

Nivolumab (Reassessment after the deadline (melanoma, adjuvant treatment))

Resolution of: 16 September 2021 Entry into force on: 16 September 2021 BAnz AT 03 11 2021 B2 Valid until: unlimited

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 30 July 2018):

OPDIVO as monotherapy is indicated for the adjuvant treatment of adults with melanoma with involvement of lymph nodes or metastatic disease who have undergone complete resection (see section 5.1)

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 16 September 2021):

see therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

Adjuvant treatment of adults with melanoma with involvement of lymph nodes or metastatic disease who have undergone complete resection

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- pembrolizumab (only for patients with stage III tumours after complete resection)

or

- dabrafenib in combination with trametinib (only for patients with BRAF V600 mutation-positive melanoma in tumour stage III after complete resection)

or

- monitoring wait-and-see approach

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of nivolumab compared to a monitoring wait-and-see approach:

Hint of a considerable additional benefit

Study results according to endpoints:¹

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary			
	risk of bias				
Mortality	n.a.	There are no usable data for the benefit			
		assessment			
Morbidity	\uparrow	Advantage in relapses and relapse-free survival			
Health-related quality	n.a.	There are no usable data for the benefit			
of life		assessment			
Side effects	\checkmark	Disadvantage in case of therapy discontinuation			
		due to AE			
Explanations:					
个: statistically significant a	nd relevant positive effect	with low/unclear reliability of data			
\downarrow : statistically significant a	nd relevant negative effect	t with low/unclear reliability of data			
个个: statistically significan	t and relevant positive effe	ct with high reliability of data			
$\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant	t and relevant negative effe	ect with high reliability of data			
\leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference					
arnothing: There are no usable dat	a for the benefit assessme	nt.			
n.a.: not assessable					

Adjusted indirect comparison

Nivolumab vs monitoring wait-and-see approach via the bridge comparator ipilimumab

CA209-238 study: Nivolumab vs ipilimumab; double-blind RCT

CA184-029 study: Ipilimumab vs placebo²; double-blind RCT

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A21-39) and from the addendum (A21-39), unless otherwise indicated.

² The follow-up strategy implemented in study CA184-029 is considered a sufficient approximation to the operationalisation of the monitoring wait-and-see approach.

Mortality

Endpoint	Niv	olumab or placebo	(b	Ipilimumab ridge comparator)	Intervention vs control
	N Median survival time in months [95% CI]		Ν	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p-value
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD)ª
Overall survival					
Nivolumab vs ipilimumab (data cut-off from 29 January 2020)					
	368	n.a. 85 (23.1)	367	n.a. 89 (24.3)	0.93 [0.69; 1.25] 0.634
Placebo vs ipilimur	nab (da	ata cut-off from 13 May	/ 2016)		
	378 59.14 [48.39; n. a.] 189 (50.0)		377 n. a. [79.41; n. a.] 144 (38.2)		1.39 [1.12; 1.72] 0.003
-	Adjusted indirect comparison via bridge comparators ^b : Nivolumab vs placebo				

Morbidity

Endpoint	Niv	olumab or placebo	(b	Ipilimumab ridge comparator)	Intervention vs control
	N	Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Patients with event n (%)	Relative risk [95% CI] p-value Absolute difference (AD) ^a
Relapses					
Nivolumab vs ipilim	umab (data cut-off from 29 Jan	uary 202	20)	
Relapse rate	368	166 (45.1)	367	205 (55.9)	0.81 [0.70; 0.93] n.d.
Local relapse	368	32 (8.7)	367	42 (11.4)	-
Regional relapse	368	33 (9.0)	367	39 (10.6)	-

(continuation)

Endpoint	Niv	olumab or placebo	(b	Ipilimumab ridge comparator)	Intervention vs control
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	Relative risk [95% CI] p-value Absolute difference (AD) ^a
Remote metastasis	368	97 (26.4)	97 (26.4) 367 111 (30.2)		-
Death	368	3 (0.8)	367	11 (3.0)	-
Placebo vs ipilimu	mab (da	ita cut-off from 13 May	y 2016)		
Relapse rate	378	274 (72.5)	377	227 (60.2)	1.20 [1.09; 1.33] n.d.
Local relapse	378	10 (2.6)	377	13 (3.4)	-
In-transit metastases	378 28 (7.4) 377 23 (6.1)		-		
Regional relapse	378	57 (15.1) 377 39 (10.3)		-	
Remote metastasis	378	170 (45.0)	377	136 (36.1)	-
Death	378	9 (2.4)	377	16 (4.2)	-
Adjusted indirect of Nivolumab vs plac	•	ison via bridge compar	ators ^b :		0.67 [0.56; 0.80] < 0.001
	Niv	olumab or placebo	(b	Ipilimumab ridge comparator)	Intervention vs control
1		Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p-value Absolute
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
Nivolumab vs ipilim	numab (data cut-off from 29 Jan	uary 20	20)	
Relapse-free survival	368	52.37 [43.96; n. a.]	367	26.87 [17.08; 38.01]	0.71 [0.58; 0.87] < 0.001

(continuation)

Endpoint	Niv	olumab or	placebo	(b	Ipilimumab ridge comparator)	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]		Ν	Median time to event in months [95% Cl]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p-value
			with event (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^a
Placebo vs ipilimumab (data cut-off from 13 May 2016)						
Relapse-free survival	378	11.63 [10.32; 16.20]		377	21.19 [16.46; 28.12]	1.33 [1.12; 1.59] 0.001
Adjusted indirect comparison via bridge co Nivolumab vs placebo			lge compara	ators ^b :		0.53 [0.41; 0.70] < 0.001
Health status (EQ-5D VAS) No usable				le data available		
Symptomatology (EORTC QLQ- No usab			No usable	data av	ailable	

Health-related quality of life

Functional scales (EORTC QLQ- C30)	No usable data available
---------------------------------------	--------------------------

Side effects

Endpoint	Niv	olumab or placebo	Ipilimumab (bridge comparator)		Intervention vs control
	N Median time to event in months [95% CI]		Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Effect estimator [95% CI] p-value
		Patients with event n (%) Patients with event n (%)		Absolute difference (AD)ª	
Total adverse even	Total adverse events (AE, presented additionally)				
Nivolumab vs ipilim	numab	(data cut-off from 29 Ja	nuary 2	2020)	
	367	367 0.49 [0.43; 0.56] 360 (98.1)		0.33 [0.26; 0.39] 362 (98.6)	-
Placebo vs ipilimur	nab (da	ata cut-off from 13 May	2016)		
	377 0.82 [0.72; 1.05] 334 (88.6)			0.26 [0.26; 0.36] 366 (98.1)	-
Adjusted indirect of	compar	ison via bridge compara	ators ^b :		-

Endpoint	Niv	olumab or placebo	(br	Ipilimumab idge comparator)	Intervention vs control	
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Effect estimator [95% CI] p-value	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD)ª	
Nivolumab vs place						
Serious adverse events (SAE)						
Nivolumab vs ipilim	numab	(data cut-off from 29 Ja	inuary 2	2020)		
	367	n.a. 75 (20.4)	367	n. a. [6.44; n. a.] 172 (46.9)	0.31 [0.23; 0.40] < 0.001	
Placebo vs ipilimur	mab (da	ata cut-off from 13 May	2016)			
	377	n.a. 80 (21.2)	373	9.69 [4.21; 21.22] 200 (53.6)	0.28 [0.22; 0.36] < 0.001	
Adjusted indirect comparison via bridge comparators ^b : Nivolumab vs placebo					1.10 [0.75; 1.60] 0.633	
Severe adverse eve	ents (C1	「CAE grade ≥ 3)				
Nivolumab vs ipilin	numab	(data cut-off from 29 Ja	inuary 2	2020)		
	367	n.a. 111 (30.2)	367	3.25 [2.76; 4.80] 228 (62.1)	0.30 [0.24; 0.38] < 0.001	
Placebo vs ipilimur	mab (da	ata cut-off from 13 May	2016)			
	377	n. a. [38.60; n. a.] 96 (25.5)	373	8.08 [3.29; 14.52] 204 (54.7)	0.33 [0.26; 0.42] < 0.001	
	Adjusted indirect compare ^b : 0.93 Nivolumab vs placebo [0.66; 1.29] 0.646					
Discontinuation be	ecause	of AEs				
Nivolumab vs ipilim	numab	(data cut-off from 29 Ja	inuary 2	2020)		
	367	n.a. 43 (11.7)	367	n. a. [7.85; n. a.] 173 (47.1)	0.18 [0.13; 0.25] < 0.001	

Endpoint	Endpoint Nivolu		mab or placebo Ipilimumab (bridge comparator)		Intervention vs control		
	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Effect estimator [95% Cl] p-value		
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD)ª		
Placebo vs ipilimur	Placebo vs ipilimumab (data cut-off from 13 May 2016)						
377		n.a. 22 (5.8)	373	17.97 [8.31; 28.78] 184 (49.3)	0.09 [0.05; 0.13] < 0.001		
Adjusted indirect on Nivolumab vs place	•	son via bridge compara	ators ^b :		2.07 [1.19; 3.62] 0.010		
Immune-mediated	AEs	No usable data ava	ailable				
 ^a Absolute difference (AD) is given only in the case of a statistically significant difference; own calculation ^b Indirect comparison according to Bucher ^c There are no usable results for the indirect comparison ^d No presentation of effect estimates due to insufficient certainty of results Abbreviations used: AD = Absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; CI = confidence interval; n.d. = no data, N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n. a. = not accident acciden							

achieved; vs = versus

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

Adjuvant treatment of adults with melanoma with involvement of lymph nodes or metastatic disease who have undergone complete resection

approx. 3,450 to 4,340 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Opdivo (active ingredient: nivolumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 28 May 2021):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/opdivo-epar-product-information_en.pdf

The initiation and monitoring of treatment with nivolumab must be carried out by a specialist experienced in the field of oncology and in the therapy of patients with melanoma (specialist in internal medicine, haematology and oncology, a specialist in skin and venereal diseases as well as other specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement).

In accordance with the Medicines Agency requirements regarding additional risk minimisation measures, the pharmaceutical company must provide healthcare professionals and patients with a patient card. The patient card contains, in particular, instructions on the management of immune-mediated side effects potentially occurring with nivolumab as well as on infusion-related reactions. The prescribing doctor must discuss the risks of therapy with nivolumab with the patient. The patient card should be made available to the patient.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Adjuvant treatment of adults with melanoma with involvement of lymph nodes or metastatic disease who have undergone complete resection

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient
Medicinal product to be assessed:	
Nivolumab	€ 79,308.84
Appropriate comparator therapy:	
Pembrolizumab	€ 103,144.32
Dabrafenib + trametinib	
Dabrafenib	€ 70,930.94
Trametinib	€ 53,114.44
Total	€ 124,045.37
Monitoring wait-and-see approach	incalculable

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE® as last revised: 1 September 2021)

Other SHI services:

Designation of therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Nivolumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 71	1	13 - 26	€923- €1,846
Pembrolizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	9 - 18	€ 639 - € 1,278