

Neratinib (Breast Cancer, HR-Positive, HER2-Positive, Adjuvant Treatment)

Resolution of: 14 May 2020 Entry into force on: 14 May 2020 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 15 06 2020 B2 Valid: unlimited

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 31 August 2018):

Nerlynx is indicated for the extended adjuvant treatment of adult patients with early-stage hormone receptor positive HER2-overexpressed/amplified breast cancer and who completed adjuvant trastuzumab-based therapy less than one year ago.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

For the extended adjuvant treatment of adult patients with early-stage hormone receptor positive HER2-overexpressed/amplified breast cancer and who completed adjuvant trastuzumab-based therapy less than one year ago

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Monitoring wait-and-see approach

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of neratinib compared with the monitoring wait-and-see approach:

Hint for a minor additional benefit

Study results according to endpoints¹:

ExteNET study: Neratinib vs placebo

Study design: randomised, double-blind, two-armed, Phase III

Relevant sub-population: Patients hormone receptor-positive breast cancer who completed adjuvant trastuzumab-based therapy less than one year ago (approx. 47% of the study population)

Data cut-off: 7 July 2014

Mortality

Endpoint	Neratinib		Neratinib Placebo ^a		Intervention vs control
	N Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)		Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Overall survival					
no evaluations planned at the relevant data cut-off ^c					

Morbidity

Endpoint	Neratinib			Placebo ^a	Intervention vs control
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	Relative risk [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Relapse rate (ev	ent ra	te)			
Relapses (total) ^{d, e}	670	26 (3.9)		60 (9.0)	0.43 [0.27; 0.67] < 0.001 AD: 5.1%
Events included i	n the o	combined endpoint ^f			
Remote metastases	670	20 (3.0)	664	38 (5.7)	-
Invasive contralateral breast cancer	670	1 (0.1)	664	2 (0.3)	_
Invasive ipsilateral breast cancer	670	1 (0.1)	664	2 (0.3)	-

(Continuation)

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A19-98) and the addendum (A20-40) unless otherwise indicated.

local/regional invasive relapse	670	3 (0.4)	664	12 (1.8)	-
Ductal carcinoma in situ	670	0 (0)	664	5 (0.8) ^d	-
Death by any cause	670	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1) 664 1		_
Sensitivity analys	ses:				
Replacement in accordance with risk of the control group ^g	670	- (5.9)	664	- (10.4)	0.57 [0.37; 0.86]; 0.007 AD: 4.5%
Replacement in the intervention arm in accordance with the risk of the control group ^h	670	- (5.9)	664	- (9.0)	0.65 [0.42; 0.99]; 0.046 AD: 3.1%
Replacement in accordance with twice the risk of the control group ⁱ	670	- (7.8)	664	- (11.7)	0.67 [0.47; 0.97]; 0.032 AD: 3.9%

Endpoint	Neratinib			Placebo ^a	Intervention vs control
	N Median time to event in months [95% CI]		Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Relapse-free su	rvival	1			
	670	n.a.	664	n.a.	0.45 [0.28; 0.71] < 0.001 AD: n.c.

Endpoint	Neratinib				Placeb	Intervention vs control	
	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change ^j MV [95% CI]	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change ^j MV [95% CI]	MD [95% CI] p value
Health status							
EQ-5D-VAS ^k	549	no data available	-2.96 [-3.85; -2.07]	568	no data available	−2.50 [−3.32; −1.68]	-0.46 [-1.67; 0.75] 0.459

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint		Neratin	ib		Placeb	O ^a	Intervention vs control
	Ν	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change ⁱ MV [95% CI]	Ν	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change ⁱ MV [95% CI]	MD [95% CI] p value
FACT-B total sco	FACT-B total score ^k						
	541	no data available	-3.74 [-4.69; -2.79]	566	no data available	-3.09 [-3.97; -2.22]	-0.64 [-1.94; 0.65] 0.329
FACT b sub-sca	les (pr	esented ac	ditionally)				
BCS	541	no data available	0.45 [0.15; 0.76]	566	no data available	-0.17 [-0.45; 0.11]	0.62 [0.20; 1.04] 0.004
PWB	no data available						
SWB	no data available						
EWB	no data available						
FWB				no da	ata available	е	

Side effects

Neratinib			Placebo ^a	Intervention vs control
Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] p value
Patients with event n Patients with event n		Absolute difference (AD) ^b		
ents (p	resented additionally)		
662	662 0.1 [no data available]		0.8 [0.6; 0.9]	_
649 (98.0)			567 (86.3)	
events	(SAE)			
662 n.a.		657	n.a.	1.56
	45 (6.8)		36 (5.5)	[1.00; 2.43] 0.047 AD: n.c.
	ents (p 662 events	NMedian time to event in months [95% CI]Patients with event n (%)ents (presented additionally6620.1 [no data available] 649 (98.0)events (SAE)662n.a.	NMedian time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)NPatients with event n (%)657ents (presented additionally)6576620.1 [no data available] 649 (98.0)657events (SAE)657	NMedian time to event in months [95% CI]NMedian time to event in months [95% CI]Patients with event n (%)Patients with event n (%)Patients with event n

(Continuation)

Severe adverse e	vents	(CTCAE grade ≥ 3)			
	662	8.6 [5.8; n.c.] 327 (49.4)	657	n.a. 76 (11.6)	6.28 [4.92; 8.12] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Therapy disconti	nuatio	n because of advers	se events		
	662	n.a. 178 (26.9)	657	n.a. <i>30 (4.6)</i>	7.00 [4.83; 10.51] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Specific adverse	events	6			
Gastrointestinal disorders (SOC, CTCAE grade≥ 3) ^I	662	n.a. 280 (42.3)	657	n.a. 14 (2.1)	27.10 [16.47; 48.66] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Including diarrhoea (PT, CTCAE grade ≥ 3)	662	n.a. 261 (39.4)	657	n.a. 7 <i>(1.1)</i>	49.55 [25.29; 116.28] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Fatigue (PT, CTCAE grade ≥ 3)	662	n.a. <i>13 (2.0)</i>	657	n.a. <i>2 (0.3)</i>	7.51 [2.07; 48.08] 0.002 AD: n.c.
Metabolism and nutrition disorders (SOC, CTCAE grade \geq 3)	662	n.a. 20 (3.0)	657	n.a. 10 (1.5)	2.36 [1.13; 5.26] 0.023 AD: n.c.
Muscle spasms (PT, AE)	662	n.a. <i>81 (12.2)</i>	657	n.a. 22 (3.3)	4.71 [2.99; 7.73] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Nervous system disorders (SOC, CTCAE grade ≥ 3)	662	n.a. <i>19 (2.9)</i>	657	n.a. <i>8 (1.2)</i>	2.73 [1.24; 6.64] 0.013 AD: n.c.
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders (SOC, AE)	662	n.a. 221 (33.4)	657	n.a. 139 (21.2)	2.05 [1.66; 2.54] < 0.001 AD: n.c.
Investigations (SOC, CTCAE grade ≥ 3)	662	n.a. 20 (3.0)	657	n.a. <i>8 (1.2)</i>	3.10 [1.41; 7.49] 0.004 AD: n.c.

(Continuation)

^a Adequate approximation to the appropriate comparator therapy monitoring wait-and-see approach

- ^b Absolute difference (AD) given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation.
- ^c In the study, overall survival should not be evaluated until the 248th death. For the relevant subpopulation, there are no data on deaths. For the first data cut-off, in the population of hormone receptor-positive patients, 9 deaths in the neratinib arm and 14 deaths in the placebo arm occurred regardless of the time from completion of trastuzumab therapy to randomisation.
- ^d Combined endpoint consisting of the components: remote metastases, invasive contralateral breast cancer, invasive ipsilateral breast cancer, local/regional invasive relapse, ductal carcinoma in situ, or death by any cause, whichever occurred first; the components are shown as event rates in the lines below the relapse endpoint
- In both treatment groups, missing values of patients who discontinued the study and for whom no relapse had been documented up to the time of discontinuation are rated as "no event" (LOCF analysis).
- ^f No calculation of effect estimates. The events shown do not fully represent the endpoint. Only the events that come into play during the formation of the combined endpoint are shown.
- ⁹ In both treatment groups, missing values are replaced in accordance with the risk observed in the control group (10.4%).
- ^h Missing values in the neratinib arm are replaced in accordance with the risk observed in the control group (10.4%). In the control group, missing values are rated as "no event".
- In both treatment groups, missing values are replaced in accordance with twice the risk observed in the control group (20.7%).
- ^j Averaged over Months 1–12
- ^k A positive change from start of study to end of study means an improvement; a positive effect estimate means an advantage for the intervention.
- ¹ includes the PTs abdominal pain, diarrhoea, vomiting

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; BCS = breast cancer sub-scale; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EWB = emotional well-being; EQ-5D = European Quality of Life-5 Dimensions; FACT-B = Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Breast Cancer; FWB = functional well-being; CI = confidence interval; LOCF = Last Observation carried forward; MD = mean difference; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PT = preferred term; PWB = physical well-being; SD = standard deviation; SOC = system organ class; SWB = social well-being; VAS = visual analogue scale; vs = versus

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Direction of effect/ Risk of bias	Summary
n.a.	not assessable
$\uparrow\uparrow$	Advantage in the endpoint relapse, operationalised as relapse rate and relapse-free survival
\leftrightarrow	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment
↓↓	Disadvantages in the endpoints serious AEs, severe AEs (CTCAE grade \geq 3), and therapy discontinuation because of AEs; disadvantages in individual specific AEs
	Risk of bias n.a. ↑↑

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

11: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

approx. 2,330-4,560 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Nerlynx[®] (active ingredient: neratinib) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 30 April 2020):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/nerlynx-epar-productinformation de.pdf

Treatment with neratinib should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology, specialists in gynaecology and obstetrics, and specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement who are experienced in the treatment of patients with breast cancer.

According to the requirements for risk minimisation activities in the EPAR (European Public Assessment Report), the pharmaceutical company must provide the following information material on neratinib:

- Training material for doctors
- Informational material for patients

The training and information material include, in particular, instructions on how to deal with the potential gastrointestinal toxicity (diarrhoea) associated with neratinib.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Neratinib	€79,229.54				
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
Monitoring wait-and-see approach	not quantifiable				

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 April 2020

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable