactions	at the in	ijection site (any sev	erity gr	ade)	
heiGHt	105	30 (28.6)	56	3 (5.4)	5.2799 [1.7005; 16.3935]; 0.0005
Redness (any sever	ty grade	)			
heiGHt	105	24 (22.9)	56	2 (3.6)	6.3224 [1.5652; 25.5389]; 0.0015
<ul> <li>b) Safety popul</li> <li>c) ITT population</li> <li>d) Baseline value arm. For more somatropin at e) Post hoc evan height (SDS)</li> <li>f) Recalculation for body heiging</li> <li>g) Baseline value arm. For more somatropin at h) Model (ANC predefined.</li> <li>i) Model (MMF)</li> <li>j) No evaluation</li> <li>k) Own calculated without weiging are weighted</li> <li>l) Calculated point</li> </ul>	ation es are ava nth 12, va nrm. luation M not prede is for the i sht (SDS) f es are ava nth 12, va nrm. OVA) used fund is using a ion of eve shting bas l. ost hoc. nts: Calcul	lues are available for 1 lodel (ANCOVA) used in fined. CT-301-CN study and for rom the heiGHt study ailable for 100 subjects lues are available for S d in the CT-301-CN st for the change in stand n MMRM were planne ent frequency. Frequen ed on sample size. How	04 subj n the he or the m in the le 08 subje udy for ardised d or rep cy and p wever, t	ects in the lonapegsoma eiGHt study for the chan heta-analysis according to onapegsomatropin arm a cts in the lonapegsomat the change in standard body height (SDS) prede orted for the study. bercentage data (purely of he effect estimators sho	and 56 in the somatropin tropin arm and 55 in the ge in standardised body the calculation formula and 53 in the somatropin ropin arm and 52 in the dised body height (SDS) fined. descriptive) are available own in the meta-analysis

<b>Endpoi</b> Study	int	Lonapegsomatropin			Somatropin	Lonapegsomatropin vs Somatropin
		N <sup>b)</sup>	Patients with event n (%)	N <sup>b)</sup>	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] <sup>I),n)</sup> ; p value
n)	Adverse events: Own calculation of event frequency. Frequency and percentage data (purely descriptive) are available without weighting based on sample size. However, the effect estimators shown in the meta-analysis are weighted.					
o)		e are no events in one of the treatment arms, the RR is calculated using a zero cell correction ding a value of 0.5%. If the majority of cells had a value of zero, the RR was not calculated.				
p)		oint of the heiGHt and CT-301-CN studies				
q)		ted post hoc.				
r)	LS-MV and LS-MD were determined using an ANCOVA. The LS-MV, CI and p values shown in the table are the overall estimates combined from all 100 models.					
s)			only the 95% CI is give			
t)	The scale for the respective condition of the injection site is divided into 4 levels and ranges from "no symptomatology" (0) to "severe symptomatology" (3).					
Abbrevi	ations:					
ANCOV	A: analysis of co	ovariance	; ITT: intention to trea	t; n.d.:	no data available; CI: con	fidence interval; LS: Least
-	quares; MedDRA: Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities MAR: Missing At Random; MMRM: Mixed 10del for Repeated Measurement; MV: mean value; MD: mean difference; RR: relative risk; SD: standard					

deviation; SDS: standard deviation score; SE: standard error; (S)AE: (serious) adverse event.

# 2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

<u>Children and adolescents aged from 3 years up to 18 years with growth failure due to insufficient growth hormone secretion</u>

approx. 5,710 – 6,550 patients

# 3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Skytrofa (active ingredient: lonapegsomatropin) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 15 February 2024):

<u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/skytrofa-previously-</u> <u>lonapegsomatropin-ascendis-pharma-epar-product-information\_en.pdf</u>

Treatment with lonapegsomatropin should only be initiated and monitored by doctors experienced in treating children and adolescents with growth hormone deficiency (GHD).

# 4. Treatment costs

## Annual treatment costs:

# <u>Children and adolescents aged from 3 years up to 18 years with growth failure due to insufficient growth hormone secretion</u>

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient		
Medicinal product to be assessed:			
Lonapegsomatropin	€ 14,287.51 - € 59,328.35		

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 February 2024)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

# 5. Designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product

In the context of the designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, the following findings are made:

# <u>Children and adolescents aged from 3 years up to 18 years with growth failure due to</u> <u>insufficient growth hormone secretion</u>

 No medicinal product with new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy that fulfils the requirements of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.

The designation of combinations exclusively serves the implementation of the combination discount according to Section 130e SGB V between health insurance funds and pharmaceutical companies. The findings made neither restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate, nor do they make statements about expediency or economic feasibility.

# III. The resolution will enter into force on the day of its publication on the website of the G-BA on 7 March 2024.

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at <u>www.g-ba.de</u>.

Berlin, 7 March 2024

Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) in accordance with Section 91 SGB V The Chair

Prof. Hecken