

Sebelipase alfa (reassessment after expiry of the deadline (lysosomal acid lipase deficiency))

Resolution of:3 June 2021Entry into force on:3 June 2021BAnz AT 09 08 2021 B1

Valid until: unlimited

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 28 August 2015):

KANUMA is used for long-term enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) in patients of all ages with lysosomal acid lipase (LAL deficiency).

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 3/6/2021):

see therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

1. Extend of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence:

Sebelipase alfa is approved as a medicinal product for the treatment of rare diseases in accordance with Regulation (EC) No. 141/2000 of the European Parliament and the Council of 16 December 1999 on orphan drugs. In accordance with section 35a, paragraph 1, sentence 11, 1st half of the sentence German Social Code, Book Five (SGB V), the additional medical benefit is considered to be proven through the grant of the marketing authorisation.

The Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) determines the extent of the additional benefit for the number of patients and patient groups for which there is a therapeutically significant additional benefit in accordance with Chapter 5, Section 12, paragraph 1, number 1, sentence 2 of its Rules of Procedure (VerfO) in conjunction with Section 5, paragraph 8 Ordinance on the Benefit Assessment of Pharmaceuticals (AM-NutzenV), indicating the significance of the evidence. This quantification of the additional benefit is based on the criteria laid out in Chapter 5, Section 5, paragraph 7, numbers 1 to 4 of the Rules of Procedure (VerfO).

a) Patients with rapidly progressive LAL deficiency already in infancy (< 6 months):

Extend of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence of sebelipase alfa:

Hint for a non-quantifiable additional benefit, since the scientific data does not allow a quantification.

b) <u>Patients with LAL deficiency (not rapidly progressive in infancy (< 6 months))</u>

Extend of the additional benefit and significance of the evidence of sebelipase alfa: Hint for a non-quantifiable additional benefit, since the scientific data does not allow a quantification.

Study results according to endpoints:1

a) Patients with rapidly progressive LAL deficiency already in infancy (< 6 months):

Endpoint category	Effect direction/ Risk of bias	Summary			
Mortality	1	Advantage in overall survival			
Morbidity	n.a.	The data are not assessable.			
Health-related quality of life	Ø	There are no evaluable data.			
Side effects	n.a.	The data are not assessable.			

Summary of results of relevant clinical endpoints

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

- ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data
- ↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data
- $\downarrow\downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data
- $\leftrightarrow: \text{no statistically significant or relevant difference}$
- \varnothing : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

Study results of the single-arm studies LAL-CL03 and LAL-CL08 with sebelipase alfa and their indirect comparisons with the observational study LAL-1-NH01 for the endpoint Mortality

	LAL-CL03 ¹⁾ N = 9	LAL-CL08 ²⁾ N = 10	LAL-1- NH01 ³⁾⁴⁾ N = 25	LAL-CL03 vs LAL-1-NH01	LAL-CL08 vs LAL-1-NH01
Endpoint Time	N	umber of death n (%)	IS	Hazard ratio p val	
Mortality					
Number of deaths					
up to the age of 12 months	3 (33)	1 (10)	24 (96)	0,17 (0.05; 0.59); 0,0017	0,04 (0.01; 0.29); <0.0001
up to the age of 24 months	4 (44)	2 (20)	25 (100)	0,17 (0.06; 0.53); 0,0008	0,05 (0.01; 0.24); <0.0001
up to the age of 36 months	4 (44)	2 (20)	25 (100)	0,17 (0.06; 0.53); 0,0008	0,05 (0.01; 0.24); <0.0001
up to the age of 48 months	4 (44)		25 (100)	0,17 (0.06; 0.53); 0,0008	
up to the age of 60 months	4 (44)		25 (100)	0,17 (0.06; 0.53); 0,0008	

¹Data from the dossier assessment of the G-BA (published on 15 March 2021), and from the amendment to the dossier assessment, unless otherwise indicated.

Endpoint Study	Sebelipase alfa						
Time	N ⁸⁾	z-score	Changes from baseline				
Morbidity		, , ,					
Age-related weight (z-sco	ores)						
LAL-CL03 study $N = 9$,						
Baseline ⁹⁾	8						
MV (SD)	Ū.	-1.9 (1.6)					
Median (min; max)		-1.9 [-4.8; 0.7]	-				
Week 240	5						
MV (SD)	-	-0.2 (1.4)	1.8 (1.2)				
Median (min; max)		-0.7 [-1.4; 1.9]	1.1 [0.5; 3.4]				
LAL-CL08 study $N = 10$							
Baseline9 ⁾	10						
MV (SD)		-2.3 (1.6)					
Median (min; max)		-2.5 [-4.5; 0.8]					
Week 156	5						
MV (SD)		0.5 (0.6)	2.8 (1.1)				
Median (min; max)		0.7 [-0.5; 1.1]	2.7 [1.7; 4.7]				
Weight in relation to leng	gth (z-score	es)					
LAL-CL03 study $N = 9$							
Baseline9 ⁾	8						
MV (SD)		-0.5 (1.5)					
Median (min; max)		-0.5 [-3.1; 1.4]					
Week 240	5						
MV (SD) Modian (min: max)		0.2 (1.1)	1.0 (2.2)				
Median (min; max) LAL-CL08 study N = 10		0.2 [-1.0; 1.4]	1.0 [-1.2; 4.4]				
Baseline9 ⁾	9	1					
MV (SD)	9	-1.6 (2.0)	-				
Median (min; max)		-2.3 [-3.8; 2.5]					
Week 156	5	[,]					
MV (SD)	-	0.8 (0.5)	2.5 (2.4)				
Median (min; max)		1.0 [0.2; 1.3]	2.9 [-1.4; 5.1]				
Body Mass Index (z-scor	es)						
LAL-CL03 study $N = 9$							
Baseline9)	8						
MV (SD)		-1.3 (1.5)					
Median (min; max)		-1.0 [-4.1; 0.3]					
Week 240 MV (SD)	5	0.4 (1.2)	2.0 (1.8)				
Median (min; max)							
LAL-CL08 study $N = 10$		0.4 [-1.1; 1.6]	1.3 [0.1; 4.4]				
Baseline9 ⁾	8						
MV (SD)	Ŭ	-1.9 (1.9)					
Median (min; max)		-2.6 [-4.4; 1.6]					
Week 156	5						
MV (SD)		0.9 (0.5)	2.9 (2.3)				
Median (min; max)		1.0 [0.4; 1.5]	3.2 [-0.6; 5.9]				

Endpoint Study		Sebelipase alfa								
Time	N ⁸⁾	N ¹⁰⁾	Norma n (%)			Not testable ¹¹⁾ n (%) ¹²⁾				
Denver II devel	opment test									
LAL-CL03 study	′ N = 9									
Denver II - Fine	motor skills an	d adaptation								
Baseline ⁹⁾	3	9	_13)		_13)	_13)				
Week 216	4	5	4 (80.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)				
Denver II - Gros	s motor skills		()		. ,	~ /				
Baseline ⁹⁾	3	9	_13)		_13)	_13)				
Week 216	4	5	4 (80.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)				
Denver II - Lang	uage ability				, ,					
Baseline ⁹⁾	3	9	_ 13)		_13)	_13)				
Week 216	4	5	4 (80.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)				
Denver II - Soci	al contacts									
Baseline ⁹⁾	3	9	_13)		_13)	_13)				
Week 216	4	5	4 (80.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)				
LAL-CL08 study	y; N=10									
Denver II - Tota	I Score14)									
Baseline ⁹⁾¹⁵⁾	6	10	_13)		_13)	_13)				
Week 144 ¹⁶⁾	5	7	2 (28.6)		3 (42.9)	0 (0.0)				
Quality of life					•					
There are no ev	aluable data.									
Endpoint				LAL-C	CL03 (VITAL ⁾¹⁾ N = 9 n (%)	LAL-CL08 ²⁾ N = 10 n (%)				
Side effects										
AE					9 (100)	10 (100)				
Severe AE					4 (44) ¹⁷	7 (70) ¹⁸⁾				
SAE					9 (100)	10 (100)				
AE, which led to the discontinuation of the study medication					0 (0)	6 (60) ¹⁹⁾				
 ²⁾ Evaluation based ³⁾ No data are avail ⁴⁾ Individuals who vare preparatory proces ⁵⁾ Data from module ⁶⁾ Data from module ⁷⁾ The p-value was ⁸⁾ Number of people ⁹⁾ Baseline correspon ¹⁰⁾ Number of subject 	on the FAS. The is able on the duratic were untreated are dures for hematop e 4. The hazard rate e 4. Confidence int determined by a lot e included in the are onds to the last me to with available data	median duration on of observation ad had early fail ooietic stem cell o tio was determine ervals were calc og-rank test. nalysis. aasurement befor ata or who have r	of exposure (mi in the retrospec ure to thrive. U or liver transplant ed using the Co ulated using the re the first SA in not died or dropp	n; max) to ctive LAL- ntreated ation. Da k method Clopper- fusion.	ta on the observation Pearson method. the study. Two indivis	(3; 160).				

and 13.8 months of age, respectively. Due to the sponsor's decision (market approval of the investigational drug), two subjects switched to the commercially available therapy after 123 and 156 weeks, respectively, which prevented the endpoint from being assessed for these subjects during the course of the study.

⁽¹¹⁾ Based on demographic norm values, the testing personnel evaluates for each test item whether the result for the corresponding age group of the respective child fell within the associated normal range or was to be rated as noticeable.

The 4 test areas were classified as "normal", "noticeable" or "not testable". A result was categorised as "untestable" if it was not possible to administer the test to a child.

- ¹²⁾ Percentages refer to the FAS population minus deceased participants.
- ¹³⁾ No presentation is made as the return rate (<70%) was not met.
- ¹⁴⁾ The results from the study report were presented. These are different from those in Module 4.
- ¹⁵⁾ In Module 4, information is provided on the screening visit instead of baseline. Data from 7 individuals were available for screening. 4 persons (40%, related to the FAS population) were assigned to the test result "noticeable", two persons (20%) to the test result "normal" and one person (10%) to the test result "not testable".
- ¹⁶⁾ Inconsistent information between the benefit dossier (Module 4) and the study report. Data in the table refer to the study report. According to Module 4, data were available for four individuals (66.7%) at week 144: One person (10%) was assigned to the test result "normal", 3 persons (70%) to the test result "noticeable" and no person (0%) to the test result "not testable".
- ¹⁷⁾ The severity of AE was determined using CTCAE version 4.0.
- ¹⁸⁾ The severity of AE was determined using "Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium Study Data Tabulation Model" standard terminology v3.1.1.
- ¹⁹⁾ There is inconsistent information in the study records as 0 (0%) individuals are reported here. The explanation for the 6 (60%) subjects includes permanent discontinuation of study medication or withholding of medication.

Abbreviations: CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; FAS: Full analysis set; CI: Confidence interval; MV: Mean value; SA: Sebelipase alfa; SD: Standard deviation; SAE: (Serious) adverse events: AE: Adverse event

Side effects						
	Ever treated with sebelipase alfa ¹⁾					
Global patients with at least one	N = 18 ²⁾ n (%)					
AE	9 (50)					
Severe AE ³⁾	2 (11)					
SAE	6 (33)					
AE, which led to the discontinuation of the study medication ⁴⁾	1 (6)					

Register ALX-LALD-501

¹⁾ All AE from inclusion in the registry were recorded for the safety population. This included all individuals who had ever been treated with sebelipase alfa without having a confirmed diagnosis of LAL.

¹⁾ Data on observation time are not available for the safety population (n = 18). Patients with LAL deficiency in infancy (ever treated with SA, n = 16) were followed in the registry for a median (min; max) of 1.1 years (0.0; 2.9) until the data cut-off point. The total median (min; max) treatment time with SA for individuals with LAL deficiency in infancy was 4.1 years (2.5; 8.8).

²⁾ The severity of AE was determined using "Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium Study Data Tabulation Model" standard terminology v3.1.1.

³⁾ Data from module 4.

Abbreviations: LAL: Lyosomal Acid Lipase; SAE: (Serious) adverse events: AE: Adverse event

b) Patients with LAL deficiency (not rapidly progressive in infancy (< 6 months))

Endpoint category	Effect direction/ Risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit assessment.
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit assessment.
Health-related quality of life	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit assessment.
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit assessment.
Explanations:	and relevant	positive offect with low/upplear reliability of data

Summary of results of relevant clinical endpoints

 $\uparrow:$ statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\downarrow:$ statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow\uparrow:$ statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow\downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

LAL-CL02 (ARISE) study: RCT, sebelipase alfa (SA) vs placebo (PBO); double-blind study phase over 20 weeks

Endpoint	Sebelipa	ase alfa (N = 3	6)	Placebo (N = 30)			
Mortality							
There were no deaths.							
	Sebelipase alfa			Pla	cebo	SA vs. PBO	
Endpoint Time	n ¹⁾ /N ²⁾	MV (SD)	n¹)/	N ²⁾	MV (SD)	Change from baseline MD; p value ³⁾	
Morbidity							
FACIT-Fatigue ⁴⁾							
Baseline ⁵⁾	13/13	44.3 (6.55)	7/	7	38.6 (12.66)	-	
Week 20 ⁶⁾⁷⁾	13/13	44.2 (8.01)	7/	7	38.6 (11.65)	-0.,2; 0.8731	

	Sebelipase alfa N = 36					Pla N	RR [95% CI] ¹⁰⁾ p value ¹¹⁾ ;		
	Share availa data n	ble	norma	re wi alisati (%)		ava	re with ailable ata ⁸⁾ n	Share with normalisation ⁹⁾ n (%)	
ALT normalisat	ion - sho	wni	in additio	n					
Week 20 ⁶⁾¹²⁾	36		11	(31)			30	2 (7)	4.58 [1.10; 19.09] 0.037 ¹³⁾
		Se	belipase N = 36	alfa		Plac N =		[95	D (SE) i% CI] alue ³⁾ ;
Endpoint Time		n	MV (S	D)	n	М	V (SD)	Absolute	Percentage
LDL-C concent	ration ch	ang	es - supp	leme	ntary	pres	entation		
Baseline ⁵⁾ LDL-C concentra (mg/dl) ¹⁴⁾	ation	36	189.9 (5	57.2)	30	22	9.5 (70.0))	
Week 20 ⁶⁾¹⁵⁾ LDL-C concentra (mg/dl) ¹⁴⁾ Absolute change Percentage chan	e	36	138.8 (6 -51.1 (4 -28.4 (2	45.5)	30	213.3 (65.9) -16.2 (34.7) -6.2 (13.0)		0.000	2] [-31.33; -12.90]
			Sebe	lipas	e alfa	1		Placebo	SA vs. PBO
Endpoint Time			n ¹⁾ /N ¹⁶⁾	М	V (SI	D)	n ¹⁾ /N ¹⁶⁾	MV (SD)	Change from baseline MD; p value ³⁾
Quality of life									
CLDQ ¹⁷⁾									
CLDQ - Total sc	ore								
Baseline ⁵⁾			13/13	6	6.03 (0.79)	7/7	5.23 (1.44)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾⁷⁾			13/13	6	6.14 (0.83)	7/7	5.54 (1.30)	-0,2; 0,8734
CLDQ - abdomir	nal sympt	oma	tology	-					
Baseline ⁵⁾			13/13	6	6.28 (1.00)	7/7	5.19 (2.26)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾⁷⁾			13/13	6	6.38 (0.94) 7/7		5.19 (1.96)	0,10; 0,8351
CLDQ - Activity				1					1
Baseline ⁵⁾			13/13	6	6.33 (1.17) 7/7		5.96 (1.21)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾⁷⁾			13/13	3 6.52 (0.95) 7/7		7/7	6.20 (0.99)	-0,05; 0,8351	
CLDQ - emotional function				1				_	1
Baseline ⁵⁾			13/13 5.51 (1.23)	7/7	4.83 (1.97)	-	
Week 20 ⁶⁾⁷⁾			13/13	13/13 5.58 (*		1.15)	7/7	5.27 (1.61)	-0,37; 0,7506
CLDQ - Fatigue				Т					1
CLDQ - Fatigue Baseline ⁵⁾ Week 20 ⁶⁾⁷⁾			13/13	5	5.48 (1.04)	7/7	4.37 (1.89)	-

QLDQ - systematic symptoma	atology						
Baseline ⁵⁾	13/13	6.25 (0.86)	7/7		5.77 (1.24)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾⁷⁾	13/13	6.14 (0.92)	7/7		5.86 (0.96)	-0,20; 0,7796
CLDQ - Anxiety and concerns	6				ļ		
Baseline ⁵⁾	13/13	6.32 (0.94)	7/7		5.29 (1.21)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾⁷⁾	13/13	6.45 (1.05)	7/7		5.60 (2.04)	-0,19; 0,5731
PedsQL ¹⁸⁾							
PedsQL - Total score (23 item	າຣ)						
Baseline ⁵⁾	23/25	83.37 (1	3.74)	23/23	8	1.01 (15.55)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾¹⁹⁾	22/25	81.92 (1	2.93)	23/23	8	0.38 (13.46)	-1,75; 0,6908
PedsQL - Physical Health Su	mmary Sca	ale (8 item	s) ²⁰⁾	ļ	ļ		
Baseline ⁵⁾	23/25	88.20 (1	0.45)	23/23	8	4.93 (14.71)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾¹⁹⁾	22/25	86.94 (9.09)	23/23	8	5.21 (12.89)	-2,84; 0,1983
PedsQL - Psychosocial health	n summary	scale (15	items)	ļ		
Baseline ⁵⁾	23/25	80.79 (1	7.57)	23/23	7	8.92 (16.76)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾¹⁹⁾	22/25	79.24 (16.84) 2		23/23	7	7.82 (15.46)	-1,17; 0,9547
PedsQL - Emotional Function	ing Scale (5 items)					
Baseline ⁵⁾	23/25	78.70 (1	7.20)	23/23	78.70 (18.29)		-
Week 20 ⁶⁾¹⁹⁾	22/25	78.40 (21.51)		23/23	76.30 (19.84)		1,5; 0,8553
PedsQL - Social Functioning	Scale (5 ite	ems)					
Baseline ⁵⁾	23/25	88.0 (2	4.67)	23/23		85.0 (17.90)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾¹⁹⁾	22/25	86.1 (2	0.58)	23/23	88.0 (15.13)		-5,0; 0,1369
PedsQL - School Functioning	Scale (5 it	ems)					
Baseline ⁵⁾	23/25	75.7 (1	7.21)	23/23		73.0 (21.41)	-
Week 20 ⁶⁾¹⁹⁾	22/25	73.2 (1	9.67)	23/23		69.1 (17.03)	0,0; 0,5801
Endpoint	Sebelip N = n (36		Placebo N = 30 n (%)		RR p	[95% CI] ¹¹⁾ value ¹¹⁾
Side effects ²¹⁾			n				
AE		31 (86)		28 (93)		-
Severe AE		3 (8)		1 (3)			2.50 [0.27; 22.81] 0.417
SAE	2 (6)		1 (3)			1.67 [0.16; 17.49] 0,670	
EU, which led to the discontinuation of the study medication		1 (3)		0 (0)			no data
Infusion-associated reaction		2 (6)	4 (13)			no data	

¹⁾ Number of people included in the analysis. ²⁾ Number of individuals who are \geq 17 years of age at the time of consent.

³⁾ The p-value for the treatment difference was calculated using Wilcoxon rank sum test. Data on the standard error (SE) are not available. Analyses that took into account adjustment for stratification variables were not presented.

⁴⁾ The FACIT-Fatigue consists of 13 items that are answered on a scale of 0-4 (0 = never; 4 = very much) and ask about the intensity of fatigue as well as the weakness and difficulty to perform daily activities due to fatigue within the last 7 days.

The FACIT-Fatigue scale is then inversely applied to a total score of 0-52, with 0 being the worst score and 52 being the best score (a higher score indicates a lower expression of fatigue).

- ⁵⁾ Baseline corresponds to the last measurement before the first infusion with SA. If multiple measurements are available prior to study treatment, the average of up to three most recent measurements is used as the baseline value.
- ⁶⁾ If the value was not available at study week 20, the last available measurement was used according to SAP. The last available measurement and week 20 may differ if some individuals had their last available measurement in a different visit before week 20.
- 7) Values were available at week 20 for all individuals in the SA and PBO groups.
- ⁸⁾ Individuals with a normal ALT level at baseline were excluded from the ALT normalisation analysis. This was not true for any individual in the study.
- ⁹⁾ Was defined a priori as primary endpoint as ALT normalisation ("normal" as ≤ ULN and "abnormal" as > ULN) at week 20. If the final assessment of ALT normalisation occurs less than 10 weeks (70 days) after the first dose, the individual will be considered a non-responder in the analysis at the time of the last available measurement.
- ¹⁰⁾ Post hoc calculated for module 4. It is unclear whether adjustment was made for the a priori defined stratification variables.
 ¹¹⁾ Post hoc calculated for module 4. The p-value was determined using Wald test from the log-binomial model. It is unclear whether adjustment was made for the a priori defined stratification variables.
- ¹²⁾ Values were available at week 20 for all individuals in the PBO group. No values were available at week 20 for 2 individuals in the SA group, so the latest available values were used.
- ¹³⁾ A priori, the treatment difference was calculated using the Fischer exact test. Here the p-value was 0.027.
- ¹⁴⁾ LDL concentrations were calculated using the Friedewald equation.
- ¹⁵⁾ Values at week 20 were available for 29 subjects in the PBO group and 35 subjects in the intervention group, so the latest available values were used.
- ¹⁶⁾ Number of individuals in the specified treatment group who are \geq 17 years of age at the time of informed consent at CLDQ and between 5 and \leq 18 years of age at PedQL (FAS sub-population).
- ¹⁷⁾ The CLDQ includes 29 items answered on a scale of 1-7 (1 = at all times/always; 7 = at no time/never). The individual domains and the total score have a range of 1 to 7, with a higher score indicating a higher quality of life.
- ¹⁸⁾ The PedsQL includes a total of 23 items. The questionnaire consists of a Likert scale from 1 to 4 (1 = best function (never), 4 = worst function (always)); the values are then inverted into a scale from 1 to 100. Higher scores indicate a higher quality of life.
- ¹⁹⁾ Values were available at week 20 for all individuals in the PBO group. No values were available at week 20 for 4 individuals in the SA group, so the latest available values were used.
- ²⁰⁾ The scores of the Physical Health Summary Scale (8 items) are equal to the Physical Functioning Scale domain (8 items). A separate presentation is not provided.
- ²¹⁾ The study duration of the double-blind phase was 20 weeks. However, concrete data on the actual exposure times could not be identified. However, only one person in the intervention group and nobody in the control group dropped out of the double-blind study phase. It is assumed that the median exposure times are sufficiently comparable between the treatment arms. AEs for the double-blind phase from receipt of the investigational product until the end of the double-blind phase were presented.

Abbreviations: ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; CLDQ: Chronic Liver Disease Questionnaire; FACIT: Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy; FAS: Full analysis set; n. d.: no data; CI: Confidence interval; LDL-C: Low-density lipoprotein; MV: Mean value; MD: Mean difference; PBO: Placebo; PedsQL: Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory; RR: relative risk; SA Sebelipase alfa; SAP: Statistical Analysis Plan; SD: Standard deviation. (SE) Standard error; SAE: serious AE; AE: adverse event; ULN: Upper Limit of Normal

LAL-CL02 (ARISE): Single-arm study	/ phase from v	week 22; all	patients i	included in the	RCT
receive sebelipase alfa (SA)					

Endpoint		SA/SA (N	= 36) ¹⁾	PBO/SA (N = 30) ²⁾		
Mortality ³⁾						
No deaths have occurred						
Endpoint category Endpoint	SA/SA (N = 36) ¹⁾			PBO/SA (N = 30) ²⁾		
	n ⁴⁾ /N ⁵⁾	MV (SD)		n ⁴⁾ /N ⁵⁾	MV (SD)	
Morbidity						
FACIT-Fatigue ⁶⁾ last evaluable visit in week 148/196 (SA/SA) or week 100/148 (PBO/SA)8)						
Change from baseline ⁷⁾	10/13	-0.5 (9.68)		7/7	3.6 (4.50)	

Endpoint category Endpoint	:	SA/SA (N = 36) ¹⁾	PBO/SA (N = 30) ²⁾						
	n ⁴⁾ /N ⁵⁾	N ⁵⁾ MV (SD)		MV (SD)					
LDL-C concentration change - shown in addition last evaluable visit in week 148/172 (SA/SA) or week 100/124 (PBO/SA) ⁸⁾									
Change from baseline ⁷⁾ absolute percentage	27/36	-57.2 (40.2) -31.3 (17.3)	27/30	-64.8 (36.5) -32.3 (17.5)					
Quality of life									
CLDQ ⁹⁾ - change from b last evaluable visit in we		6 (SA/SA) or week 100/148	(PBO/SA) ⁸	3)					
Total score	10/13	-0.05 (0.60)	7/7	0.40 (0.56)					
Abdominal symptomatology	10/13	-0.37 (1.01)	7/7	0.43 (0.85)					
Activity	10/13	-0.03 (0.55)	7/7	0.57 (1.00)					
Emotional function	10/13	0.03 (1.26)	7/7	-0.16 (1.15)					
Fatigue	10/13	0.08 (1.39)	7/7	0.23 (0.41)					
Systematic symptomatology	10/13	0.10 (0.93)	7/7	0.43 (0.47)					
Anxiety and concerns	10/13	-0.12 (0.96)	7/7	0.91 (1.75)					
PedsQL¹⁰⁾ - change to b last evaluable visit in we		2 (SA/SA) or week 52/100 (PBO/SA) ⁸⁾						
Total score	19/25	-2.80 (9.76)	17/23	4.35 (8.19)					
Summation scale - Physical health14	19/25	-1.2 (12.33)	17/23	2.4 (5.36)					
Summation scale - psychosocial health	19/25	-3.68 (14.07)	17/23	19					
Summation scale - Emotional Functioning Scale	19/25	7.1 (19.03)	17/23	3.2 (16.20)					
Summation scale - Social function scale	19/25	2.1 (14.84)	17/23	5.0 (12.12)					
Summation scale - school function scale ¹¹⁾	19/25	-6.1 (14.20)	17/23	7.9 (11.87)					

		SA/SA	PBO/SA			
Endpoint Endpoint category	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)		
Side effects						
Severe AE ¹⁵⁾	36	3 (8)	30	3 (10)		
SAE	36	6 (17)	30	5 (17)		
AE that led to discontinuation of study medication	36	0 (0.0)	30	0 (0.0)		
AE of special interest: IAR	36	3 (8)	30	10 (33)		

¹⁾ SA/SA Treatment Sequence: Treatment with SA from study week 0.

²⁾ PBO/SA treatment sequence: Treatment with PBO from study week 0 followed by treatment with sebelipase alfa.

³⁾ Deaths were recorded through security.

⁴⁾ Number of people included in the analysis.

⁵⁾ Number of individuals in the specified treatment group who were of the following ages at the time of informed consent: FACIT fatigue and CLDQ \ge 17 years; PedsQL between 5 and \le 18 years (ES sub-population).

⁶⁾ The FACIT-Fatigue consists of 13 items that are answered on a scale of 0-4 (0 = never; 4 = very much) and ask about the intensity of fatigue as well as the weakness and difficulty to perform daily activities due to fatigue within the last 7 days. The FACIT-Fatigue scale is then inversely applied to a total score of 0-52, with 0 being the worst score and 52 being the best score (a higher score indicates a lower expression of fatigue).

⁷⁾ Baseline corresponds to the last measurement before the first infusion with sebelipase alfa. If multiple measurements are available prior to study treatment, the average of up to 3 most recent measurements is used as the baseline value. For the PBO/SA treatment sequence, the last up to 3 non-missing assessments must occur within 45 days.

⁸⁾ For the analyses of the open-label study phase data, subjects were compared by time since the first dose of sebelipase alfa. In all analyses, a shift in visits was made for individuals randomised to placebo (PBO/SA group) for whom study visits were scheduled in the open-label phase relative to week 22. No shift in visits was performed for those randomised to sebelipase alfa (SA group). However, it remains unclear how the shift in visits was made, given that some of them span more than 22 weeks in the PBO group compared with the SA group.

⁹⁾ The CLDQ includes 29 items answered on a scale of 1-7 (1 = at all times/always; 7 = at no time/never). The individual domains and the total score have a range of 1 to 7, with a higher score indicating a higher quality of life.

¹⁰⁾ The PedsQL includes a total of 23 items. The questionnaire consists of a Likert scale from 1 to 4 (1 = best function (never), 4 = worst function (always)); the values are then inserted into a scale from 1 to 100. Higher scores indicate a higher quality of life.

¹¹⁾ The scores of the Physical Health Summary Scale (8 items) are equal to the Physical Functioning Scale domain (8 items). A separate presentation is not provided.

- ¹²⁾ The median (min; max) exposure duration of the LAL-CL03 study was 241.7 weeks (0; 262).
- ¹³⁾ The median exposure duration of the LAL-CL08 study was 147, 6 weeks (3, 160).
- ¹⁴⁾ The severity of AE was determined using CTCAE version 4.0.

¹⁵⁾ The severity of AE was determined using "Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium Study Data Tabulation Model" standard terminology v3.1.1.

¹⁶⁾ There is inconsistent information in the study records as 0 (0 %) individuals are reported here. The explanation for the 6 (60 %) subjects includes permanent discontinuation of study medication or withholding of medication.

Abbreviations: CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; CLDQ: Chronic Liver Disease Questionnaire; FACIT: Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy; FAS: Full analysis set; n. d.: no data; CI: Confidence interval; LDL-C: Low-density lipoprotein; MV: Mean value; MD: Mean difference; PBO: Placebo; PedsQL: Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory; RR: relative risk; SA Sebelipase alfa; SAP: Statistical Analysis Plan; SD: Standard deviation. (SE) Standard error; SAE: serious AE; AE: adverse event.

LAL-CL06: Single-arm intervention study with sebelipase alfa up to 144 weeks

Endpoint category		Sebelipase alfa N = 31 ¹⁾						
Mortality ²⁾								
No deaths have occurre	ed.							
	Sebelipase alfa							
		Patients ≤ 18 years; N = 22						
Endpoint Time	N ³⁾	Pe	rcentile ⁴⁾		Absolute cl from bas			entage change om baseline
Morbidity								
Age-dependent weigh	t (percenti	le)						
Baseline ⁵⁾ MV (SD) Median (min; max)	22	18	29.0 (28.0 .4 [0.4; 99.0			-		
Week 144 MV (SD) Median (min; max)	17	26	29.7 (27.: 0 [0.0; 83.	'		5.8 (12.4) 3.6; 40.3]		43.2 (166.1) 1.8 [-89.0; 631.7]
Age-dependent BMI (p	ercentile)							
Baseline ⁵⁾ MV (SD) Median (min; max)	22	49	46.4 (27.9 .6 [6.2; 99.9					
Week 144 MV (SD) Median (min; max)	17	42	41.6 (26.3 9 [6.3; 92.4			2.6 (30.0) 3.5; 57.3]		26.5 (118.4) 1.0 [-89.7; 415.2]
					Seb	elipase a	alfa	
		Patients ≤ 6 years; N = 8						
Endpoint Time		N ³⁾		Normal ⁶⁾ Notice n (%) ⁷⁾ n (%			Not testable ⁶⁾ n (%) ⁷⁾	
Denver II Developmental Test - Total Score								
Baseline ⁵⁾			7		6 (75)		1 (12.5)	0 (0)
Week 96			6		6 (75)		0 (0)	0 (0)

	Sebelipase alfa							
	N = 36							
Endpoint Time	N ³⁾	LDL-C concentration at the visits			Absolute changes from baseline		Percentage changes compared to baseline	
LDL-C concentration	n chang	es -	supplem	entary pre	esentation			
Baseline ⁵⁾ MV (SD) Median (min; max)	30		159.7 (57.0) 160 [18; 282]					
Week 96 MV (SD) Median (min; max)	25	131.7 (51.6) 133.0 [40; 277]			-40.2 (48.6) -45.2 [-117; 142]		-22.5 (31.1) -27.5 [-53; 105]	
			Sebelipase alfa			se alfa		
				Patient	s between 5 an	id ≤ 18 y	vears; N=18	
Endpoint Time	N ⁸⁾			M	IV (SD) Cha		nge from baseline, MV (SD)	
Quality of life								
PedsQL ⁹⁾								
PedsQL- total score (2	23 items	s ⁾⁴⁾						
Baseline ⁵⁾			14	80.9 (14.97)		-		
Week 96			16	80.2 (11.59)		1.7 (10.77)		
PedsQL - Physical He	ealth Sur	mma	ry Scale (8 items)10)				
Baseline ⁵⁾			14	85.9 (19.14)			-	
Week 96		16		87.3 (10.79)		3.4 (17.93)		
PedsQL - Psychosoci	al health	n sun	nmary sca	ale (15 iten	ns)			
Baseline ⁵⁾			14		78.2 (16.07)		-	
Week 96	k 96		16		76.5 (14.63)		0.8 (12.94)	
PedsQL - Emotional F	unction	ing S	Scale (5 ite	ems)				
Baseline ⁵⁾		14	(-		
Week 96		16	,		<u> </u>	2.7 (15.22)		
PedsQL - Social Func	tioning	Scale	e (5 items)				
Baseline ⁵⁾			14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Week 96		16		83.4 (22.49)		2.7 (23.24)		
PedsQL - School Functioning Scale (5 items)								
Baseline ⁵⁾		14	70.0 (20.10)			-		
Week 96			16		67.8 (16.83)		-3.1 (21.46)	
Endpoint category N Endpoint		Patients with event n (%)						
Side effects								
Severe AE ¹¹⁾		31	4 (13)					
SAE	31					10 (32)		

Endpoint category Endpoint	Ν	Patients with event n (%)
AE that led to discontinuation of study medication	31	1 (3)
AE of special interest: IAR	31	3 (10)

¹⁾ The median (min; max) exposure duration of the LAL-CL06 study was 144 weeks (61; 145).

²⁾ According to Module 4, deaths were recorded through security.

³⁾ Number of people included in the analysis.

⁴⁾ Percentiles were calculated for the endpoint based on standardised age and sex norms ("weight for age", WFA) from WHO (individuals ≤ 24 months) or the centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (individuals ≥ 24 months to 18 years).

⁵⁾ Baseline corresponds to the mean of the 3 last measurements before the first SA infusion.

⁶⁾ Based on demographic norm values, the testing personnel evaluates for each test item whether the result for the corresponding age group of the respective child fell within the associated normal range or was to be rated as noticeable. The 4 test areas were classified as "normal", "noticeable" or "not testable". A result was categorised as "untestable" if it was no possible to administer the test to a child.

⁷⁾ Percentages refer to the number of persons for whom a measurement was possible (N=8)

⁸⁾ Number of persons with available data for the respective visit.

⁹⁾ The PedsQL includes a total of 23 items. The questionnaire consists of a Likert scale from 1 to 4 (1 = best function (never), 4 = worst function (always)); the values are then inverted into a scale from 1 to 100. Higher scores indicate a higher quality of life.

¹⁰⁾ The Physical Health Summary Scale scores (8 items) also correspond to the Physical Functioning Scale domain (8 items). A separate presentation is not provided.

¹¹⁾ The severity of AE was determined using "Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium Study Data Tabulation Model" standard terminology v3.1.1.

Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index; FAS: Full analysis set; MV: Mean; LDL-C: Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PedsQL: Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory; SA: Sebelipase alfa; SD: Standard deviation; SAE: (Serious) adverse events: AE: Adverse event

Register ALX-LALD-501

Side effects					
	Ever treated with sebelipase alfa ¹⁾				
Global patients with at least one	N = 118 ²⁾ n (%)				
AE	41 (35)				
Severe AE ³⁾	2 (2)				
SAE	10 (9)				
AE, which led to the discontinuation of the study medication ⁴⁾	0 (0)				

¹⁾ All AE from inclusion in the registry were recorded for the safety population. This included all individuals who had ever been treated with sebelipase alfa without having a confirmed diagnosis of LAL.

²⁾ Data on the observation period are not available for the safety population (n = 118) or for the study population (n = 113). The median total treatment time with SA for individuals with childhood and adult LAL deficiency (n = 113) was 3.67 years.

³⁾ The severity of AE was determined using "Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium Study Data Tabulation Model" standard terminology v3.1.1.

⁴⁾ Data from module 4.

Abbreviations: LAL: Lyosomal Acid Lipase; SAE: (Serious) adverse events: AE: Adverse event

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

a) Patients with rapidly progressive LAL deficiency already in infancy (< 6 months)

approx. 5 to 10 patients

b) Patients with LAL deficiency (not rapidly progressive in infancy (< 6 months))

approx. 30 to 60 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Kanuma (active ingredient: sebelipase alfa) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 10 February 2021):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/kanuma-epar-product-information_de.pdf

Treatment with sebelipase alfa should only be initiated and monitored by specialists who are experienced in the treatment of patients with LAL deficiency, metabolic disorders or chronic liver disease.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

a) Patients with rapidly progressive LAL deficiency already in infancy (< 6 months)

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient
Sebelipase alfa	378,917.57 - €7,578,351.38

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®, as last revised: 15 April 2021).

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

b) Patients with LAL deficiency (not rapidly progressive in infancy (< 6 months))

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/patient
Sebelipase alfa	€189,822.43 - €2,277,869.15

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®, as last revised: 15 May 2021).

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

Courtesy translation – only the German version is legally binding.