

**Pembrolizumab** (new therapeutic indication: non-small cell lung carcinoma, non-squamous, first line, combination with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy)

Resolution of: 19 September 2019 / 28 January 2020 Entry into force on: 19 September 2019 / 28 January 2020

Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 03 12 2019 B3 / BAnz AT 02 03 2020 B2

Valid until: unlimited

# New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 4 September 2018):

KEYTRUDA, in combination with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of metastatic non-squamous NSCLC in adults whose tumours have no EGFR or ALK positive mutations.

- 1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy
- a) Adult patients with first-line treatment of metastatic squamous NSCLC without EGFR or ALK positive tumour mutations whose tumours express PD-L1 with a < 50% tumour proportion score (TPS¹):

#### **Appropriate comparator therapy:**

- Cisplatin in combination with a third-generation cytostatic agent (vinorelbine or gemcitabine or docetaxel or paclitaxel or pemetrexed)
- Carboplatin in combination with a third-generation cytostatic agent (vinorelbine or gemcitabine or docetaxel or paclitaxel or pemetrexed; cf Annex VI to Section K of the Pharmaceuticals Directive)

or

or

Carboplatin in combination with nab-paclitaxel

Extent and probability of additional benefit of pembrolizumab in combination with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy versus pemetrexed plus platinum chemotherapy:

Hint for a non-quantifiable additional benefit

Courtesy translation – only the German version is legally binding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TPS: Tumour Proportion Score

b) Adult patients with first-line treatment of metastatic squamous NSCLC without EGFR or ALK positive tumour mutations whose tumours express PD-L1 with a ≥ 50% tumour proportion score (TPS¹):

#### **Appropriate comparator therapy:**

Pembrolizumab as monotherapy

Extent and probability of additional benefit of pembrolizumab in combination with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy versus pembrolizumab as monotherapy:

Hint for a non-quantifiable additional benefit

#### Study results according to endpoints:2

a) Adult patients with first-line treatment of metastatic squamous NSCLC without EGFR or ALK positive tumour mutations whose tumours express PD-L1 with a < 50% tumour proportion score (TPS¹):

KEYNOTE 021G study: Pembrolizumab in combination with pemetrexed and carboplatin vs pemetrexed and carboplatin (data cut-off: 31 May 2017)

KEYNOTE 189 study: Pembrolizumab in combination with pemetrexed and carboplatin or cisplatin vs pemetrexed and carboplatin or cisplatin (data cut-off: 8 November 2017)

Relevant TPC (Treatment of Physician's Choice) sub-population in each case with PD-L1 expression of < 50% (TPS)<sup>1,3</sup>

#### Mortality

<b>Endpoint</b> Study		Pembrolizumab + platinum-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>	Platinum-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>		Intervention vs control
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]  Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]  Patients with event n (%)	Effect estimate [95% CI] p value b Absolute difference (AD)c
Overall survival					
021G	20	n.a. [11.1; n.c.] 6 (30.0)	20	14.9 [7.2; n.c.] 12 (60.0)	0.41 [0.15; 1.09] 0.073 <sup>b</sup>
189	162	n.a.	88	12.1	0.58

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Data from the dossier evaluation of the IQWiG (A19-30) and the addendum (A19-61) unless otherwise indicated.

2

The relevant sub-population includes patients with PD-L1 expression < 50% and who were treated according to the results of the pharmaceutical company's TPC survey according to the criteria of the AM-RL for the off-label use of carboplatin (Annex VI to Section K).

		[14.4; n.c.] 54 (33.3)		[8.6; n.c.] 46 (52.3)	[0.39; 0.86] 0.008 <sup>f</sup>
Total					0.55 [0.38; 0.77] 0.001 <sup>m</sup>
Sub-groups a	ccording to s	sex			
021G					
Men	11	n.a. [1,8; n.c.] 5 (45.5)	6	10.6 [2.0; n.c.] 5 (83.3)	0.48 [0.14; 1.66] <sup>h</sup> 0.244
Women	9	n.a. [6,5; n.c.] 1 (11.1)	14	20.9 [3.3; n.c.] 7 (50.0)	0,17 [0,02; 1,40] <sup>h</sup> 0.100
189					
Men	103	n.a. [12,6; n.c.] 39 (37.9)	49	12.9 [8.1; n.c.] 23 (46.9)	0.78 [0.46; 1.32] <sup>i</sup> 0354
Women	59	n.a. 15 (25.4)	39	10.6 [7.2; n.c.] 23 (59.0)	0.37 [0.19; 0.74] <sup>i</sup> 0.005
Total					Interaction: 0.035 k
Men					0.73 [0.45; 1.18] <sup>L</sup> 0.200
Women					0.31 [0.17; 0.59] <sup>L</sup> < 0.001

# Morbidity

io blaity					
<b>Endpoint</b> Study	Pembrolizumab + platinum- based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>		Platinum-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>		Intervention vs control
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	Effect estimate [95% CI] p value <sup>b</sup>
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) <sup>c</sup>
Progression-f	ree surv	ival (PFS)			
not reported					
Symptomolog	y (EOR	TC QLQ-C30 sympto	m sca	ıles) <sup>d</sup>	
Dyspnoea					
021G		Е	ndpoii	nt not recorded	
189	161	7.4 [3.5; 19.5] 62 (38.5)	86	5.1 [2.8; 9.0] 38 (44.2)	0.88 [0.58; 1.35]; 0.564
Fatigue					

021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	1.4 [1.1; 2.1] 88 (54.7)	86	1.4 [0.8; 1.6] 57 (66.3)	0.73 [0.52; 1.03]; 0.071
Insomnia					
021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	n.a. [8.0; n.c.] 49 (30.4)	86	4.1 [2.6; n.c.] 34 (39.5)	0.71 [0.45; 1.12]; 0.140
Pain	·				
021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	5.3 [2.5; 8.3] 71 (44.1)	86	2.6 [1.5; 5.3] 43 (50.0)	0.77 [0.52; 1.14]; 0.195
Loss of appeti	te				
021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	7.2 [4.9; n.c.] 60 (37.3)	86	6.9 [2.8; n.c.] 33 (38.4)	1.02 [0.66; 1.58]; 0.917
Diarrhoea					
021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	n.a. [5.2; n.c.] 49 (30.4)	86	11.3 [4.8; n.c.] 28 (32.6)	0.92 [0.57; 1.48]; 0.718
Nausea and v	omiting				
021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	2.1 [1.4; 4.9] 79 (49.1)	86	1.6 [1.4; 5.3] 46 (53.5)	0.94 [0.65; 1.37]; 0.748
Constipation					
021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	9.7 [8.0; n.c.] 54 (33.5)	86	2.5 [1.6; 9.0] 42 (48.8)	0.59 [0.38; 0.90]; 0.013
Symptomolog	gy (EORTC	QLQ-LC13 sym	ptom sca	ales) <sup>d</sup>	
Dyspnoea					
021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	2.1 [1.4; 2.9] 92 (57.1)	86	2.6 [1.7; 3.7] 47 (54.7)	1.13 [0.78; 1.61]; 0.521
Pain (thorax)					

021G		1	Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	12.1 [8.0; 19.5] 46 (28.6)	86	11.8 [7.4; n.c.] 21 (24.4)	1.11 [0.65; 1.91]; 0.694
Pain (arm/should	der)				
021G		l	Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	n.a. [11.1; n.c.] 40 (24.8)	86	n.a. [3.6; n.c.] 25 (29.1)	0.75 [0.45; 1.25]; 0.265
Pain (other)					
021G	_	J	Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	7.6 [4.3; n.c.] 60 (37.3)	86	3.0 [2.6; 8.6] 38 (44.2)	0.71 [0.46; 1.09]; 0.116
Coughing					
021G	_	J	Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	15.2 [5.4; 15.6] 53 (32.9)	86	11.5 [4.1; n.c.] 27 (31.4)	1.04 [0.65; 1.67]; 0.863
Haemoptysis					
021G	_	J	Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	n.a. 7 (4.3)	86	n.a. 7 (8.1)	0.45 [0.16; 1.31]; 0.144
Alopecia					
021G		1	Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	3.1 [2.1; n.c.] 67 (41.6)	86	11.3 [4.8; n.c.] 29 (33.7)	1.33 [0.85; 2.10]; 0.215
Dysphagia					
021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	n.a. [11.5; n.c.] 31 (19.3)	86	11.8 [7.4; n.c.] 21 (24.4)	0.72 [0.41; 1.26]; 0.249
Mouth pain					
021G			Endpoin	t not recorded	
189	161	7.4 [3.1; n.c.] 60 (37.3)	86	n.a. [3.0; n.c.] 26 (30.2)	1.21 [0.75; 1.94]; 0.442
Peripheral neuro	pathy				

021G		Endpoint not recorded				
189	161	6.0 [3.2; 9.0] 65 (40.4)	86	5.1 [2.9; 11.5] 34 (39.5)	0.84 [0.55; 1.29]; 0.430	
Health status (E	Q-5D-\	/AS) – time until dete	rioratior	า		
021G		E	Endpoin	t not recorded		
189						
Responder criterion 10 points	161	5.1 [2.8; 7.8] 71 (44.1)	86	2.6 [1.4; 4.8] 42 (48.8)	0.83 [0.56; 1.24] 0.363	
Responder criterion 7 points	161	3.1 [2.1; 5.8] 78 (48.4)	86	2.1 [1.4; 4.5] 45 (52.3)	0.88 [0.60; 1.28] 0.502	

# Health-related quality of life

<b>Endpoint</b> Study		olizumab + platinum- d chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>		Platin-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>	Intervention vs control
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	Effect estimate [95% CI] p value <sup>b</sup>
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) <sup>c</sup>
EORTC QLQ-	C30 func	tional scales <sup>e</sup>			
Global health	status				
021G		En	dpoint	not recorded	
189	161	5.2 [2.3; 9.7] 70 (43.5)	86	4.1 [2.5; 7.0] 40 (46.5)	1.02 [0.68; 1.52]; 0.939
Emotional fund	ction				
021G		En	dpoint	not recorded	
189	161	17.7 [8.0; 17.7] 49 (30.4)	86	12.5 [3.6; n.c.] 30 (34.9)	0.87 [0.55; 1.38]; 0.555
Cognitive func	tion				
021G		En	dpoint	not recorded	
189	161	5.5 [2.5; 7.4] 73 (45.3)	86	3.6 [2.2; 7.2] 39 (45.3)	0.95 [0.64; 1.42]; 0.809
Physical functi	on				

021G		Endpoint not recorded					
189	161	5.2 [2.7; 7.8] 75 (46.6)	86	2.9 [2.1; 4.9] 45 (52.3)	0.84 [0.57; 1.23]; 0.369		
Role function							
021G		E	ndpoin	t not recorded			
189	161	3.1 [1.7; 7.8] 74 (46.0)	86	2.7 [1.9; 5.0] 43 (50.0)	0.90 [0.62; 1.33]; 0.605		
021G	Endpoint not recorded						
189	161	2.1 [1.6; 4.8] 87 (54.0)	86	1.9 [1.4; 3.4] 47 (54.7)	0.90 [0.63; 1.30]; 0.579		

### Side effects

<b>Endpoint</b> Study		Pembrolizumab + platinum-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>		Platinum-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>	Intervention vs control
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Effect estimate [95% CI] p value <sup>b</sup>
Total adverse ev	ents (	presented additional	ly)		
021G	19	0.1 [0.1; 0.3] 19 (100.0)	19	0.1 [0.1; 0.3] 18 (94.7)	-
189	161	0.1 [0.1; 0.1] 161 (100.0)	87	0.1 [0.1; 0.1] 85 (97.7)	-
Serious adverse	event	s (SAE)			
		N	o usa	ble evaluations	
Adverse events (	CTCA	E grade 3 or 4)			
021G	19	8.2 [2.8; 17.1] 12 (63.2)	19	3.0 [0.7; n.c.] 10 (52.6)	0.68 [0.28; 1.65]; 0.398 <sup>f</sup>
189	161	3.9 [2.8; 5.7] 96 (59.6)	87	3.4 [2.1; 4.1] 64 (73.6)	0.75 [0.54; 1.02]; 0.071 <sup>f</sup>
Total					0.74 [0.55; 0.9957]; 0.047 <sup>9</sup>
Therapy disconti	inuatio	on because of adver	se ev	ents	
021G	19	n.a. [11.8; n.c.] 3 (15.8)	19	n.a. [3.7; n.c.] 4 (21.1)	0.48 [0.10; 2.16]; 0.336 <sup>f</sup>
189	161	16.3 [16.0; 17.9] 38 (23.6)	87	18.3 [n.c.] 13 (14.9)	1.21 [0.64; 2.28]; 0.561 <sup>f</sup>
Total					1.05 [0.59; 1.87]; 0.859 <sup>9</sup>
Specific adverse	event	ts			
immune-mediated	AEs				

021G		N	lo usa	ble evaluations	
189	161	n.a. 28 (17.4)	87	16.6 [n.c.] 9 (10.3)	1.46 [0.69; 3.11]; 0.320 <sup>f</sup>
28 (17.4)   9 (10.3)   [0.69; 3.11];					
		N	lo usa	ble evaluations	
immune-mediated	I AEs (	(CTCAE grade ≥ 3)			
021G		N	lo usa	ble evaluations	
189	161		87		1.82 [0.51; 6.46]; 0.354 <sup>f</sup>
other specific AEs					
		N	lo usa	ble evaluations	

- a Consisting of either cisplatin or carboplatin in combination with pemetrexed
- b HR and CI: Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as covariates, stratified by PD-L1 status, platinum chemotherapy, and smoker status; 2-sided p value (Wald test)
- c Absolute difference (AD) given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation.
- d Time to first deterioration; defined as an increase of the score by ≥ 10 points compared with baseline
- e Time to first deterioration; defined as a decrease of the score by ≥ 10 points compared with baseline
- f HR and CI: Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as covariates; 2-sided p value (Wald test)
- g HR and CI: based on a common data pool of the KEYNOTE 021G and KEYNOTE 189 studies Cox proportional hazard model with treatment, PD-L1 status, platinum chemotherapy, and smoker status as covariates, additionally stratified by study; 2-sided p value (Wald test)
- h Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as covariates
- i Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as covariates, stratified according to PD-L1 status, platinum chemotherapy, and smoker status
- k p-test from Q-test for heterogeneity
- L Based on a common data pool of the KEYNOTE 021G and KEYNOTE 189 studies Cox proportional hazard model with treatment, PD-L1 status, platinum chemotherapy, and smoker status as covariates, additionally stratified by study
- m HR and CI: based on a common data pool of the KEYNOTE 021G and KEYNOTE 189 studies Cox proportional hazard model with treatment, PD-L1 status, platinum chemotherapy, and smoker status as covariates, additionally stratified by study; 2-sided p value (Wald test)

#### Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EORTC = European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; EQ-5D = Questionnaire on health-related quality of life (Euro QoL-5 Dimensions); HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PD-L1: Programmed Cell Death-Ligand 1; QLQ-C30: Quality of Life Questionnaire – Cancer 30; QLQ-LC-13: Quality of Life Questionnaire – Lung Cancer 13; RCT: randomised controlled study; VAS: visual analogue scale; vs: versus

b) Adult patients with first-line treatment of metastatic squamous NSCLC without EGFR or ALK positive tumour mutations whose tumours express PD-L1 with a ≥ 50% tumour proportion score (TPS¹):

#### Intervention vs bridge comparator:

KEYNOTE 021G study: Pembrolizumab in combination with pemetrexed and carboplatin vs pemetrexed and carboplatin (data cut-off: 31 May 2017)

KEYNOTE 189 study: Pembrolizumab in combination with pemetrexed and carboplatin or cisplatin vs pemetrexed and carboplatin or cisplatin (data cut-off: 8 November 2017)

Relevant TPC (Treatment of Physician's Choice) sub-population in each case with PD-L1 expression of  $\geq 50\%$  (TPS)<sup>1,4</sup>

#### Appropriate comparator therapy vs bridge comparator:

KEYNOTE 024 study: Pembrolizumab vs pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin or carboplatin (data cut-off: 9 May 2016)

KEYNOTE 042 study: Pembrolizumab vs carboplatin in combination with pemetrexed or paclitaxel (data cut-off: 26 February 2018)

Relevant TPC (Treatment of Physician's Choice) sub-population in each case with PD-L1 expression of  $\geq 50\%$  (TPS)<sup>1,4</sup>

#### **Mortality**

Endpoint Study		embrolizumab + platinum-based chemotherapya (intervention) or pembrolizumab ropriate comparator therapy)	Platinum-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>		Group difference
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Effect estimate [95% CI] p value
Overall survival					
Intervention vs brid	dge co	mparator			
021G	10	n.a. [10,7; n.c.] 2 (20.0)	10	19.0 [2.4; n.c.] 6 (60.0)	0.30 [0.06; 1.48] 0.140 <sup>b</sup>
189	85	n.a. 18 (21.2)	40	10.0 [7.1; n.c.] 21 (52.5)	0.33 [0.17; 0.62] < 0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Total					0.32 [0.18; 0.58]

The relevant sub-population includes patients with PD-L1 expression ≥ 50% and who were treated according to the results of the pharmaceutical company's TPC survey according to the criteria of the AM-RL for the off-label use of carboplatin (Annex VI to Section K).

10

					no data available <sup>f</sup>
Appropriate co	omparator t	herapy vs bridge con	nparato	r	
024	75	n.a. 10 (13.3)	73	n.a. 15 (20.5)	0.61 [0.27; 1.35] 0.222 <sup>e</sup>
042	90	n.a. [18.4; n.c.] 17 (18.9)	79	n.a. [17.4; n.c.] 13 (16.5)	1.05 [0.51; 2.17] 0.898 <sup>e</sup>
Total					0.79 [0.58; 1.09] no data available <sup>g</sup>
		bridge comparator num-based chemoth			0.40 [0.20; 0.79] 0.008
Sub-groups a	ccording to	sex			
Intervention v	s bridge co	mparator			
021G					
Men	2	no data available 1 (50.0)	7	no data available 5 (71.4)	no data available
Women	8	no data available 1 (12.5)	3	no data available 1 (33.3)	no data available
189					
Men	58	n.a. 5 (25.9)	18	n.a. [7.8; n.c.] <sup>h</sup> 7 (38.9)	0.73 [0.29; 1.79] <sup>i</sup> p = 0.490
Women	27	n.a. 3 (11.1)	22	8.0 [4.3; n.c.] <sup>h</sup> 14 (63.6)	0.08 [0.02; 0.34] <sup>†</sup> p < 0.001
Total					
Men					0.68 [0.30; 1.56] <sup>f</sup> no data available
Women		0.12 [0.04; 0.37] <sup>f</sup> no data available			
Appropriate co	omparator t	herapy vs bridge con	nparato	r	
024					
Men	43	n.a. [11.04; n.c.] 13 (30.2)	47	12.62 [6.01; n.c.] 22 (46.8)	0.48 $[0.23; 0.96]^g$ $p = 0.038]^i$
Women	32	n.a. 9 (28.1)	27	n.a. [11.83; n.c.] 6 (22.2)	1.33 $[0.45; 3.92]^g$ $p = 0.607]^i$

042					
Men	56	11.7 [8.0; 14.8] 41 (73.2)	47	6.6 [5.5; 8.8] 39 (83.0)	$ 0.60  [0.38; 0.96]^g  p = 0.032]^i $
Women	34	7.7 [2.5; 10.0] 30 (88.2)	39	8.5 [5.4; 11.3] 29 (74.4)	1.33 [0.79; 2.24] <sup>g</sup> p = 0.292 <sup>i</sup>
Total					
Men			0.58 [0.39; 0.88] <sup>f</sup> no data available		
Women			1.27 [0.77; 2.11] <sup>f</sup> no data available		
Indirect compar	rison via	bridge comparate	ors (acco	ording to Bucher)	
Pembrolizumab + platinum-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup> vs pembrolizumab					Interaction: p = 0.001
Men					1.16 [0.46; 2.94] p = 0.754
Women					0.09 [0.03; 0.32] p < 0.001

# Morbidity and health-related quality of life

Endpoint Study	Pembrolizumab + platinum-based chemotherapya (intervention) or pembrolizumab (appropriate comparator therapy)		Platinum-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>		Group difference		
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Effect estimate [95% CI] p value		
Morbidity							
No usable data	No usable data						
Health-related qu	ality	of life		·			
No usable data							

### Side effects

Endpoint Study		Pembrolizumab + platinum-based chemotherapya (intervention) or pembrolizumab ropriate comparator therapy)		Platinum-based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>	Group difference
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Effect estimate [95% CI] p value
AEs					
Intervention vs brid	dge co	mparator			
021G	10	0.1 [0.1; 0.3] 10 (100.0)	10	0.1 [0.1; 0.4] 10 (100.0)	-
189	84	0.1 [0.1; 0.2] 84 (100.0)	38 0.1 [0.1; 0.2] 38 (100.0)		-
Appropriate compa	arator	therapy vs bridge com	oarato	r	
024	75	0.2 [0.1; 0.3] 71 (94.7)	73	0.1 [0.1; 0.2] 69 (94.5)	-
042	90	0.4 [0.3; 0.7] 89 (98.9)	79	0.2 [0.1; 0.2] 79 (100.0)	-
SAEs	•	1			
		No usa	ble da	ta	
Adverse events (	CTCA	E grade ≥ 3)			
Intervention vs brid	dge co	mparator			
021G	10	11.4 [0.1; n.c.] 5 (50.0)	10	1.1 [0.1; n.c.] 7 (70.0)	0.31 [0.09; 1.10] p = 0.070 <sup>b</sup>
189	84	3.4 [2.6; 4.9] 65 (77.4)	38	4.0 [1.9; 16.6] 21 (55.3)	1.38 [0.84; 2.26] p = 0.200 <sup>b</sup>
Total					1.14 [0.73; 1.77] no data available <sup>c</sup>
Appropriate compa	arator	therapy vs bridge com	oarato	r	
024	75	10.0 [3.4; n.c.] 37 (49.3)	73	1.5 [1.2; 3.7] 46 (63.0)	0.63 [0.41; 0.98] p = 0.039 <sup>b</sup>

042	90	7.3 [3.8; 12.6] 51 (56.7)	79	4.6 [2.8; 9.0] 46 (58.2)	0.86 [0.58; 1.29] p = 0.476 <sup>b</sup>
Total	0.75 [0.56; 1.00] no data available <sup>c</sup>				
		bridge comparato ım-based chemot		ding to Bucher): s pembrolizumab	1.52 [0.89; 2.58] p = 0.124
Sub-groups ac	cording to s	ex			
Intervention vs	s bridge com	parator			
021G					
Men	2	n.a. 1 (50.0)	7	n.a. 5 (71.4)	n.c.
Women	8	n.a. 4 (50.0)	3	n.a. 2 (66.7)	n.c.
189					
Men	57	3.0 [1.8; 4.4] 44 (77.2)	18	16.6 [1.4; 16.6] 9 (50.0)	1.90 [0.92; 3.89] <sup>k</sup> p = 0.081 <sup>i</sup>
Women	27	4.9 [1.7; 8.6] 21 (77.8)	20	4.0 [1.1; n.c.] 12 (60.0)	0.84 [0.40; 1.77] <sup>k</sup> p = 0.654 <sup>i</sup>
Total	1 1		1		
Men					1.55 [0.83; 2.90] <sup>c</sup> no data available
Women			0.75 [0.37; 1.50] <sup>c</sup> no data available		
Appropriate co	mparator th	erapy vs bridge co	mparator		•
024					
Men	43	6.2 [1.2; n.c.] 24 (55.8)	47	1.3 [1.0; 1.5] 35 (74.5)	0.51 [0.30; 0.87] <sup>k</sup> p = 0.013 <sup>i</sup>
Women	32	n.a. [3.4; n.c.] 13 (40.6)	26	n.a. [2.1; n.c.] 11 (42.3)	1.03 [0.46; 2.31] <sup>k</sup> p = 0.285 <sup>i</sup>
042					•
Men	56	11.6 [3.6; 26.2] 30 (53.6)	43	3.9 [2.2; n.c.] 25 (58.1)	0.75 [0.44; 1.28] <sup>k</sup> p = 0.940 <sup>i</sup>
Women	34	5.5 [2.0; 11.4] 21 (61.8)	36	6.2 [2.3; 15.8] 21 (58.3)	1.14 [0.62; 2.10] <sup>k</sup> p = 0.662 <sup>i</sup>

Total					
Men					0.61 [0.42; 0.89]° no data available
Women					1.10 [0.68; 1.79]° no data available
		oridge comparato m-based chemot		ding to Bucher): s pembrolizumab	,
					Interaction: p = 0.021
Men					2.53 [1.22; 5.23] p = 0.012
Women					0.68 [0.29; 1.58] p = 0.373
Discontinuat	ion because	of AE			
Intervention v	s bridge com	parator			
021G	10	n.a. [7.4; n.c.] 2 (20.0)	10	11.7 [5.6; n.c.] 2 (20.0)	0.27 [0.02; 2.99] 0.286 <sup>b</sup>
189	84	17.1 [12.1; 19.2] 30 (35.7)	38	19.7 [n.c.] 4 (10.5)	3.07 [0.93; 10.15] 0.066 <sup>b</sup>
Total					2.00 [0.77; 5.21] no data available <sup>c</sup>
Appropriate c	omparator the	erapy vs bridge col	mparator		
024	75	n.a. 10 (13.3)	73	n.a. 15 (20.5)	0.61 [0.27; 1.35] 0.222 <sup>b</sup>
042	90	n.a. [18.4; n.c.] 17 (18.9)	79	n.a. [17.4; n.c.] 13 (16.5)	1.05 [0.51; 2.17] 0.898 <sup>b</sup>
Total					0.82 [0.48; 1.39] no data available <sup>c</sup>
		dge comparators (a -based chemother			2.45 [0.82; 7.31] 0.108

- Consisting of either cisplatin or carboplatin in combination with pemetrexed
- Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as covariates; 2-sided p value (Wald test)
- c Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as covariates, stratified by study
- Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as covariates, stratified by PD-L1 status (≥ 1 vs < 1%), platinum chemotherapy (cisplatin vs carboplatin), and smoker status (never vs former/active); 2-sided p value (Wald test)

- e Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as covariates, stratified by geographical region (East Asia vs non-East Asia) and ECOG performance status (0 vs 1); 2-sided p value (Wald test)
- f Cox proportional hazard model with treatment, platinum chemotherapy (cisplatin vs carboplatin), and smoker status (never vs former/active) stratified by study
- g Cox proportional hazard model with treatment, geographical region (East Asia vs non-East Asia), and ECOG performance status (0 vs 1) stratified by study
- d Cox proportional hazard model stratified by PD-L1 status (≥ 1 vs < 1%), platinum chemotherapy (cisplatin vs carboplatin), and smoker status (never vs former/active)
- i 2-sided p value (Wald test)
- k Cox proportional hazard model with treatment as covariates

#### Abbreviations used:

CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PD-L1: Programmed Cell Death-Ligand 1; RCT: randomised controlled study; SAE: serious AE, AE: adverse event; vs: versus

#### 2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

- a) Adult patients with first-line treatment of metastatic squamous NSCLC without EGFR or ALK positive tumour mutations whose tumours express PD-L1 with a < 50% tumour proportion score (TPS¹):
  - approx. 5,700 to 6,480 patients
- b) Adult patients with first-line treatment of metastatic squamous NSCLC without EGFR or ALK positive tumour mutations with one patient whose tumour expressed PD-L1 with a ≥ 50% tumour proportion score (TPS¹):
  - approx. 2320 to 2640 patients

#### 3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Keytruda<sup>®</sup> (active ingredient: pembrolizumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 10 July 2019):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/keytruda-epar-product-information de.pdf

Treatment with pembrolizumab should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology, specialists in internal medicine and pneumology, specialists in pulmonary medicine, and specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement who are experienced in the treatment of patients with non-small cell lung carcinoma.

According to the requirements for risk minimisation activities in the EPAR (European Public Assessment Report), the pharmaceutical company must provide the following information material on pembrolizumab:

Training and information material for doctors/medical professionals

Training and information material for the patient

In patients with NSCLC whose tumours show a high PD-L1 expression, the risk of side effects of a combination therapy compared with a monotherapy with pembrolizumab should be considered and the benefit-risk ratio of a combination therapy individually evaluated.

For women, the results show better therapeutic effects of pembrolizumab in combination with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy than men, especially for overall survival. This is evident from the sub-group evaluations by sex in the relevant sub-populations of the present benefit assessment. The better therapeutic effects for women are shown both compared with pemetrexed plus platinum chemotherapy (PD L1 expression < 50%, TPS) and to pembrolizumab as monotherapy (PD L1 expression  $\ge$  50%, TPS). This should be considered in the individual therapy decision.

#### 4. Treatment costs

#### **Annual treatment costs:**

a) Adult patients with first-line treatment of metastatic squamous NSCLC without EGFR or ALK positive tumour mutations whose tumours express PD-L1 with a < 50% tumour proportion score (TPS¹):

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient			
Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Pembrolizumab plus Pemetrexed plus C	arboplatin			
Pembrolizumab	€103.757,46			
Pemetrexed	€67.076,22			
Carboplatin	€8.514,45			
Total:	€179.348,13			
Additionally required SHI services:	€123,61 - €169,71			
Pembrolizumab plus Pemetrexed plus Cisplatin				
Pembrolizumab	€103.757,46			
Pemetrexed	€67.076,22			
Cisplatin	€1.959,42			
Total:	€172.793,10			
Additionally required SHI services:	€448,03 €- €585,03			
Appropriate comparator therapy:				
Cisplatin plus Docetaxel				
Cisplatin	€1.959,42			
Docetaxel	€20.741,53			
Total:	€22.700,95			

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient				
Additionally required SHI services:	€324,43 - €415,33				
Cisplatin plus Gemcitabin					
Cisplatin	€1.959,42 - €2.427,26				
Gemcitabin	€7.999,18				
Total:	€ 9.958,60 - € 10.426,44				
Additionally required SHI services:	€324,43 - €415,33				
Cisplatin plus Paclitaxel					
Cisplatin	€2.216,63				
Paclitaxel	€20.269,78				
Total:	€22.486,41				
Additionally required SHI services:	€557,97 - €648,87				
Cisplatin plus Pemetrexed					
Cisplatin	€1.959,42				
Pemetrexed	€67.076,22				
Total:	€69.035,64				
Additionally required SHI services:	€448,03 - €585,03				
Cisplatin plus Vinorelbin					
Cisplatin	€1.959,42 - €2.427,26				
Vinorelbin	€4.890,22 - €6.096,88				
Total:	€ 6.849,64 - € 8.524,14				
Additionally required SHI services:	€324,43 - €415,33				
Carboplatin plus Docetaxel					
Carboplatin	€8.514,45				
Docetaxel	€20.741,53				
Total:	€29.255,98				
Carboplatin plus Gemcitabin					
Carboplatin	€8.514,45				
Gemcitabin	€7.999,18				
Total:	€16.513,63				
Carboplatin plus Paclitaxel					
Carboplatin	€8.514,45				
Paclitaxel	€20.269,78				
Total:	€28.784,23				

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient			
Additionally required SHI services:	€233,55			
Carboplatin plus Pemetrexed				
Carboplatin	€8.514,45			
Pemetrexed	€72.399,94			
Total:	€80.914.39			
Additionally required SHI services:	€123,61 - €169,71			
Carboplatin plus Vinorelbin				
Carboplatin	€8.514,45			
Vinorelbin	€4.890,22 - €6.096,88			
Total:	€13.404,67 - €14.611,33			
Carboplatin plus nab-Paclitaxel				
Carboplatin	€8.514,45			
nab-Paclitaxel	€41.219,22			
Total:	€49.733,67 €			

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 September 2019)

## Other services covered by SHI funds:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Cost per unit	Numbe r per cycle	per	Cost per patient per year
Medicinal produ	uct to be assessed:				
Pembrolizuma b	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	17	€1,207
Carboplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377
Pemetrexed	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377

<sup>5</sup> calculated and standardised for one year

-

Appropriate co	mparator therapy:				
Carboplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377
Vinorelbine	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	2	34	€2,754
Gemcitabine	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	2	34	€2,754
Docetaxel	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377
Paclitaxel	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377
nab-paclitaxel	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	51	€4,131
Pemetrexed	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377

b) Adult patients with first-line treatment of metastatic squamous NSCLC without EGFR or ALK positive tumour mutations with one patient whose tumour expressed PD-L1 with a ≥ 50% tumour proportion score (TPS¹):

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:	Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Pembrolizumab plus pemtrexed plus carb	poplatin				
Pembrolizumab	103,757.46				
Pemetrexed	€67,076.22				
Carboplatin	€8,514.45				
Total:	€179,348.13				
Additionally required SHI services:	€123.61–169.71				
Pembrolizumab plus pemtrexed plus cisplatin					
Pembrolizumab	103,757.46				

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient		
Pemetrexed	€67,076.22		
Cisplatin	€1,959.42		
Total:	€172,793.10		
Additionally required SHI services:	€448.03-585.03		
Appropriate comparator therapy:			
Pembrolizumab	103,757.46		

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 September 2019)

### Other services covered by SHI funds:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Cost per unit	Numbe r per cycle	Number per patient per year <sup>6</sup>	Cost per patient per year
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Pembrolizuma b	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	17	€1,207
Carboplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377
Pemetrexed	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17	€1,377
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
Pembrolizuma b	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	17	€1,207

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> calculated and standardised for one year