

Avelumab (New Therapeutic Indication: Renal Cell Carcinoma, First-Line, Combination with Axitinib)

Resolution of: 14 May 2020 Entry into force on: 14 May 2020 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 13 10 2020 B2 Valid: unlimited

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 24 October 2019):

Bavencio in combination with axitinib is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC) (see section 5.1).

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

a) <u>Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a favourable or intermediate risk profile (IMDC score 0–2)</u>

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Bevacizumab in combination with interferon alfa-2a or
- Nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab (only for patients with intermediate risk profile)

or

- Monotherapy with pazopanib

or

Monotherapy with sunitinib

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of avelumab in combination with axitinib compared with sunitinib:

An additional benefit is not proven

b) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a poor risk profile (IMDC score \geq 3)

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab

or

- Sunitinib

or

- Temsirolimus

Courtesy translation – only the German version is legally binding.

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of avelumab in combination with axitinib compared with sunitinib:

Hint for a considerable additional benefit

Study results according to endpoints¹:

Study: Javelin Renal 101; avelumab + axitinib vs sunitinib Study design: randomised, open-label, Phase III Data cut-off: 28 January 2019

a) <u>Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a favourable or intermediate risk profile (IMDC score 0–2)</u>

Mortality

Endpoint	Av	elumab + axitinib		Sunitinib	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Overall survival					
	365	n.a. [30.0; n.a.] <i>74 (20.3)</i>	372	n.a. [28.6; n.a.] <i>84 (22.6)</i>	0.87 [0.63; 1.19] 0.378

Morbidity

Progression-free survival (PFS) ^c							
36	865	15.2 [12.4; 20.6] <i>182 (49,9)</i>	372	11.0 [8.3; 12.5] <i>201 (54,0)</i>	0.72 [0.59; 0.88] 0.0016 AD: 4.2 months		

Endpoint	Av	elumab + a	axitinib				Intervention vs control
	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change MV ^d [95% CI]	Z	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change MV ^d [95% CI]	MD [95% CI] ^e p value
Symptomatology	/						
FKSI-DRS	334	no data availabl e	- 1.33 [-1.65; -1.01]	342	no data availabl e	- 1.22 [-1.55; -0.88]	- 0.11 [-0.57; 0.35] 0.643

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A19-95) and from the addendum (A20-41) unless otherwise indicated.

Health status							
EQ-5D VAS	336	no data availabl e	- 1.17 [-2.39; 0.04]	343	no data availabl e	- 1.53 [-2.80; -0.27]	0.36 [-1.40; 2.11] 0.689

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint not surveyed

Side effects

Endpoint	Ave	elumab + axitinib		Sunitinib	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p valueª
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Total adverse ev	ents (p	resented additionally)	f		
	358	0.1 [0.1; 0.1] 357 <i>(</i> 99. <i>7)</i>	368	0.3 [0.2; 0.3] 366 (99.5)	-
Serious adverse	events	(SAE) ^f			
	358	25.0 [19.2; n.a.] <i>136 (38.0)</i>	368	26.3 [22.8; n.a.] <i>107 (29.1)</i>	1.09 [0.85; 1.41] 0.496
Severe adverse	events	(CTCAE grade ≥ 3) ^ŕ			
	358	2.8 [2.3; 4.2] 273 (76.3)	368	2.3 [1.8; 3.3] 281 (76.4)	0.85 [0.72; 1.01] 0.057
Therapy discont	inuatio	n because of advers	e even	ts ^g	
	358	n.a. 86 (24.0)	368	n.a. <i>49 (13.3)</i>	1.69 [1.19; 2.40] 0.003 AD: n.c.
Specific adverse	events	6			
Immune mediated AE			Νοι	isable data	

Infusion-related reactions			No us	able data	
Diarrhoea (PT, severe AE [CTCAE grade ≥ 3])	358	n.a. <i>35 (9.8)</i>	368	n.a. 10 (2.7)	2.80 [1.39; 5.66] 0.003 AD: n.c.
Dyspepsia (PT, AE)	358	n.a. 33 <i>(9.2)</i>	368	n.a. 79 (21.5)	0.34 [0.23; 0.51] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Chills (PT, AE)	358	n.a. 63 (17.6)	368	n.a. 33 (9.0)	2.00 [1.30; 3.06] 0.001 AD: n.c.
Pruritus (PT, AE)	358	n.a. 65 (18.2)	368	n.a. 23 (6.3)	2.64 [1.64; 4.25] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Increased alanine transaminase increased (PT, severe AE [CTCAE grade ≥ 3])	358	n.a. 25 (7.0)	368	n.a. <i>9 (</i> 2. <i>4</i>)	2.57 [1.20; 5.51] 0.012 AD: n.c.
Dysphonia (PT, AE)	358	n.a. 132 (36.9)	368	n.a. 16 (4.3)	10.05 [5.98; 16.90] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Taste disorder (PT, AE)	358	n.a. 53 <i>(14.8)</i>	368	n.a. [21.3; n.a.] <i>133 (36.1)</i>	0.31 [0.23; 0.43] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Blood and lymphatic system disorders (SOC, severe AE [CTCAE grade ≥ 3])	358	n.a. <i>8 (</i> 2.2)	368	n.a. 73 <i>(19.8)</i>	0.09 [0.04; 0.18] < 0.001 AD: n.c.

^a Effect and confidence interval (CI): Cox Proportional Hazards Model, p value: Log rank test; for overall survival and EQ-5D VAS: stratified by ECOG-PS (0 vs 1) and region (US vs Canada and Western Europe vs rest of the world)

^b Absolute difference (AD) given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation.

- ^c Information from the dossier of the pharmaceutical company
- ^d Least squares mean [95% CI]; positive values represent an improvement; positive effects mean an advantage for intervention.
- ^e MMRM; stratification factors of randomisation are not included in the model. No information is available on whether the changes per treatment group and the MD refer to the changes averaged over the entire course of the study compared with the start of study or to changes compared with the start of study.
- ^f Without recording of events based on progression of the underlying disease
- ^g In the intervention arm, the data refer to the discontinuation of at least 1 active ingredient (avelumab or axitinib).

Abbreviations used:

AD: Absolute difference; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ECOG-PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; EQ-5D: European Quality of Life Questionnaire – 5 Dimensions; FKSI-DRS: Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Kidney Symptom Index – Disease related Symptoms; CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; MMRM: Mixed Model for repeated Measurements; MV: mean value; n: number of patients with (at least one) event; N: number of patients evaluated; n.c.: not calculable; n.a.: not achieved; PT: preferred term; SD: standard deviation; SOC: system organ class; AE: adverse event; VAS: visual analogue scale; vs: versus

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ Risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment.
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment.
Health-related quality of life	Ø	There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.
Side effects	Ļ	Disadvantage in the endpoint discontinuation of therapy because of AE; advantage and disadvantage in individual specific AE

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

1: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment

n.a.: not assessable

b) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a poor risk profile (IMDC score ≥ 3)

Mortality

Endpoint	Av	elumab + axitinib		Sunitinib	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p value ^a
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Overall survival	-				
	72	21.2 [14.7; 26.3] <i>33 (45.8)</i>	71	11.0 [7.8; 16.5] <i>45 (63.4)</i>	0.50 [0.31; 0.81] 0.005 AD: 10.2 months
Effect modification	n by the	e characteristic region			
North America	16	17.7 [6.4; n.a.] <i>10 (62.5)</i>	20	18.9 [5.8; 25.5] <i>12 (60.0)</i>	0.90 [0.39; 2.10] 0.811
Europe	31	16.0 [9.1; n.a.] <i>14 (45.2)</i>	40	11.6 [8.0; 16.9] <i>24 (60.0)</i>	0.69 [0.36; 1.34] 0.272
Asia	14	23.8 [9.6; n.a.] <i>5 (35.7)</i>	4	11.5 [2.8; n.a.] 3 <i>(75.0)</i>	0.45 [0.10; 1.91] 0.265
Rest of the world	11	19.9 [2.8; n.a.] <i>4 (36.4)</i>	7	4.2 [0.8; 13.5] <i>6 (85.7)</i>	0.15 [0.04; 0.65] 0.005 AD: 15.7 months
				Interaction:	p = 0.045 ^h

Morbidity

Progression-free survival (PFS)°						
	72	6.0 [3.0; 9.0] <i>45 (62,5)</i>	71	2.9 [2.7; 5.6] 56 (78,9)	0.54 [0.36; 0.84] 0.0049 AD: 3.1 months	

Endpoint	Av	elumab + a	axitinib		Sunitini	b	Intervention vs control
	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change MV ^{d,e} [95% CI]	Ν	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change MV ^{d,e} [95% CI]	MD [95% CI] ^e p value
Symptomatology	/						
FKSI-DRS	65	no data availabl e	1.36 [0.09; 2.64]	59	no data availabl e	- 0.71 [-2.29; 0.87]	2.07 [0.04; 4.10] 0.045
							SMD: 0.37 [0.01; 0.72] 0.043
Health status							
EQ-5D VAS	65	no data availabl e	4.66 [0.48; 8.85]	57	no data availabl e	- 5.27 [-10.3; -0.19]	9.93 [3.36; 16.50] 0.036
							SMD: 0.55 [0.19; 0.91] 0.003

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint not surveyed

Side effects

Endpoint	Av	elumab + axitinib		Sunitinib	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Total adverse ev	ents (p	presented additionally)	f		
	72	0.1 [0.0; 0.2] 72 <i>(100.0)</i>	70	0.2 [0.2; 0.4] 69 (98.6)	-

Serious adverse		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	72	9.8	70	6.5	0.94
		[3.5; n.a.]		[3.8; n.a.]	[0.58; 1.52]
		38 (52.8)		31 (44.3)	0.787
Severe adverse e	events (CTCAE grade ≥ 3) ^f			
	72	1.9	70	2.2	1.08
		[1.4; 3.1]		[1.4; 4.1]	[0.73; 1.59]
		59 (81.9)		48 (68.6)	0.699
Therapy discont	inuation	because of adver	se events	S ^g	
	72	16.9	70	n.a.	1.81
		[9.0; n.a.]		[10.3; n.a.]	[0.93; 3.51]
		29 (40.3)		13 (18.6)	0.075
					AD: n.c.
Specific adverse	events				
Immune mediated AE			No us	able data	
Infusion-related reactions			No us	able data	
Gastrointestinal disorders (SOC severe AE [CTCAE grade	72	n.a. 7 <i>(9.7)</i>	70	n.a. 14 (20.0)	0.32 [0.13; 0.81] 0.012
≥ 3])					AD: n.c.
Hypertension	72	n.a.	70	n.a.	2.92
(PT, severe AE		21 (29.2)		7 (10.0)	[1.24; 6.90]
[CTCAE grade ≥ 3])				(/	0.011
- 1/					AD: n.c.
Blood and lymphatic	72	n.a. 5 <i>(6.9)</i>	70	7.1 [5.6; n.a.]	0.11 [0.04; 0.28]
system disorders (SOC, severe AE [CTCAE grade ≥ 3])		0 (0.0)		25 (35.7)	< 0.001 AD: n.c.
Hypothyroidism ^h	72	n.a.	70	n.a.	4.49
(PT, AE)		[9.7; n.a.]		4 (5.7)	[1.54; 13.13]
		21 (29.2)		. ()	0.003 AD: n.c.

^a Effect and confidence interval (CI): Cox Proportional Hazards Model, p value: Log rank test; for overall survival: stratified by ECOG-PS (0 vs 1) and region (US vs Canada and Western

Europe vs rest of the world)

- ^b Absolute difference (AD) given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation.
- ^c Information from the dossier of the pharmaceutical company
- ^d Least squares mean; positive values represent an improvement; positive effects mean an advantage for intervention.
- ^e MMRM; stratification factors of randomisation are not included in the model. No information is available on whether the changes per treatment group and the MD refer to the changes averaged over the entire course of the study compared with the start of study or to changes at only one time compared with the start of study.
- ^f Without recording of events based on progression of the underlying disease
- ^g In the intervention arm, the data refer to the discontinuation of at least 1 active ingredient (avelumab or axitinib).
- ^h Most of these patients (15 [20.8%] in the avelumab + axitinib arm and 3 [4.3%] in the sunitinib arm) had a CTCAE grade 2 event associated with symptomatology.

Abbreviations used:

AD: Absolute difference; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ECOG-PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; EQ-5D: European Quality of Life Questionnaire – 5 Dimensions; FKSI-DRS: Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Kidney Symptom Index – Disease related Symptoms; CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; MMRM: Mixed Model for repeated Measurements; MV: mean value; n: number of patients with (at least one) event; N: number of patients evaluated; n.c.: not calculable; n.a.: not achieved; PT: preferred term; SD: standard deviation; SMD: standardised mean difference, similar to Hedges' g; SOC: system organ class; AE: adverse event; VAS: visual analogue scale; vs: versus

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ Risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	↑	Advantage in overall survival
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment.
Health-related quality of life	Ø	There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment.

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

L: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment

n.a.: not assessable

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

a) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a favourable or intermediate risk profile (IMDC score 0-2)

approx. 2,700 patients

b) <u>Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a poor risk profile (IMDC score ≥ 3)</u>

approx. 800 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Bavencio[®] (active ingredient: avelumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 5 May 2020):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/bavencio-epar-productinformation_de.pdf

Treatment with avelumab may only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology, specialists in internal medicine and nephrology, and other specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement who are experienced in the treatment of patients with renal cell carcinoma.

According to the requirements for risk minimisation activities in the EPAR (European Public Assessment Report), the pharmaceutical company must provide the following information material on avelumab:

- Training and information material for medical professionals
- Training and information material for the patient

The Javelin Renal 101 study exclusively investigated patients with renal cell carcinoma with clear cell histology. No data are available for patients with non-clear-cell renal cell carcinoma.

This medicinal product was approved under "special conditions". This means that further evidence of the benefit of the medicinal product is anticipated. The EMA will evaluate new information on this medicinal product at a minimum once per year and update the product information where necessary.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

a) <u>Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a favourable or</u> intermediate risk profile (IMDC score 0–2)

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient			
Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Avelumab in combination with axitinib				
Avelumab	€99,052.63			
Axitinib	€46,868.22			
Total	€145,920.85			
Appropriate comparator therapy:				
Bevacizumab in combination with interferon alfa-2a				
Bevacizumab	€83,251.69			
Interferon alfa-2a	€15,508.68			
Total	€98,760.37			
Nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab				
Initial treatment				
Nivolumab	€12,201.36			
Ipilimumab	€29,046.08			
Total	€41,247.44			
Follow-up treatment				
Nivolumab	€56,736.32 - 61,311.83			
Initial treatment + total follow-up treatment	€97,983.76 - 102,559.27			
Monotherapies				
Pazopanib €54,403.13				
Sunitinib	€55,245.07			

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 April 2020

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

Other services covered by SHI funds:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Medicinal product to	be assessed:	•	•	•	
Avelumab	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	26.1	€1,853.10
Appropriate compara	ator therapy:				
Bevacizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	26.1	€1,853.10
Nivolumab in combi	nation with ipilimum	nab			
Nivolumab (follow-up treatment with nivolumab in 14- day cycle)	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	24.1	€1,711.10
Nivolumab (follow-up treatment with nivolumab in 28- day cycle)	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	13.3	€944.30
Ipilimumab	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	4	€284.00
Total				€1,228.30 -1,995.10	

b) Adult patients with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma with a poor risk profile (IMDC score \geq 3)

Designation of the therapy Annual treatment costs/patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Avelumab in combination with axitinib				
Avelumab	€99,052.63			
Axitinib	€46,868.22			
Total	€145,920.85			
Appropriate comparator therapy:				
Nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab				
Initial treatment				
Nivolumab	€12,201.36			
Ipilimumab	€29,046.08			
Total	€41,247.44			
Follow-up treatment				
Nivolumab	€56,736.32 - 61,311.83			
Initial treatment + total follow-up treatment	€97,983.76 - 102,559.27			
Monotherapies				
Sunitinib	€55,245.07			
Temsirolimus	€58,154.54			

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 April 2020

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

Other services covered by SHI funds:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Avelumab	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	26.1	€1,853.10

Appropriate comp	Appropriate comparator therapy:				
Nivolumab in con	Nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab				
Nivolumab (follow-up treatment with nivolumab in 14- day cycle)	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	24.1	€1,711.10
Nivolumab (follow-up treatment with nivolumab in 28- day cycle)	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	13.3	€944.30
Ipilimumab	Surcharge for the preparation of parenteral solutions with monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	4	€284.00
Total					€1,228.30 - 1,995.10
Temsirolimus	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	52.1	€4,220.10