

Lenvatinib (Reassessment after the deadline: Advanced renal cell carcinoma, combination with Everolimus)

Resolution of: 1 July 2021 Entry into force on: 1 July 2021 BAnz AT 30 08 2021 B2 Valid until: unlimited

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 25 August 2016):

Kisplyx is indicated in combination with everolimus for the treatment of adult patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC) following one prior vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-targeted therapy.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 1 July 2021):

see therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

Adult patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC) following one prior vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-targeted therapy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Nivolumab or cabozantinib

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of lenvatinib in combination with everolimus compared to cabozantinib:

An additional benefit is not proven

Study results according to endpoints¹:

Adult patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC) following one prior vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-targeted therapy

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

| Endpoint category | Direction of effect/ Risk of bias | Summary | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mortality | \leftrightarrow | No relevant difference for the benefit | | | | |
| | | assessment. | | | | |
| Morbidity | n.a. | There are no usable data for the benefit | | | | |
| | | assessment | | | | |
| Health-related quality | Ø | No data available | | | | |
| of life | | | | | | |
| Side effects | \leftrightarrow | No relevant difference for the benefit | | | | |
| | | assessment. | | | | |
| Explanations: | | | | | | |
| ↑: statistically significant a | nd relevant positive effect | with low/unclear reliability of data | | | | |
| \downarrow : statistically significant a | nd relevant negative effect | t with low/unclear reliability of data | | | | |
| 个个: statistically significan | t and relevant positive effe | ct with high reliability of data | | | | |
| $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant | t and relevant negative eff | ect with high reliability of data | | | | |
| ↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference | | | | | | |
| arnothing: There are no usable dat | arnothing: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment. | | | | | |
| n.a.: not assessable | | | | | | |

Adjusted indirect comparison

Lenvatinib + everolimus versus cabozantinib via the bridging comparator everolimus

205 study: Lenvatinib + everolimus vs everolimus; open-label phase 1b/2 study

METEOR study: Cabozantinib vs everolimus; open-label phase 3 study

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG) (A20-122) unless otherwise indicated.

Mortality

| Endpoint | Endpoint Lenvat | | Everolimus (bridge comparator) | | Intervention vs control | |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | N | Median survival time in months [95% CI] | Ν | Median survival time in months [95% CI] | Effect estimator [95% CI] p value | |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | Absolute difference (AD) ^a | |
| Mortality | Mortality | | | | | |
| Lenvatinib + everol | imus v | s everolimus (data cut-of | f from 3 | 31.7.2015) | | |
| | 51 | 51 25.5 [16.4; 32.1] 32 (62.7) | | 15.4 [11.8; 20.6] 37 (74.0) | 0.59 [0.36; 0.97]; 0.036 | |
| Cabozantinib vs eve | erolimu | is (data cut-off from 2.10 | .2016) | | | |
| | 330 21.4 [18.6; 23.5] 32 198 (60.0) 32 | | 328 | 17.1 [14.9; 18.9] 232 (70.7) | 0.70 [0.58; 0.85]; < 0.001 | |
| Adjusted indirect compare ^b : Lenvatinib + everolimus vs cabozantinib | | | | | 0.84 [0.50; 1.43]; n. d. | |

Morbidity

| Endpoint | Lenvatinib + everolimus or cabozantinib | | Everolimus (bridge comparator) | | Intervention vs control |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | N | Median survival time in months [95% CI] | Ν | Median survival time in months [95% Cl] | Effect estimator [95% CI] p value |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | Absolute difference (AD) ^a |
| Progression-free survival ^c | | | | | |
| Lenvatinib + everol | imus vs | s everolimus (data cut-of | f from : | 13.6.2014) | |
| | 51 | 12.8 [7.4; 17.5] 24 (47.1) | 50 | 5.6 [3.6; 9.3] 29 (58.0) | 0.45 [0.26; 0.79] |
| Cabozantinib vs eve | erolimu | ıs (data cut-off from 2.10 | .2016) | | |
| | 330 | n. d. 180 (55) | 328 | n. d. 214 (65) | 0.52 [0.43; 0.64] |
| Adjusted indirect compare ^b : | | | | | 0.87 [0.48; 1.56] |
| Lenvatinib + everolimus vs cabozantinib | | | | | n. d. |

(continuation)

| Endpoint | Lenvatinib + evero cabozantin | | | E | verolimus (bridge comparator) | Intervention vs control |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | N | Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | | Ν | Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Effect estimator [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a |
| Symptomatology (FKSI-DRS) | | only collected in the METEOR study | | | | |
| Health status (EQ-5D VAS) | | | only collected in the METEOR study | | | |
| skeletal associated events | | | only collected in the METEOR study | | | |

Health-related quality of life

| Endpoint | Lenvatinib + everolimus or cabozantinib | | Everolimus (bridge comparator) | | Intervention vs control | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | N | Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Ν | Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Effect estimator [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a | |
| No data on health-related quality of life were assessed in both studies. | | | | | | |

Side effects

| Endpoint | Lenvatinib + everolimus or cabozantinib | | Everolimus (bridge comparator) | | Intervention vs control | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| N Median in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | | Ν | Median in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Effect estimator [95% CI] p value Absolute difference (AD) ^a | | | |
| Total adverse even | its (pre | esented additionally) | | | | | |
| Lenvatinib + everoli | imus vs | everolimus (data cut-of | ffrom | 2.10.2016) | | | |
| | 51 | 0.1 [0.1; 0.2] 51 (100) | 50 | 0.3 [0.2; 0.3] 50 (100) | | | |
| Cabozantinib vs eve | Cabozantinib vs everolimus (data cut-off from 2.10.2016) | | | | | | |
| | 331 | n. d. 331 (100) | 322 | n. d. 321 (100) | | | |

(continuation)

| Endpoint | Lenvatinib + everolimus or cabozantinib | | Everolimus (bridge comparator) | | Intervention vs control |
|---|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | N | Median in months [95% CI] | N | Median in months [95% CI] | Effect estimator [95% CI] p value |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | Absolute difference (AD) ^a |
| Serious adverse ev | vents (S | SAEs) | | | |
| Lenvatinib + everol | imus vs | s everolimus | | | |
| | 51 | 11.9 [2.1; 19.4] 30 (58.8) | 50 | 7.6 [5.7; n. a.] 21 (42.0) | 1.18 [0.66; 2.10] n. d. |
| Cabozantinib vs ev | erolimu | s (data cut-off from 2.10 | .2016) | | |
| | 331 | 12.9 [10.4; 18.2] 154 (47) | 322 | 11.1 [7.5; 14.1] 144 (45) | 0.80 [0.63; 1.00] 0.052 |
| Adjusted indirect c Lenvatinib + everol | | | | | 1.48 [0.79; 2.75] ^c n. d. |
| Severe adverse ev | ents (C | TCAE grade ≥ 3) | | | |
| Lenvatinib + everol | imus vs | everolimus (data cut-of | ffrom | 2.10.2016) | |
| | 51 | 1.6 [0.9; 4.1] 39 (76.5) | 50 | 5.8 [1.9; n. a.] 27 (54.0) | 1.59 [0.96; 2.62] n. d. |
| Cabozantinib vs ev | erolimu | is (data cut-off from 2.10 | .2016) | | |
| | 331 | 2.2 [1.7; 2.8] 264 (80) | 322 | 3.6 [2.8; 4.6] 219 (68) | 1.23 [1.03; 1.47] 0.023 |
| Adjusted indirect c Lenvatinib + everol | | | | | 1.29 [0.76; 2.20] ^c n. d. |
| Therapy discontine | uation | due to adverse events | | | |
| Lenvatinib + everol | imus vs | s everolimus (data cut-of | ffrom | 2.10.2016) | |
| | 51 | n. a. [24.4; n. a.] 13 (25.5) | 50 | n. a. [13.5; n. a.] 6 (12.0) | 1.64 [0.62; 4.37] n. d. |
| Cabozantinib vs ev | erolimu | s (data cut-off from 2.10 | .2016) | | |
| | 331 | n. a. [27.5; n. c.] 88 (27) | 322 | 26.2 [19.4; n. a.] 87 (27) | 0.72 [0.54; 0.98] 0.036 |
| Adjusted indirect compare ^b : Lenvatinib + everolimus vs cabozantinib | | | | | _ d |
| Specific adverse ev | vents | | | | |
| No usable data ava | vilablae | | | | |

(continuation)

a Indication of absolute difference (AD) only in case of statistically significant difference; own calculation b Indirect comparison according to Bucher

- c Information from the dossier (module 4) of the pharmaceutical company
- d No presentation of effect estimates due to insufficient certainty of results
- e The pharmaceutical company submits only a selection of specific AEs for the indirect comparison

Abbreviations used:

Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; HR = Hazard Ratio; n.d = no data; Cl = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n= number of patients with (at least one) event; n. c. = not calculable; n. a. = not achieved; SAE = serious adverse event; vs = versus.

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

approx. 1,770 to 3,530 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Kisplyx (active ingredient: lenvatinib) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 3 March 2021):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/kisplyx-epar-productinformation_de.pdf

Treatment with lenvatinib should only be initiated in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and oncology, specialists in internal medicine and in nephrology, and specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement.

Patients with brain metastases were not studied in the 205 study. Especially in these patients, a careful risk-benefit assessment must be made before starting therapy.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/patient | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Medicinal product to be assessed: | | | | | | |
| Lenvatinib | € 51,559.17 | | | | | |
| Everolimus | € 10,087.99 | | | | | |
| Total: | € 61,647.16 | | | | | |
| Additional SHI services | Patient-individual | | | | | |
| Appropriate comparator therapy: | | | | | | |
| Nivolumab | € 79,308.84 - € 79,613.87 | | | | | |
| Cabozantinib | € 65,515.31 | | | | | |

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®, as last revised: 15 June 2021)

Other SHI services:

| Designation of the therapy | Type of service | Costs/ unit | Number/ cycle | Number/ patient/ year | Costs/ patient/ year |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Nivolumab | Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies | € 71 | 1 | 13 - 26.1 | € 923 - € 1,853.10 |