

lvacaftor/tezacaftor/elexacaftor

Resolution of: 18 February 2021 Entry into force on: 18 February 2021 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 08.04.2021 B4 valid until: unlimited

The rapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 21 August 2020):

Kaftrio is indicated in a combination regimen with ivacaftor 150 mg tablets for the treatment of cystic fibrosis (CF) in patients aged 12 years and older who are homozygous for the F508del mutation in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene or heterozygous for F508del in the CFTR gene with a minimal function (MF) mutation.

The rapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 18 February 2021):

Kaftrio is used as a combination regimen with ivacaftor 150 mg tablets for the treatment of cystic fibrosis (CF) in patients aged 12 years and older who are heterozygous for F508del in the CFTR gene with a minimal function (MF) mutation.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator the rapy

Patients aged 12 years and older with cystic fibrosis who are heterozygous for a F508del mutation in the CFTR gene as well as a mutation with minimal function (MF) on the second allele

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Best supportive care

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of ivacaftor in combination with ivacaftor/tezacaftor/elexacaftor compared with best supportive care:

Hint for a major additional benefit

Study results according to endpoints:1

Study VX17-445-102 (parallel, multi-centre, double-blind, randomised controlled over 24 weeks): lvacaftor/tezacaftor/elexacaftor + ivacaftor (IVA/TEZ/ELX + IVA) + best supportive care (BSC) vs placebo + best supportive care (placebo + BSC)

•	-	
Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ Risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment
Morbidity	↑	Advantages in the endpoint pulmonary exacerbations and hospitalisation for pulmonary exacerbations as well as in the domains of the CFQ-R respiratory system and weight problems
Health-related quality of life	Ŷ	Advantages in all domains of the CFQ-R in the quality of life category
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No differences relevant for the benefit assessment
Explanations:		

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

1: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

11: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

Mortality

Study VX17-445-102 Endpoint category Endpoint	IVA/TEZ/ELX + IVA + BSC	Placebo + BSC	IVA/TEZ/ELX + IVA + BSC vs Placebo + BSC
Mortality			
No deaths occurred			

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the G-BA (published on 1 December 2020) as well as from the amendment unless indicated otherwise.

Morbidity

Study VX17-445- 102 Endpoint category Endpoint	IVA/TEZ/ELX + IVA + BSC				Placebo ·	IVA/TEZ/ ELX + IVA + BSC vs Placebo + BSC	
	Z	Numbe r Events n	Persons with at least one event, n (%)	Ν	Numbe r Events n	Persons with at least one event, n (%)	Rate ratio ³⁾ [95% CI]; p value
Morbidity							
Pulmonaryex	acerba	ations ¹⁾					
Pulmonary exacerbation s	200	41	31 (15.5)	203	113	76 (37.4)	0.37 [0.25; 0.55]; < 0.0001
Hospitalisatio ns because of pulmonary exacerbation	200	9	7 (3.5)	203	32	27 (13.3)	0.29 [0.14, 0.61]; 0.0010

Study VX17-445- 102 Endpoint category Endpoint	IVA/TEZ/ELX + IVA + BSC				Placebo + BSC				IVA/TEZ/ ELX + IVA + BSC vs Placebo + BSC
	(Char	Absolute Change at Week 24		Baseline		olute nge at ek 24	MD ⁶⁾ [95% Cl]; p value;
	N	MV (SD)	Ν	MV (SD)	Ν	MV (SD)	Ν	MV (SD)	Hedges'g [95% Cl]
Morbidity	-			-			•		
Symptomate	ology –	Cystic Fib	rosis Q	uestionn	aire-Re	vised (CF	Q-R) ¹⁾		
FEV1%									
FEV1 (absolute change)	200	61.6 (15.0)	196	13.9 (0.6)	203	61.3 (15.5)	203	-0.4 (0.5)	14.3 [12.7; 15.8]; < 0.0001
Sweat chlo	hloride concentration [mmol/l] (presented additionally)								
Sweat chloride (absolute change)	199	102.30 (11.85)	199	-42.1 9 (0.92)	201	102.93 (9.78)	201	-0.35 (0.92)	-41.84 [-44.40; -39.28]; < 0.0001

Study VX17-445- 102 Endpoint category Endpoint	I	VA/TEZ/E + B		/Α	Placebo + BSC				IVA/TEZ/ ELX + IVA + BSC vs Placebo + BSC
	Chan		solute Baseline ange at eek 24		seline	Absolute Change at Week 24		MD ⁶⁾ [95% CI]; p value;	
	N	N MV (SD)		MV (SD)	Ν	MV (SD)	Ν	MV (SD)	Hedges'g [95% Cl]
Body mass	index	(BMI) ¹⁾							
BMI ([kg/m²] absolute change)	200	21.49 (3.07)	198	1.13 (0.07)	203	21.31 (3.14)	202	0.09 (0.07)	1.04 [0.85; 1.23]; < 0.0001
BMI (z-score, absolute change ^m)	71	-0.37 (0.79)	64	0.34 (0.05)	74	-0.40 (0.98)	68	0.04 (0.05)	0.30 [0.17; 0.43]; < 0.0001

Study VX17-445- 102 Endpoint category	IV	IVA/TEZ/ELX + IVA + BSC			Placebo +	IVA/TEZ/ ELX + IVA + BSC vs Placebo + BSC	
Endpoint	Z	MV (SD)	Number of respond ers, n (%)	N	MV (SD)	Number of respond ers, n (%)	RR [95% Cl]; p value ⁴⁾
Morbidity							
Symptomatolog	gy – Cy	stic Fibros	is Question	naire-F	Revised (C	FQ-R(^{1), 5), 16})
Respiratory system	200	68.28 (16.91)	103 (51.5)	203	69.98 (17.76)	14 (6.9)	7.55 [4.48; 12.72]; < 0.0001
Gastro- intestinal symptoms	200	83.06 (18.1)	29 (14.5)	203	83.36 (16.89)	25 (12.32)	1.17 [0.71; 1.92]; 0.5349
Weight problems ⁹⁾	185	74.41 (30.99)	62 (33.51)	179	74.12 (31.71)	32 (17.88)	1.91 [1.31; 2.77]; 0.0007

Health-related quality of life

Study VX17-445- 102 Endpoint category	IV	4/TEZ/EL) + BS(F	Placebo +	BSC	IVA/TEZ/ ELX + IVA + BSC vs Placebo + BSC
Endpoint	Z	MV (SD)	Number of respond ers, n (%)	N	MV (SD)	Number of respond ers, n (%)	RR [95% Cl]; p value ⁴⁾
Health-related	l qualit	y of life					
Symptomatol	ogy–C	ystic Fib	rosis Ques	tionnai	re-Revise	d (CFQ-R) ¹⁾	, 5), 16)
Physical well- being	200	76.5 (21.7)	51 (25.50)	203	76.4 (21.6)	12 (5.91)	4.38 [2.42; 7.94]; < 0.0001
Emotional state	200	82.05 (16.0)	22 (11.0)	203	80.2 (16.7)	8 (3.94)	2.77 [1.27; 6.07]; 0.0108
Vitality ⁹⁾	185	62.8 (17.1)	46 (24.86)	179	63.8 (18.3)	6 (3.35)	7.51 [3.30; 17.07]; < 0.0001
Social limitations	203	70.5 (17.0)	34 (17.0)	200	68.8 (17.9)	10 (4.93)	3.48 [1.77; 6.83]; 0.0003
Role functioning ⁹⁾	185	81.7 (17.5)	30 (16.22)	179	83.3 (15.2)	7 (3.91)	4.17 [1.88; 9.23]; 0.0004
Body image	200	78.8 (22.1)	34 (17.0)	203	77.2 (23.5)	18 (8.87)	1.91 [1.12; 3.26]; 0.0179
Eating disorders	200	90.0 (17.9)	22 (11.0)	203	89.1 (17.5)	11 (5.42)	2.06 [1.03; 4.10]; 0.0398
Therapy stress	200	59.2 (19.2)	33 (16.5)	203	61.4 (20.2)	9 (4.43)	3.72 [1.83; 7.57]; 0.0003
Subjective health assessment ⁹⁾	185	63.5 (20.5)	77 (41.62)	179	64.2 (20.1)	10 (5.59)	7.45 [4.01; 13.98]; < 0.0001

Side effects^{10), 11)}

Study VX17-445-102 Endpoint category Endpoint	IVA/TEZ/ELX + IVA + BSC		PI	acebo + BSC	IVA/TEZ/ELX + IVA + BSC vs Placebo + BSC	
	N	Patients with event n (%)	vent event		RR ¹²⁾ [95% CI]; p value	
Side effects						
AE	202	187 (92.6)	201	187 (93.0)	_13)	
AE grade ≥ 3 or 4	202	19 (9.4)	201	9 (4.5)	2.10 [0.97; 4.53]; 0.058 ¹⁴⁾	
SAE	202	20 (9.9)	201	16 (8.0)	1.24 [0.66; 2.33]; 0.496 ¹⁴⁾	
AE that led to discontinuation of study medication	4.98 [0.24; 102.99]c; 0.212 ¹⁵⁾					
 All randomised patients with a CFTR mutation who received ≥ 1 dose of study medication. Mean difference calculated using MMRM: adjusted for baseline FEV1% (< 70 vs ≥ 70%), age at screening (< 18 vs ≥ 18 years), sex (male vs. female), treatment, round, treatment × round as fixed effects in the model. 						

3) Rate ratio: Negative binomial regression model with fixed treatment effect as well as continuous baseline FEV1% (< 70 vs ≥ 70%), age at screening (< 18 vs. ≥ 18 years), and sex (male vs female) as covariates.

4) Relative risk: Generalised linear model: Treatment group, baseline FEV1% (< 70 vs ≥ 70%), age at screening (< 18 vs. ≥ 18 years), and sex (male vs female) as covariates.

5) Score: 0–100; higher values correspond a lower symptomatology or better quality of life.

6) Mean difference calculated using MMRM: Data from all available rounds up to Week 24 with treatment, round, and treatment × round as fixed effects and baseline FEV1% (< 70 vs ≥ 70%), age at screening (< 18 vs ≥ 18 years), and sex (male vs female) as covariates.</p>

- 7) Domain "weight problems" is not included in the questionnaire version for children.
- 8) Pooled version "children from 12 to 13" and "Adolescents and adults".
- 9) Domain not included in the questionnaire version for children.

10) AE coded as death or with the MedDRA Preferred Term "infective exacerbations of cystic fibrosis" were not included in the analysis because these events were explicitly reported as a separate endpoint.

- 11) All patients who received \geq 1 dose of study medication.
- 12) Effect estimator based on the data of module 4.
- 13) Patient relevance cannot be clearly assessed.
- 14) Calculation of the RR using a four-field table. Module 4 did not specify whether the RR calculation took into consideration the stratification factors baseline FEV1% (< 70 vs ≥ 70%), age at screening (< 18 vs ≥ 18 years), and sex (male vs female) used for randomisation.
- 15) Calculation taken from IQWIG benefit assessment of ivacaftor (new therapeutic indication: Cystic fibrosis, combination therapy with ivacaftor/tezacaftor/elexacaftor in patients 12 years and older (heterozygous for F508del and MF mutation))
- 16) Improvement by at least 15 points. Evaluations for deterioration are not available.

Abbreviations: CFTR: Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator; FAS: full analysis set; FEV1%: Proportion of forced one-second volume to standardised normal value in percent; NA/TEZ/ELX: ivacaftor/tezacaftor/elexacaftor; CI: confidence interval; MedDRA: Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; (S)AE: (serious) adverse event(s); MMRM: Mixed model for repeated measurements; MV: mean value; n.c.: not calculable; RR: relative risk; SD: standard deviation;

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

Patients aged 12 years and older with cystic fibrosis who are heterozygous for a F508del mutation in the CFTR gene as well as a mutation with minimal function (MF) on the second allele

approx. 1000 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Kaftrio (active ingredient: lvacaftor/tezacaftor/elexacaftor) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 28 January 2021):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/kaftrio-epar-productinformation_de.pdf

Treatment with ivacaftor/tezacaftor/elexacaftor may be initiated and monitored only by specialists who are experienced in the treatment of patients with cystic fibrosis.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient					
Medicinal product to be assessed:						
lvacaftor/tezacaftor/elexacaftor	€ 158,139.51					
+ ivacaftor	€ 100,977.84					
Total costs	€ 259,117.35					
Best supportive care	different for each individual patient					
Appropriate comparator therapy						
Best supportive care	different for each individual patient					

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 February 2021

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable