Gemeinsamer Bundesausschuss

Extraktionsbogen für systematische Übersichten, Metaanalysen und HTA-Berichte

Nr	Feld	*Hinweise*
1	Autor	
2	Titel	
3	Quelle	
4	Bezugsrahmen	
5	Fragestellung	
6	Krankheit	
7	Intervention	
8	Einschlusskriterien	*The selection criteria (i.e. population, intervention, outcome, and study design): methods for validity assessment, data abstraction, and study characteristics, and quantitative data synthesis in sufficient detail to permit replication*
9	Ausschlusskriterien	
10	Ergebnis der Recherche	*Characteristics of the RCTs included and excluded: qualitative and quantitative findings (i.e. point estimates and confidence intervals); and sub-group analyses*
11	Einführung	*The explicit clinical problem, biological rationale for the intervention and rationale for the review*
12	Beschreibung der Suche	*The information sources in detail (e.g. databases, registers, personal files, expert informants, agencies, hand-searching), and any restrictions (years considered, publication status, language of publication)*
13	Methodische Beschreibung des Vorgehens	*Data abstraction:* *The process or processes used (e.g. completed independently, in duplicate)*
14	Validität	*The criteria and process used (e.g. masked conditions, quality assessment, and their findings)*
15	Charakterisierung der Studien	*The type of study design, participants' characteristics, details of intervention, outcome definitions, and how clinical heterogeneity was assessed*
16	Quantitative Ergebnisse der Synthese	*The principal measures of effect (e.g. relative risk), method of combining results (statistical testing and confidence intervals), handling of missing data; how statistical heterogeneity was assessed; a rationale for any apriori sensitivity and sub-group analyses; and any assessment of publication bias*
17	Darstellung in einem Flussdiagramm	*Trial flow: Provide a meta-analysis profile summarising trial flow*
18	Charakterisierung der gefunden Studien	*Present descriptive data for each trial (e.g. age, sample size, intervention, dose, duration, follow-up period)*
19	Nebenwirkungen	
20	Schlussfolgerung	*The main results*
21	Bewertung der methodischen Qualität	*Summarise key findings; discuss clinical inferences based on internal and external validity; interpret the results in the light of the totality of available evidence; describe potential biases in the review process 9e.g. publication bias); and suggest a future research agenda*

Siehe auch Moher D, Cook DJ, Eastwood S, Olkin I, Rennie D, Stroup DF et al. Improving the quality of reports of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials: the Quorom Statement. The Lancet (1999) 354: 1896-900.