

# Ramucirumab (New Therapeutic Indication: NSCLC, First- Line, EGFR Mutation, Combination with Erlotinib)

Resolution of:20 August 2020Entry into force on:20 August 2020Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 21 09 2020 B1

Valid until: unlimited

# New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 23 January 2020):

Cyramza in combination with erlotinib is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with activating epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations

- 1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy
- a) Adult patients with metastatic NSCLC with the activating EGFR mutations L858R<sup>1</sup> or del <u>19<sup>2</sup></u>; first-line therapy:

### Appropriate comparator therapy:

Afatinib or gefitinib or erlotinib or osimertinib

# Extent and probability of the additional benefit of ramucirumab in combination with erlotinib compared with erlotinib:

An additional benefit is not proven.

b) Adult patients with metastatic NSCLC with activating EGFR mutations other than L858R<sup>1</sup> or del 19<sup>2</sup>; first-line therapy:

# Appropriate comparator therapy:

A patient-individual therapy depending on the activating EGFR mutation with selection of:

- Afatinib, gefitinib, erlotinib, osimertinib
- Cisplatin in combination with a third-generation cytostatic agent (vinorelbine or gemcitabine or docetaxel or paclitaxel or pemetrexed)
- Carboplatin in combination with a third-generation cytostatic agent (vinorelbine or gemcitabine or docetaxel or paclitaxel or pemetrexed; *cf* Annex VI to Section K of the Pharmaceuticals Directive)
- Carboplatin in combination with nab-paclitaxel

and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exon 21 substitution mutation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exon 19 deletion

Monotherapy with gemcitabine or vinorelbine (only for patients with ECOG performance status 2 as an alternative to platinum-based combination treatment).

# Extent and probability of the additional benefit of ramucirumab in combination with erlotinib compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

An additional benefit is not proven.

### Study results according to endpoints:

a) <u>Adult patients with metastatic NSCLC with the activating EGFR mutations L858R or del</u> <u>19; first-line therapy:</u>

RELAY study: Ramucirumab + erlotinib vs placebo + erlotinib<sup>3,4</sup>

Study design: randomised, double-blind, two-armed

### Mortality

Endpoint	Ramucirumab + erlotinib			Erlotinib	Intervention vs control
	Ν	event in months [95% CI]		Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) <sup>a</sup>
Overall survival					
	224	n.a. 37 (16.5)	225	n.a. 42 (18.7)	0.83 [0.53; 1.30] 0.421

# Morbidity

Endpoint	F	Ramucirumab + erlotinib		Erlotinib	Intervention vs control	
	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Ζ	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) <sup>a</sup>	
Progression-free	surviv	val (PFS) <sup>ь</sup>				
	224	19.4 [15.38; 21.55] 122 (54.5)	225	12.4 [10.97; 13.50] 158 (70.2)	0.59 [0.46; 0.76] < 0.0001 7.0 months	
Disease symptomatology						
LCSS ASBI (time to first deterioration) <sup>c</sup>						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A20-13) unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Data cut-off 23 January 2019

≥ 15 mm	224	28.1 [24.6; n.c.] 75 (33.5)	225	n.a. [16.7; n.c.] 72 (32.0)	0.96 [0.68; 1.34] 0.786
Health status					
EQ-5D VAS (time	e to firs	t deterioration) <sup>d</sup>			
≥ 7 mm	224	7.2 [3.8; 15.0] 122 (54.5)	225	5.3 [3.3; 8.8] 126 (56.0)	0.93 [0.72; 1.21] 0.594
≥ 10 mm	224	7.4 [4.2; 15.0] 121 (54.0)	225	5.4 [3.3; 10.6] 124 (55.1)	0.95 [0.74; 1.23] 0.704

Endpoint	Ramucirumab + erlotinib				Erlotin	Intervention vs control	
	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean Change via the Follow-up surveys MV (SE)	Ne	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean Change via the Follow-up surveys MV (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value
Disease sy	mptor	natology				-	
LCSS ASB	f						
	216	21.1 (15.2)	-4.6 (0.7)	216	18.3 (14.6)	-5.2 (0.7)	0.58 [-1.43; 2.59] 0.572
Health state	us						
EQ-5D VAS	EQ-5D VAS <sup>g</sup>						
	218	75.1 (17.1)	2.6 (0.9)	219	77.6 (16.7)	1.6 (0.9)	1.00 [-1.37; 3.38] 0.408

# Health-related quality of life

Endpoint not surveyed

# Side effects

Endpoint	F	Ramucirumab + erlotinib		Erlotinib	Intervention vs control				
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value Absolute				
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) <sup>a</sup>				
Adverse events (AE) (presented additionally)									
	221	0.2 [0.1; 0.2] 221 (100)	225	0.2 [0.1; 0.2] 225 (100)	-				
Serious adverse	events	s (SAE)							
	221	n.a. [25.8; n.c.] 65 (29.4)	225	n.a. 47 (20.9)	1.40 [0.96; 2.03] 0.081				
Severe adverse e	vents	(CTCAE grade ≥ 3)							
	221	3.9 [2.5; 4.3] 159 (71.9)	225	12.0 [6.2; 20.9] 121 (53.8)	1.58 [1.25; 2.00] < 0.001 8.1 months				
Discontinuation I	becaus	se of AE							
	221	n.a. 28 (12.7)	225	n.a. 24 (10.7)	1.13 [0.66; 1.96] 0.650				
Specific adverse	events	6							
Peripheral oedema (PT, AE)	221	33.1 [33.1; n.c.] 50 (22.6)	225	n.a. 10 (4.4)	5.24 [2.65; no data available <sup>h</sup> ] < 0.001				
Diarrhoea (PT, severe AE with CTCAE grade ≥ 3)	221	n.a. 16 (7.2)	225	n.a. 3 (1.3)	5.36 [1.56; no data available <sup>h</sup> ] 0.003				
Hypertension (PT, severe AE with CTCAE grade ≥ 3)	221	n.a. 52 (23.5)	225	n.a. 12 (5.3)	4.56 [2.43; 8.54] < 0.001				
Infections and infestations (SOC, severe AE) with CTCAE grade ≥ 3)	221	33.4 [33.4; n.c.] 38 (17.2)	225	n.a. 15 (6.7)	2.52 [1.39; 4.59] 0.002				
<sup>a</sup> Absolute difference calculation	ce (AD)	given only in the case	of a sta	tistically significant diffe	erence; own				

Courtesy translation – only the German version is legally binding.

<sup>b</sup> Data from: dossier on ramucirumab Module 4A from 14 February 2020, data cut-off of 23 January 2019

<sup>c</sup> Time to first deterioration; defined as an increase of the score by  $\geq$  15 mm compared with baseline

- <sup>d</sup> Time to first deterioration; defined as a decrease of the score by ≥ 7 or ≥ 10 mm compared with baseline
- Number of patients included in the evaluation to calculate the effect estimate; values at the start of study may be based on other patient numbers.
- <sup>f</sup>Lower (decreasing) values mean better symptomatology; negative effects (intervention minus control) mean an advantage for intervention
- <sup>g</sup> Higher (increasing) values indicate better health status; positive effects (intervention minus control) indicate an advantage for intervention
- <sup>h</sup> According to the pharmaceutical company > 9.99

#### Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; ASBI = Average Symptom Burden Index; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EQ-5D = European Quality of Life Questionnaire – 5 Dimensions; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; LCSS = Lung Cancer Symptom Scale; MD = mean difference; MMRM = mixed model with repeated measurements; MV = mean value; N = number of patients assessed; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PT: preferred term; SD = standard deviation; SE = standard error; SOC = system organ class; SAE = serious adverse event; AE = adverse event; VAS = visual analogue scale; vs = versus

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ Risk of bias	Summary
Mortality		No difference relevant for the benefit assessment
Morbidity	$\leftrightarrow$	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment
Health-related quality of life	Ø	No data available.
Side effects	$\downarrow\downarrow$	Disadvantage in the endpoint severe AE (CTCAE grade ≥ 3) as well as in detail for specific AE

# Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

 $\leftrightarrow$ : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 $\varnothing$ : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

### b) <u>Adult patients with metastatic NSCLC with activating EGFR mutations other than L858R</u> <u>or del 19; first-line therapy:</u>

There is no data that would allow for the assessment of the additional benefit.

# Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary
	Risk of bias	
Mortality	Ø	No data available.
Morbidity	Ø	No data available.
Health-related quality of life	Ø	No data available.
Side effects	Ø	No data available.

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\downarrow:$  statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference

 $\varnothing$ : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

# 2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

 Adult patients with metastatic NSCLC with the activating EGFR mutations L858R or del 19; first-line therapy:
approx 690 to 1 560 patients

approx. 690 to 1,560 patients

b) <u>Adult patients with metastatic NSCLC with activating EGFR mutations other than L858R</u> or del 19; first-line therapy:

approx. 90 to 250 patients

# 3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Cyramza<sup>®</sup> (active ingredient: ramucirumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 7 May 2020):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/cyramza-epar-productinformation\_de.pdf

Treatment with ramucirumab should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology, specialists in internal medicine and pneumology,

specialists in pulmonary medicine, and specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement who are experienced in the treatment of patients with non-small cell lung cancer.

If the use of ramucirumab is considered, the EGFR mutation status must be determined by a validated test procedure.

### 4. Treatment costs

#### Annual treatment costs:

a) Adult patients with metastatic NSCLC with the activating EGFR mutations L858R or del 19; first-line therapy:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient			
Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Ramucirumab	€82,971.64			
Erlotinib	€15,087.40			
Total:	€98,059.04			
Appropriate comparator therapy:				
Afatinib	€ 30,105.59			
Erlotinib	€15,087.40			
Gefitinib	€9,952.09			
Osimertinib	€68,749.82			

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 July 2020

b) Adult patients with metastatic NSCLC with activating EGFR mutations other than L858R or del 19; first-line therapy:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Ramucirumab	€82,971.64				
Erlotinib	€15,087.40				
Total:	€98,059.04				
Appropriate comparator therapy: a patient EGFR mutation with selection of:	t-individual therapy depending on the activating				
Afatinib, gefitinib, erlotinib, osimertinib					
Afatinib	€30,105.59				
Erlotinib €15,087.40					
Gefitinib	€9,952.09				
Osimertinib	€68,749.82				

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient
Cisplatin in combination with a third-ge or docetaxel or paclitaxel or pemetrexe	eneration cytostatic agent (vinorelbine or gemcitabine ed)
Cisplatin plus docetaxel	
Cisplatin	€1,953.32
Docetaxel	€20,617.61
Total:	€22,570.93
Additionally required SHI services:	€319.71 – 410.31
Cisplatin plus gemcitabine	
Cisplatin	€1,953.32 - 2,419.30
Gemcitabine	€7,973.38
Total:	€9,926.70 - 10,392.68
Additionally required SHI services:	€319.71 – 410.31
Cisplatin plus paclitaxel	
Cisplatin	€2,209.97
Paclitaxel	€19,915.34
Total:	€22,125.31
Additionally required SHI services:	€ 543.93 - 634.53
Cisplatin plus pemetrexed	
Cisplatin	€1,953.32
Pemetrexed	€67,146.25
Total:	€69,099.57
Additionally required SHI services:	€442.51 – 578.80
Cisplatin plus vinorelbine	
Cisplatin	€1,953.32 - 2,419.30
Vinorelbine	€4,592.00 - 5,535.57
Total:	€6,545.32 - 7,954.87
Additionally required SHI services:	€ 319.71 - 410.31
Carboplatin in combination with a third gemcitabine or docetaxel or paclitaxel	-generation cytostatic agent (vinorelbine or or pemetrexed)
Carboplatin plus docetaxel	
Carboplatin	€8,484.94
Docetaxel	€20,617.61
Total:	€29,102.55
Carboplatin plus gemcitabine	

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient			
Carboplatin	€8,484.94			
Gemcitabine	€7,973.38			
Total:	€16,458.32			
Carboplatin plus paclitaxel				
Carboplatin	€8,484.94			
Paclitaxel	€19,915.34			
Total:	€28,400.28			
Additionally required SHI services:	€224.22			
Carboplatin plus pemetrexed				
Carboplatin	€8,484.94			
Pemetrexed	€67,146.25			
Total:	€75,631.19			
Additionally required SHI services:	€122.80 - 168.50			
Carboplatin plus vinorelbine				
Carboplatin	€8,484.94			
Vinorelbine	€4,592.00 - 5,535.57			
Total:	€13,076.94 – €14,020.51			
Carboplatin plus nab-paclitaxel				
Carboplatin	€8,484.94			
nab-paclitaxel	€ 37,958.80			
Total:	€46,443.74			
Monotherapy with gemcitabine or vinorelbine (only for patients with ECOG performance status 2 as an alternative to platinum-based combination treatment).				
Gemcitabine	€6,966.18			
Vinorelbine	€6,874.80 - €8,287.44			

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 July 2020

Other services covered by SHI funds:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs per unit	Numbe r per cycle	Number per patient per year <sup>5</sup>	Costs per patient per year
Medicinal product to b	be assessed:				
Ramucirumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	26.1	€1,853.10
Appropriate comparat	tor therapy:				
Carboplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€1,409.40
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€1,409.40
Vinorelbine	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	2	34.8	€2,818.80
Gemcitabine	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	2	34.8	€2,818.80
Docetaxel	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€1,409.40
Paclitaxel	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€1,409.40
nab-paclitaxel	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	3	52.2	€4,228.20
Pemetrexed	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€1,409.40
Gemcitabine (monotherapy)	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	3	39	€3,159.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Calculated and standardised for one year

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs per unit	Numbe r per cycle	Number per patient per year <sup>5</sup>	Costs per patient per year
Vinorelbin (monotherapy)	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	52.1	€4,220.10