

Dapagliflozin (new therapeutic indication: chronic heart failure)

Resolution of: 20 May 2021
Entry into force on: 20 May 2021
BAnz AT 25 08 2021 B3

Valid until: unlimited

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 3 December 2020):

“In heart failure, Forxiga is used in adult patients for the treatment of symptomatic chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.”

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution from the 20 May 2021):

see new therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation

| |
|---|
| 1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy |
|---|

Adults with symptomatic, chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction

Appropriate comparator therapy:

An optimised standard of care for the treatment of symptomatic chronic heart failure and underlying conditions such as hypertonia, arrhythmias, coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolaemia and associated symptoms

Magnitude and likelihood of additional benefit of dapagliflozin over optimised standard therapy for symptomatic chronic heart failure:

Hint of a considerable additional benefit

Study results according to endpoints:¹

Adults with symptomatic, chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

| Endpoint category | Direction of effect/ Risk of bias | Summary |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Mortality | ↑ | Advantage in all-cause mortality as well as in cardiovascular mortality (presented additionally). For both endpoints, there is an effect modification with respect to the severity of heart failure according to NYHA class: for patients with NYHA class II, there is a benefit in all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality, while for patients with NYHA class III/IV, there is no benefit. |
| Morbidity | ↑ | Benefits for total hospitalisation. |
| Health-related quality of life | ↑ | Advantage in health-related quality of life (KCCQ-OSS). |
| Side effects | ↑ | Advantage with SAE as well as in detail with specific AE. |
| Explanations: ↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data ↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data ↓↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data ↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference ∅: There is no usable data for the benefit assessment. n.a.: not assessable | | |

¹ Data from the dossier evaluation of the IQWiG (A20-113) and from the addendum (A21-44), unless otherwise indicated.

DAPA-HF study: Dapagliflozin vs placebo (each in addition to optimised standard therapy²)

Mortality

| Endpoint | Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy | | Placebo + optimised standard therapy | | Intervention vs control |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| | N | Patients with event n (%) | N | Patients with event n (%) | HR [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ⁱ |
| All-cause mortality | 2373 | 276 (11.6) | 2371 | 329 (13.9) | 0.83 [0.71; 0.97]; 0.022 AD ≤ 2.3% |
| NYHA II | 1606 | 125 (7.8) | 1597 | 192 (12.0) | 0.64 [0.51; 0.80]; < 0.001 |
| NYHA III/IV | 767 | 151 (19.7) | 774 | 137 (17.7) | 1.12 [0.89; 1.42] 0.326 |
| <i>Total</i> | | | <i>Interaction: p < 0.001</i> | | |
| Cardiovascular Mortality ^v (shown additionally) | 2373 | 227 (9.6) | 2371 | 273 (11.5) | 0.82 [0.69; 0.98]; 0.029 ^b AD ≤ 2.9% |

Morbidity

| Endpoint | Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy | | Placebo + optimised standard therapy | | Intervention vs control |
|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| | N | Patients with event n (%) | N | Patients with event n (%) | HR [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ⁱ |
| Total hospitalisation | 2373 | 785 (33.1) | 2371 | 886 (37.4) | 0.88 [0.82; 0.95]; 0.002 ^h AD = 4.3 % |
| Myocardial Infarction ^f | 2373 | 46 (1.9) | 2371 | 41 (1.7) | 1.11 [0.73; 1.69]; 0.625 |

² patient-specific optimised standard therapy of heart failure according to locally accepted guidelines (ACE inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB), sacubitril/valsartan, beta-blockers, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA), diuretics).

| Endpoint | Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy | | Placebo + optimised standard therapy | | Intervention vs control |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| | N | Patients with event n (%) | N | Patients with event n (%) | HR [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ⁱ |
| non-fatal | 2373 | 38 (1.6) | 2371 | 33 (1.4) | 1.14 [0.71; 1.82]; 0.583 |
| fatal | 2373 | 8 (0.3) | 2371 | 8 (0.3) | 0.99 [0.37; 2.63]; 0.982 |
| Strokes ^g | 2373 | 42 (1.8) | 2371 | 46 (1.9) | 0.90 [0.59; 1.37]; 0.629 |
| non-fatal | 2373 | 36 (1.5) | 2371 | 37 (1.6) | 0.96 [0.61; 1.52]; 0.865 |
| fatal | 2373 | 8 (0.3) | 2371 | 9 (0.4) | 0.88 [0.34; 2.28]; 0.791 |
| renal morbidity ^c | 2373 | 28 (1.2) | 2371 | 39 (1.6) | 0.71 [0.44; 1.16]; 0.168 ^d |
| sustained eGFR decrease of 50% | 2373 | 14 (0.6) | 2371 | 23 (1.0) | 0.60 [0.31; 1.16]; 0.126 ^d |
| ESRD | 2373 | 16 (0.7) | 2371 | 16 (0.7) | 1.00 [0.50; 1.99]; 0.995 ^d |
| renal death | 2372 | 0 (0) | 2371 | 1 (0) | – ^e |
| health status | | | | | |
| PGIC | | | | | |
| no deteriorati on in PGIC ⁿ | 2165 | 2024 (93.5) | 2141 | 1990 (92.9) | 1.01 [0.99; 1.02]; 0.506 ^o |
| PGIS | | | | | |
| no deteriorati on in PGIS ⁿ | 2237 | 1745 (78.0) | 2211 | 1655 (74.9) | 1.04 [1.01; 1.08]; 0.013 ^p AD = 3.1 % |

| Endpoint | Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy | | | Placebo + optimised standard therapy | | | Intervention vs control |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | N | Patients with event n (%) | | N | Patients with event n (%) | | HR [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ⁱ |
| | N ^q | Values at start of study MV (SD) | Change at end of study MW ^r (SE) | N ^q | Values at start of study MV (SD) | Change at end of study MW ^r (SE) | MD [95 %-CI]; p-value ^r |
| EQ-5D VAS ^s | | | | | | | |
| | 2069 | 67.93 (17.53) | 1.98 (0.27) | 2064 | 68.20 (17.18) | 1.15 (0.27) | 0.83 [0.08; 1.58]; 0.029 Hedges' g: 0.07 [0.01; 0.13] |

Health-related quality of life

| Endpoint | Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy | | | Placebo + optimised standard therapy | | | Intervention vs control |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--|---|
| | N | Patients with event n (%) | | N | Patients with event n (%) | | HR [95% CI] p value ^a |
| KCCQ-OSS | | | | | | | |
| Improvement of 15 points (corresponds to 15% of the scale range) | | | | | | | |
| KCCQ-OSS | 2234 | 958 (42.9) | | 2209 | 863 (39.1) | | 1,10 [1.03; 1.18]; 0.006 ^t AD = 3.8 % |
| Domains (presented additionally) | | | | | | | |
| Physical limitations | no data | | | | | | |
| Symptoma tology ^u | 2234 | 1192 (53.4) | | 2209 | 1070 (48.4) | | 1,11 [1.05; 1.18] |
| social limitations | no data | | | | | | |
| psychologi cal quality of life | no data | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------|------|-------------|---|
| Improvement by 5 points | | | | | |
| KCCQ-OSS | 2234 | 1129 (50.5) | 2209 | 1010 (45.7) | 1.08 [1.02; 1.14]; 0.009 ^t AD = 4.8 % |
| Domains (presented additionally) | | | | | |
| Physical limitations | no data | | | | |
| Symptomatology ^u | 2234 | 1245 (55.7) | 2209 | 1119 (50.7) | 1.08 [1.03; 1.14] |
| social limitations | no data | | | | |
| psychological quality of life | no data | | | | |

Side effects

| Endpoint | Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy | | Placebo + optimised standard therapy | | Intervention vs control |
|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | N | Patients with event n (%) | N | Patients with event n (%) | Effect estimator [95% CI] p value ^j Absolute difference (AD) ⁱ |
| Total adverse events (presented additionally) | | | | | |
| Endpoint not surveyed ^k | | | | | |
| Serious adverse events (SAE^l) | | | | | |
| | 2368 | 659 (27.8) | 2368 | 728 (30.7) | 0.90 [0.83; 0.99]; 0.025 AD = 2.9 % |
| Therapy discontinuation because of adverse events | | | | | |
| | 2368 | 111 (4.7) | 2368 | 116 (4.9) | 0.96 [0.74; 1.23]; 0.733 |
| Specific adverse events | | | | | |
| Urinary tract infection (PT, AEs) | 2368 | 44 (1.9) | 2368 | 47 (2.0) | 0.94 [0.62; 1.41]; 0.750 |
| Genital and mammary gland diseases (SOC, AEs) | 2368 | 33 (1.4) | 2368 | 33 (1.4) | 1.00 [0.62; 1.62]; 0.999 |

| | | | | | |
|---|------|----------|------|----------|---|
| Diabetic ketoacidosis (PT, AEs) | 2368 | 3 (0.1) | 2368 | 0 (0) | 7.00 [0.36; 135.44]; 0.097 ^m |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders (SOC, SAEs) | 2368 | 57 (2.4) | 2368 | 88 (3.7) | 0.65 [0.47; 0.90]; 0.010 AD = 1.3 % |

- a. Unless otherwise stated: Cox-Proportional-Hazards-Model (score test) stratified by diabetes mellitus type 2 status at randomisation
- b. Cox-Proportional-Hazards-Model (score test) stratified by diabetes mellitus type 2 status at randomisation, adjusted for previous hospitalisation for heart failure
- c. The combined endpoint includes sustained eGFR decrease of $\geq 50\%$, ESRD and renal death.
- d. Cox-Proportional-Hazards-Model (score test) stratified by diabetes mellitus type 2 status at randomisation, adjusted for eGFR at time of enrolment
- e. Because no deaths occurred in one study arm, HR cannot be meaningfully estimated.
- f. The combined endpoint includes nonfatal and fatal myocardial infarctions.
- g. The combined endpoint includes nonfatal and fatal strokes.
- h. Logistic regression with log link and treatment arm and diabetes mellitus type 2 status at baseline as covariates
- i. An Absolute difference (AD) given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation
- j. Logistic regression with log-link, adjusted for diabetes mellitus type 2 status at time of enrolment
- k. Only non-serious AEs that led to treatment discontinuation or dose adjustment or belonged to a selection of AEs predefined by the company were recorded.
- l. without events adjudicated to the primary cardiovascular endpoint, myocardial infarction, stroke, or secondary and exploratory renal endpoints
- m. IQWiG calculation of RR, 95% CI (asymptotic) and p-value (unconditional exact test, CSZ method according to Andrés et al., 1994).
- n. no worsening on a 7-point (PGIC; "much better" to "much worse") or 6-point scale (PGIS; "no symptoms" to "very severe symptoms")
- o. Logistic regression with log link and treatment arm and diabetes mellitus type 2 status at baseline as covariates
- p. Quadratic calculation
- q. Number of patients who were taken into account in the evaluation for calculating the effect estimate; the values at baseline (possibly at other times) can be based on other patient numbers.
- r. MMRM adjusted for treatment arm, value at baseline, visit, and interaction between treatment arm and visit
- s. Higher (increasing) values mean better well-being/health-related quality of life; positive effects (intervention minus control) mean an advantage for the intervention.
- t. Logistic regression with log link and treatment arm, KCCQ score at baseline, and diabetes mellitus type 2 status at baseline as covariates
- u. referred to as KCCQ-TSS in Module 4 A of the dossier
- v. For the additionally presented endpoint cardiovascular mortality, an effect modification due to NYHA class (HR [95% CI]) is shown: NYHA II: 0.63 [0.49; 0.81]; NYHA III/IV 1.09 [0.85; 1.41]. See page 192 in the pharmaceutical company's module 4A.

Abbreviations used:

AD: Absolute difference; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; EQ-5D: European Quality of Life Questionnaire - 5 Dimensions; ESRD: End-stage renal disease; HR: Hazard ratio; CI: Confidence interval; KCCQ: Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; MD: Mean difference; MMRM: mixed model for repeated measures; MV: mean value; n: number of patients with (at least 1) event; N: Number of patients evaluated; NYHA: New York Heart Association; OSS: Overall Summary Score; PGIC: Patient's Global Impression of Change; PGIS: Patient Global Impression of Severity; PT: preferred term; RCT: randomised controlled trial; VAS: visual analogue scale; RR: relative risk; SD: Standard deviation; SE: standard error; SOC: System organ class; TSS: Total Symptom Score; SAE: serious adverse event; AE: adverse event; vs: versus

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

Adults with symptomatic, chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction

approx. 2,061,700 to 2,273,000 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for forxiga (active ingredient: dapagliflozin) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 23 April 2021):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/forxiga-epar-product-information_de.pdf

4. Treatment costs

Adults with symptomatic, chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction

Annual treatment costs:

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/patient |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Medicinal product to be assessed: | |
| Dapagliflozin | € 583.66 |
| + optimised standard therapy | varies from patient to patient |
| Appropriate comparator therapy: | |
| optimised standard treatment | varies from patient to patient |

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®, as last revised: 1 May 2021)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable