

Atezolizumab (new therapeutic indication: non-small cell lung cancer, PD-L1 expression \geq 50% on TC or \geq 10% on IC, EGFR/ALK-negative, first-line)

Resolution of: 19 November 2021 Valid until: unlimited

Entry into force on: 19 November 2021 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 24 01 2022 B1

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 30 April 2021):

Tecentriq as monotherapy is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with metastatic NSCLC whose tumours have a PD-L1 expression $\geq 50\%$ tumour cells (TC) or $\geq 10\%$ tumour-infiltrating immune cells (IC) and who do not have EGFR mutant or ALK-positive NSCLC.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution from 19 November 2021):

see new therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation

- 1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy
- a) Adults with metastatic, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), whose tumours have PD-L1 expression ≥ 50% of the tumour cells and who do not have EGFR mutant or ALK-positive NSCLC; first-line

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Pembrolizumab as monotherapy

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of atezolizumab compared to pembrolizumab:

An additional benefit is not proven.

b) Adults with metastatic, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), whose tumours have PD-L1 expression < 50% of the tumour cells and PD-L1 expression ≥ 10% of the tumour-infiltrating immune cells and who do not have EGFR mutant or ALK-positive NSCLC; first-line

Appropriate comparator therapy:

 Cisplatin in combination with a third-generation cytostatic (vinorelbine or gemcitabine or docetaxel or paclitaxel or pemetrexed (except in the case of predominantly squamous histology))

or

 Carboplatin in combination with a third-generation cytostatic agent (vinorelbine or gemcitabine or docetaxel or paclitaxel or pemetrexed (except in the case of predominantly squamous histology)) cf. Annex VI to Section K of the Pharmaceuticals Directive

or

Carboplatin in combination with nab-paclitaxel

or

 Pembrolizumab in combination with pemetrexed and platinum-containing chemotherapy (only for adults with non-squamous histology)

or

 Pembrolizumab in combination with carboplatin and either paclitaxel or nabpaclitaxel (only for adults with squamous histology)

or

Monotherapy with gemcitabine or vinorelbine (only for adults with ECOG performance status 2 as an alternative to platinum-based combination treatment)

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of atezolizumab compared to the appropriate comparator therapy:

An additional benefit is not proven.

Study results according to endpoints:1

 Adults with metastatic, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), whose tumours have PD-L1 expression ≥ 50% of the tumour cells and who do not have EGFR mutant or ALK-positive NSCLC; first-line

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

| Endpoint category | Direction of effect/ risk of | Summary |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| | bias | |
| Mortality | \leftrightarrow | No difference in overall survival. |
| Morbidity | n.a. | There are no assessable data. |
| Health-related quality | | |
| of life | n.a. | There are no assessable data. |
| Side effects | \leftrightarrow | No relevant difference for the benefit assessment. |

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 \downarrow : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow \uparrow$: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

Adjusted indirect comparison

Intervention versus bridge comparator: IMpower110 phase III study (GO29431)

Atezolizumab versus platinum-based chemotherapy (pemetrexed + carboplatin or cisplatin (non-squamous only); gemcitabine + carboplatin or cisplatin (squamous only)); data cut-off from 10 September 2018

Sub-population with a tumour proportion score [TPS] \geq 50% or PD-L1 expression \geq 50% of the tumour cells according to the PD-L1 IHC 22C3 test relative to the total IMpower110 study population without ALK or EGFR aberrations

 Appropriate comparator therapy versus bridge comparator: KEYNOTE 024 and KEYNOTE 042

phase III studies

<u>KEYNOTE 024:</u> Pembrolizumab versus platinum-based chemotherapy (pemetrexed + cisplatin or carboplatin (non-squamous only), gemcitabine + cisplatin or carboplatin, paclitaxel + carboplatin); data cut-off from 9 May 2016

Only adults with a tumour proportion score [TPS] \geq 50% or PD-L1 expression \geq 50% of the tumour cells according to PD-L1 IHC 22C3 test were included in the study.

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A21-69: version 2.0) and from the addendum (A21-133), unless otherwise indicated.

<u>KEYNOTE 042:</u> Pembrolizumab versus platinum-based chemotherapy (pemetrexed + carboplatin (non-squamous only), paclitaxel + carboplatin); data cut-off from 26 February 2018

Sub-population with a tumour proportion score [TPS] \geq 50% or PD-L1 expression \geq 50% of the tumour cells according to PD-L1 IHC 22C3 test.

Mortality

| Endpoint | | olizumab (intervention) or nbrolizumab (appropriate comparator therapy) | C | Platinum-based hemotherapy (bridge comparator) | Group difference | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | N | Median survival time in months [95% CI] | N | Median survival time in months [95% CI] | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p-value | | |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | | | |
| Overall survival | | | | | | | |
| Intervention v | ersus b | ridge comparator | | | | | |
| IMpower110 | 134 | 20.2 [13.3; n.c.] | 126 | 11.0 [8.8; 16.5] | 0.57 | | |
| | | 53 (39.6) | | 67 (53.2) | [0.39; 0.82] 0.002 ^a | | |
| Appropriate co | mpara | ator therapy versus bridge co | mpara | itor | | | |
| KEYNOTE 024 | 154 | n.a. <i>44 (28.6)</i> | 151 | n.a. [9.4; n.c.] <i>64 (42.4)</i> | 0.60 [0.41; 0.89] 0.010 ^b | | |
| KEYNOTE 042 | 299 | 20.0 [15.4; 24.9] | 300 | 12.2 [10.4; 14.2] | 0.69 | | |
| NE TOTE OF TE | 233 | n.d. | 300 | n.d. | [0.56; 0.85] < 0.001 ^c | | |
| Total | 0.67 [0.56; 0.80]; < 0.001 ^d | | | | | | |
| • | comparison via bridge comparator (according to Bucher): umab versus pembrolizumab | | | | | | |

Morbidity

| Endpoint | Atezolizumab (intervention) or pembrolizumab (appropriate comparator therapy) | | | Platinum-based hemotherapy (bridge comparator) | Group difference | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | N Median time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | | N | Median time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p-value | | | | | | |
| Health status (| EQ-5D | VAS) | | | | | | | | | |
| | There are no assessable data. ^f | | | | | | | | | | |
| Symptomatolo | Symptomatology (EORTC QLQ-C30, EORTC QLQ-LC13) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | There are no as | sessak | ole data. ^f | There are no assessable data. f | | | | | | |

Health-related quality of life

| Health-related quality of life (EORTC QLQ-C30, EORTC QLQ-LC13) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| There are no assessable data. ^f |

Side effects

| Endpoint | Atezolizumab (intervention) or pembrolizumab (appropriate comparator therapy) | | | Platinum-based hemotherapy (bridge comparator) | Group difference | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| | N | Median time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Z | Median time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p-value | | |
| Total adverse events (AE) (presented additionally) | | | | | | | |
| Intervention ve | ersus b | ridge comparator | | | | | |
| IMpower110 | 134 | n.d. | 114 | n.d. | - | | |
| | | 118 (88.1) | | 104 (91.2) | | | |
| Appropriate co | mpara | ator therapy versus bridge co | mpara | tor | | | |
| KEYNOTE 024 | 154 | n.d. | 150 | n.d. | - | | |
| | | 148 (96.1) | | 145 (96.7) | | | |
| KEYNOTE 042 | 299 | n.d. | 300 | n.d. | - | | |

(continuation)

| Endpoint | | nbro | umab (intervention) or dizumab (appropriate nparator therapy) | | Platinum-based chemotherapy (bridge comparator) | Group difference | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--|
| | N | | Median time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | | Median time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p-value | |
| Serious adverse events (SAE) | | | | | | | |
| Intervention ve | rsus b | ridge | e comparator | | | | |
| IMpower110 | Mpower110 1 | | n.d. <i>39 (29.1)</i> | n.d. 31 (27.2) | | 0.87 [0.54; 1.41]; 0.579 ^g | |
| Appropriate comparator therapy versus bridge comparator | | | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 024 1 | | .54 | n.d. <i>68 (44.2)</i> | n.d. 66 (44.0) | | 1.00 [0.71; 1.41] 0.994 ^b | |
| KEYNOTE 042 | 2 | 99 | n.d. | 300 | n.d. | n.d. | |
| Total | · | | | | | - | |
| Indirect comparison via bridge comparator (according to Bucher): Atezolizumab versus pembrolizumab | | | | | | 0.87 [0.48; 1.57] 0.645 ^e | |
| Severe adverse | event | ts (C | TCAE grade ≥ 3) | | | | |
| Intervention ve | rsus b | ridge | e comparator | | | | |
| IMpower110 | IMpower110 134 n.d. 43 (32.1) | | 114 | n.d. <i>62 (54.4)</i> | 0.37 [0.25; 0.56] < 0.001 ^g | | |
| Appropriate co | mpara | tor t | herapy versus bridge co | mpara | itor | | |
| KEYNOTE 024 | 1 | .54 | n.d. <i>82 (53.2)</i> | 150 | n.d. <i>109 (72.7)</i> | 0.49 [0.36; 0.66]; < 0.001 ^b | |
| KEYNOTE 042 | 2 | .99 | n.d. | 300 | n.d. | n.d. | |
| Indirect comparison via bridge comparator (according to Bucher): Atezolizumab versus pembrolizumab | | | | | | 0.76 [0.46; 1.25] 0.282 ^e | |

(continuation)

| Endpoint | | mbro | umab (intervention) or dizumab (appropriate nparator therapy) | | Platinum-based chemotherapy (bridge comparator) | Group difference |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| | N | Pa | Median time in months [95% CI] Itients with event n (%) | N | Median time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p-value |
| Therapy discontinuations due to AE | | | | | | |
| Intervention ve | rsus b | ridge | e comparator | | | |
| IMpower110 | . | | n.d. <i>5 (3.7)</i> | 114 | n.d. 25 (21.9) | 0.12 [0.05; 0.32] < 0.001 ^g |
| Appropriate co | mpara | ator t | herapy versus bridge co | mpara | tor | |
| KEYNOTE 024 | 1 | 154 | n.d. 14 (9.1) | 150 | n.d. <i>21 (14)</i> | 0.60 [0.31; 1.19] 0.144 ^b |
| KEYNOTE 042 | 2 | 299 | n.d. | 300 | n.d. | n.d. |
| Indirect comparison via bridge comparator (according to Bucher): Atezolizumab versus pembrolizumab | | | | | 0.20 [0.06; 0.63] 0.0007 ^e | |

Immune-mediated AEs

No usable data available

- a HR and 95% CI: Cox regression model, stratified by sex and baseline ECOG-PS, p-value from log-rank test
- b HR and 95% CI: Cox regression model, stratified by geographic region, ECOG-PS and histology, p-value from Wald test
- c HR and 95% CI: Cox regression model, stratified by geographic region, ECOG-PS and histology, p-value from log-rank test
- d IQWiG calculation; fixed-effect meta-analysis (inverse variance)
- e IQWiG calculations
- f No adjusted indirect comparison feasible as no results are available for at least 1 edge of the indirect comparison.
- g HR and 95% CI: unstratified analysis, p-value from log-rank test

Abbreviations used:

AD = Absolute Difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EORTC: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer; EQ-5D: European Quality of Life Questionnaire 5 Dimensions; HR = hazard ratio; n.d.: no data available; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; QLQ-C30: Quality of Life Questionnaire — Cancer 30; QLQ-LC13: Quality of Life Questionnaire — Lung Cancer 13; VAS: visual analogue scale; vs = versus

b) Adults with metastatic, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), whose tumours have PD-L1 expression < 50% of the tumour cells and PD-L1 expression ≥ 10% of the tumour-infiltrating immune cells and who do not have EGFR mutant or ALK-positive NSCLC; first-line

No data are available to allow an assessment of the additional benefit.

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

| Endpoint category | Direction of effect/ risk of bias | Summary |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Mortality | Ø | No data available. |
| Morbidity | Ø | No data available. |
| Health-related quality | Ø | No data available. |
| of life | | |
| Side effects | Ø | No data available. |

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 \downarrow : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

a) Adults with metastatic, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), whose tumours have PD-L1 expression ≥ 50 % of the tumour cells and who do not have EGFR mutation or ALK-positive NSCLC; first-line

approx. 3,940 – 4,430 patients

b) Adults with metastatic, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), whose tumours have PD-L1 expression < 50% of the tumour cells and PD-L1 expression ≥ 10% of the tumour-infiltrating immune cells and who do not have EGFR mutant or ALK-positive NSCLC; first-line

approx. 580 – 650 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Tecentriq (active ingredient: atezolizumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 2 September 2021):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/tecentriq-epar-product-information en.pdf

Treatment with atezolizumab may only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology and oncology who are experienced in the treatment of adult patients with non-small cell lung cancer, as well as specialists in internal medicine and pulmonology or specialists in pulmonary medicine and doctors from other specialist groups participating in the Oncology Agreement.

Patients are to be selected for treatment with atezolizumab as monotherapy on the basis of tumour PD-L1 expression, confirmed by a validated test.

According to the requirements for risk minimisation activities in the EPAR (European Public Assessment Report), the pharmaceutical company must provide the following information material on atezolizumab:

- training material for health professionals
- patient pass

The training material contains, in particular, instructions on the management of immunemediated side effects potentially occurring with atezolizumab as well as on infusion-related reactions.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

a) Adults with metastatic, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), whose tumours have PD-L1 expression ≥ 50% of the tumour cells and who do not have EGFR mutant or ALK-positive NSCLC; first-line

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/ patient |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Medicinal product to be assessed: | |
| Atezolizumab | € 67,766.91 - € 71,590.73 |
| Appropriate comparator therapy: | |
| Pembrolizumab | € 99,706.18 |

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE® as last revised: 1 November 2021)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

Other SHI services:

| Designation of therapy | Type of service | Costs/ unit | Number/ cycle | Number/ patient/ year | Costs/ patient/ year |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Medicinal produc | ct to be assessed: | | | | |
| Atezolizumab | Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies | €71 | 1 | 13 – 26.1 | € 923 - € 1,853.10 |
| Appropriate com | parator therapy: | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies | €71 | 1 | 8.7 - 17.4 | € 617.70 - € 1,235.40 |

b) Adults with metastatic, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), whose tumours have PD-L1 expression < 50% of the tumour cells and PD-L1 expression ≥ 10% of the tumour-infiltrating immune cells and who do not have EGFR mutant or ALK-positive NSCLC; first-line

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/ patient | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Medicinal product to be assessed: | | | | | | |
| Atezolizumab | € 67,766.91 - € 71,590.73 | | | | | |
| Appropriate comparator therapy: | | | | | | |
| Cisplatin in combination with a third-generation cytostatic (vinorelbine or gemcitabine or docetaxel or paclitaxel or pemetrexed (except in the case of predominantly squamous histology)) | | | | | | |
| Cisplatin + docetaxel | | | | | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,007.44 | | | | | |
| Docetaxel | € 21,230.61 | | | | | |
| Total: | € 23,238.05 | | | | | |
| Additionally required SHI costs | € 328.58 - € 421.62 | | | | | |
| Cisplatin + gemcitabine | | | | | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,007.44 - € 2,486.11 | | | | | |
| Gemcitabine | € 8,193.66 | | | | | |
| Total: | € 10,201.10 - € 10,679.77 | | | | | |

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/ patient |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Additionally required SHI costs | € 328.58 - € 421.62 |
| Cisplatin + paclitaxel | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,271.74 |
| Paclitaxel | € 17,473.78 |
| Total: | € 19,745.52 |
| Additionally required SHI costs | € 582.78 - € 675.82 |
| Cisplatin + pemetrexed | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,007.44 |
| Pemetrexed | € 9,213.30 |
| Total: | € 11,220.74 |
| Additionally required SHI costs | € 455.34 - € 595.97 |
| Cisplatin + vinorelbine | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,007.44 - € 2,486.11 |
| Vinorelbine | € 4,716.97 - € 5,686.32 |
| Total: | € 6,724.40 - € 8,172.43 |
| Additionally required SHI costs | € 328.58 - € 421.62 |
| Carboplatin in combination with a third-generation cy docetaxel or paclitaxel or pemetrexed (except in the carboplatin + docetaxel | case of predominantly squamous histology)) cf. |
| Carboplatin | € 8,209,32 |
| Docetaxel | € 21,230.61 |
| Total: | € 29,439.93 |
| Carboplatin + gemcitabine | |
| Carboplatin | € 8,209,32 |
| Gemcitabine | € 8,193.66 |
| Total: | € 16,402.98 |
| Carboplatin + paclitaxel | |
| <u> </u> | |
| Carboplatin | € 8,209,32 |
| Carboplatin Paclitaxel | € 8,209,32 € 17,473.78 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Paclitaxel | € 17,473.78 |
| Paclitaxel Total: | € 17,473.78 € 25,683.10 |
| Paclitaxel Total: Additionally required SHI costs | € 17,473.78 € 25,683.10 |
| Paclitaxel Total: Additionally required SHI costs Carboplatin + pemetrexed | € 17,473.78 € 25,683.10 € 254.20 |

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/ patient | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Additionally required SHI costs | € 126.76 - € 174.35 | | | |
| Carboplatin + vinorelbine | | | | |
| Carboplatin | € 8,209,32 | | | |
| Vinorelbine | € 4,716.97 - € 5,686.32 | | | |
| Total: | € 12,926.29 - € 13,895.64 | | | |
| Carboplatin in combination with nab-paclitaxel | | | | |
| Carboplatin | € 8,209.32 | | | |
| nab-paclitaxel | € 39,088.40 | | | |
| Total | € 47,297.72 | | | |
| Pembrolizumab in combination with pemetrexed and adults with non-squamous histology) | platinum-containing chemotherapy (only for | | | |
| Pembrolizumab + pemetrexed + cisplatin | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | € 99,706.18 | | | |
| Pemetrexed | € 9,213.30 | | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,007.44 | | | |
| Total: | € 110,926.91 | | | |
| Additionally required SHI costs | € 455.34 - € 595.97 | | | |
| Pembrolizumab + pemetrexed + carboplatin | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | € 99,706.18 | | | |
| Pemetrexed | € 9,213.30 | | | |
| Carboplatin | € 8,209.32 | | | |
| Total: | € 117,128.80 | | | |
| Additionally required SHI costs | € 126.76 - € 174.34 | | | |
| Pembrolizumab in combination with carboplatin and adults with squamous histology) | either paclitaxel or nab-paclitaxel (only for | | | |
| Pembrolizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | € 99,706.18 | | | |
| Carboplatin | € 8,209,32 | | | |
| Paclitaxel | € 17,473.78 | | | |
| Total: | € 125,389.28 | | | |
| Additionally required SHI costs | € 254.20 | | | |
| Pembrolizumab + carboplatin + nab-paclitaxel | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | € 99,706.18 | | | |
| Carboplatin | € 8,209.32 | | | |
| nab-paclitaxel | € 39,088.40 | | | |
| Total: | € 147,003.90 | | | |

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/ patient | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Monotherapy with gemcitabine or vinorelbine (only for adults with ECOG performance status 2 as an alternative to platinum-based combination treatment) | | | | | |
| Vinorelbine | € 7,061.89 - € 8,513.14 | | | | |
| Gemcitabine | € 7,156.89 | | | | |

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE® as last revised: 1 November 2021)

Other SHI services:

| Designation of therapy | Type of service | Costs/ unit | Number/ cycle | Number/ patient/ year | Costs/ patient/ year | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Medicinal product to be assessed: | | | | | | | |
| Atezolizumab | Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies | € 71 | 1 | 13 – 26.1 | € 923 - € 1,853.10 | | |
| Appropriate com | parator therapy: | | | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies | € 71 | 1 | 8.7 - 17.4 | € 617.70 - € 1,235.40 | | |
| Carboplatin | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 | | |
| Cisplatin | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 | | |
| Vinorelbine | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 2 | 34.8 | € 2,818.80 | | |
| Gemcitabine | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 2 | 34.8 | € 2,818.80 | | |
| Docetaxel | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 | | |
| Paclitaxel | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 | | |

| Designation of therapy | Type of service | Costs/ unit | Number/ cycle | Number/ patient/ year | Costs/ patient/ year |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Pemetrexed | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 |
| nab-paclitaxel | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 1 | 52.2 | € 4,228.20 |
| Vinorelbine monotherapy | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 2 | 52.1 | € 4,220.10 |
| Gemcitabine monotherapy | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | € 81 | 2 | 39 | € 3,159.00 |