

#### Indacaterol acetate/glycopyrronium bromide/mometasone furoate

Resolution of: 4 February 2021 Entry into force on: 4 February 2021 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 15.03.2021 B2 valid until: unlimited

#### The rapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 3 July 2020):

Enerzair Breezhaler is indicated as a maintenance treatment of asthma in adult patients not adequately controlled with a maintenance combination of a long-acting beta2-agonist and a high dose of an inhaled corticosteroid who experienced one or more asthma exacerbations in the previous year.

#### The rapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 4 February 2021):

See therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation

# 1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

Adult patients with asthma who are not adequately controlled with a maintenance combination of a LABA and a high dose of an inhaled corticosteroid who experienced one or more asthma exacerbations in the previous year

#### Appropriate comparator therapy:

High-dose ICS and LABA and LAMA

# Extent and probability of the additional benefit of indacaterol acetate/glycopyrronium bromide/mometasone furoate compared with salmeterol/fluticasone + tiotropium

An additional benefit is not proven.

#### Study results according to endpoints:1

Adult patients with asthma who are not adequately controlled with a maintenance combination of a LABA and a high dose of an inhaled corticosteroid who experienced one or more asthma exacerbations in the previous year

#### Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A20-69) and the addendum (A20-125) unless otherwise indicated.

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ Risk of bias	Summary			
Mortality	$\leftrightarrow$	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment.			
Morbidity	$\leftrightarrow$	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment.			
Health-related quality of life	$\leftrightarrow$	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment.			
Side effects	$\leftrightarrow$	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment.			
Explanations: ↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data					

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data
 ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\downarrow$ . Statistically significant and relevant negative effect with both reliability of data

 $\uparrow\uparrow$ : statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$  : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

 $\leftrightarrow:$  no statistically significant or relevant difference

 $\varnothing$ : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

# ARGON study<sup>2</sup>: Indacaterol/glycopyrronium/mometasone vs salmeterol/fluticasone + tiotropium

#### Mortality

Endpoint	Ind/Glyc/Mom			Sal/Flu + Tio	Ind/Glyc/Mom vs Sal/Flu + Tio
	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value
Mortality					
	242	0 (0)	232	1 (0.4)	0.32 [0.01; 7.81]; 0.484

#### Morbidity

Endpoint	Ind/Glyc/Mom			Sal/Flu + Tio	Ind/Glyc/Mom vs Sal/Flu + Tio
	N Mean annual rate [95% CI] <sup>c</sup>		N	Mean annual rate [95% CI] <sup>c</sup>	Rate ratio [95% Cl]; p value <sup>c</sup>
Severe asthma exacerbations <sup>a</sup>					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Relevant sub-population from the ARGON study: Patients previously treated with a combination of a LABA and a high dose of an inhaled corticosteroid.

Endpoint	Ind/Glyc/Mom				Sal/Flu + <sup>-</sup>	Гіо	Ind/Glyc/Mom vs Sal/Flu + Tio
	242	0.49 [0.36; 0.68]		232	0.34 [0.23; 0.49]		1.46 [0.91; 2.35]; 0.121
	N	Patients with event n (%)		N	Patients with event n (%)		RR [95% CI] p value
Severe asthma e	xacerb	ationsª <i>(pre</i>	sented add	litionally	1		
	242	43 (17.8)		232	28 (12.1)		1.47 [0.95; 2.29]; 0.084 <sup>b</sup>
	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 24 MV <sup>d</sup> (SE)	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Chang e at week 24 MV <sup>d</sup> (SE)	MD [95% CI]; p value <sup>d</sup>
Asthma symptom	natolog	ý					
ACQ-5 <sup>e</sup>	232	2.59 -1.25 (0.60) (0.08)		219	2.52 (0.57)	−1.24 (0.09)	-0.01 [-0.17; 0.16]; 0.926
<ul> <li>a. Definition: Deterioration of asthma symptoms (e,g, shortness of breath, cough, w heezing, and chest tightness) that required an administration or increase of OCS for ≥ 3 consecutive days and/or admission to an emergency department (or local equivalent structure) and/or hospitalisation because of asthma and/or death because of asthma.</li> <li>b. IQWiG calculation of RR, CI (asymptotic), and p value (unconditional exact test, CSZ method according to Martín Andrés &amp; Silva Mato, 1994).</li> <li>c. Mean rates with CI (per treatment group) as well as rate ratio with CI and p value (group comparison): negative-binomial regression with the variables treatment, region, and history of exacerbations as well as the offset variable log(exposure).</li> <li>d. MV and SE (change at Week 24 per treatment group) as well as MD and p value (group comparison):</li> </ul>							

- MMRM with the variables treatment, region, round, and value at start of study as well as the interactions value at start of study visit and treatment visit.
- e. The ACQ-5 assesses symptomatology on a scale from 0 to 6. Low er (decreasing) values mean better symptomatology; negative statistically significant effects (intervention minus control) mean an advantage for Ind/Glyc/Mom.

#### Health-related quality of life

Endpoint	Ind/Glyc/Mom				Sal/Flu +	Tio	Ind/Glyc/Mom vs Sal/Flu + Tio
Asthma Quality of	a Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ-S)						
	Ν	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 24 MVª (SE)	Ν	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 24 MVª (SE)	MD [95% CI]; p valueª

Endpoint	Ind/Glyc/Mom				Sal/Flu +	Tio	Ind/Glyc/Mom vs Sal/Flu + Tio
AQLQ-S total score <sup>b</sup>	231	4.69 (0.86)	0.74 (0.08)	215	4.71 (0.88)	0.74 (0.08)	0.00 [-0.15; 0.16]; 0.957
	Ν	Patients with event n (%)		N	Patients with event n (%)		RR [95% CI]; p value
AQLQ-S responder (increase by ≥ 0.5 points)	231	163 (70.6)		215	140 (	65.1)	1.11 [0.97; 1.27]; 0.113⁰
St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ)							
	Z	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 24 MVª (SE)	Z	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 24 MVª (SE)	MD [95% CI]; p valueª
SGRQ total score <sup>d</sup>	228	39.86 (16.08)	−11.85 (1.64)	211	38.51 (17.27)	-10.19 (1.68)	-1.66 [-4.64; 1.31]; 0.273
	N	Patients with event n (%)		N	Patients v n (	with event %)	RR [95% CI]; p value
SGRQ responder reduction by ≥ 4 points	240	158 (65.8)		224	129 (	57.6)	1.14 [0.99; 1.32]; 0.070
a. MV and SE (change at Week 24 per treatment group) as well as MD and p value (group comparison); for							

a. MV and SE (change at Week 24 per treatment group) as well as MD and p value (group comparison); for the AQLQ-S instrument: MMRM with the variables treatment, region, round, and value at start of study as well as the interactions value at start of study×visit and treatment×visit; for the SGRQ instrument: ANCOVA with the variables treatment, region, and value at start of study

b. Higher (increasing) values mean better health-related quality of life; positive statistically significant effects (intervention minus control) mean an advantage for Ind/Glyc/Mom.

c. RR with CI and p value: Poisson regression with the variables treatment, round, region, and value at start of study as well as the interactions value at start of study×visit and treatment×visit.

d. Low er (decreasing) values mean better health-related quality of life; negative statistically significant effects (intervention minus control) mean an advantage for Ind/Glyc/Mom.

#### Side effects

Endpoint	Ind/Glyc/Mom			Sal/Flu + Tio	Ind/Glyc/Mom vs Sal/Flu + Tio	
	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% Cl] p value	
Total adverse ev	vents (presented additionally) <sup>a</sup>					
	242	126 (52.1)	232	107 (46.1)	-	

Endpoint	Ind/Glyc/Mom			Sal/Flu + Tio	Ind/Glyc/Mom vs Sal/Flu + Tio				
	N	N Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value				
Serious adverse	Serious adverse events (SAE)ª								
	242	9 (3.7)		10 (4.3)	0.86 [0.36; 2.09]; 0.743				
Therapy discont	inuatio	ons because of adver	rseev	ents					
	242	1 (0.4)	232	3 (1.3)	0.32 [0.03; 3.05]; 0.322				
of Life Questionnaire	ol Quest ; Flu: fl	ionnaire; ANCOVA: analys uticasone; Glyc: glycopyrr ce; MRRM: mixed model w	onium b	romide; Ind: indacaterol ad	cetate; Cl: confidence				

ACQ: Asthma Control Questionnaire; ANCOVA: analysis of covariance; AQLQ-S: standardised Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; Flu: fluticasone; Glyc: glycopyrronium bromide; Ind: indacaterol acetate; CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; MMRM: mixed model with repeated measurements; Mom: mometasone furoate; MV: mean value; n: number of patients with (at least 1) event, N: number of patients evaluated; PT: preferred term; RR: relative risk; Sal: salmeterol; SD: standard deviation; SE: standard error; SGRQ: St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire; SAE: serious adverse event; Tio: tiotropium; AE: adverse event

## 2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

# Adult patients with asthma who are not adequately controlled with a maintenance combination of a LABA and a high dose of an inhaled corticosteroid who experienced one or more asthma exacerbations in the previous year

approx. 100,000 patients

## 3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Energair Breezhaler (active ingredient combination: indacaterol acetate/glycopyrronium bromide/mometasone furoate) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 21 January 2021):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/enerzair-breezhaler-eparproduct-information\_de.pdf

## 4. Treatment costs

## Annual treatment costs:

Adult patients with asthma who are not adequately controlled with a maintenance combination of a LABA and a high dose of an inhaled corticosteroid who experienced one or more asthma exacerbations in the previous year

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient						
Medicinal product to be assessed:							
Indacaterol acetate/glycopyrronium bromide/mometasone furoate	€1,131.82						
Appropriate comparator therapy:	Appropriate comparator therapy:						
Inhaled corticosteroids (ICS, high-dose)							
Budesonide	€ 140.32						
Long-acting beta-2 sympathomimetics (L	Long-acting beta-2 sympathomimetics (LABA)						
Formoterol	€ 309.08						
ICS/LABA fixed combinations (high-dose)							
Fluticasone/salmeterol €495.52							
Long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA)							
Tiotropium	€752.27						

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 January 2021

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable