

Pembrolizumab (new therapeutic indication: oesophageal or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma, PD-L1 expression \geq 10 (CPS), first-line, combination with platinum and fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy)

Valid until: unlimited

Resolution of: 5 May 2022 Entry into force on: 5 May 2022 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 27 05 2022 B2

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 24 June 2021):

KEYTRUDA, in combination with platinum and fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of locally advanced unresectable or metastatic carcinoma of the oesophagus or HER2-negative gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma, in adults whose tumours express PD-L1 with a CPS \geq 10.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 5 May 2022):

See new therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

a) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus</u> which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive <u>Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Cisplatin in combination with 5-fluorouracil

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil compared with cisplatin in combination with 5-fluorouracil:

Indication of a considerable additional benefit

b1) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-negative adenocarcinoma of the</u> <u>oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction which cannot be treated curatively and</u> <u>whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Therapy according to doctor's instructions

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

An additional benefit is not proven.

b2) Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-positive adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- HER2-targeted therapy according to doctor's instructions

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of Pembrolizumab in combination with platinum and fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

An additional benefit is not proven.

Study results according to endpoints:¹

a) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus</u> which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive <u>Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

| Endpoint category | Direction of effect/ risk of bias | Summary |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Mortality | $\uparrow\uparrow$ | Advantage in overall survival |
| Morbidity | 个 个 | Advantages in the symptom scales of dyspnoea, choking and pain |
| Health-related quality of life | \leftrightarrow | No relevant differences for the benefit assessment |
| Side effects | \leftrightarrow | No relevant differences for the benefit assessment, in detail, mostly advantages in the specific AEs |

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Explanations:

 $\uparrow:$ statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 \downarrow : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow\uparrow$: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

 \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

KEYNOTE 590:

Comparison: Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil vs placebo + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil

Study design: RCT, double-blind, ongoing

Data cut-off: 2 July 2020

Relevant sub-population: Patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus whose tumours express PD-L1 (CPS \ge 10)

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A21-144) and from the addendum (A22-37), unless otherwise indicated.

Mortality

| Endpoint | Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil | | Placebo + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil | | Intervention vs control |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | N | Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Ν | Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ^b |
| Overall survival | | | | | |
| | 143 | 13.9 [11.1; 17.7] 94 (65.7) | 143 | 8.8 [7.8; 10.5] 121 (84.6) | 0.57 [0.43; 0.75] < 0.001 AD = + 5.1 months |

Morbidity

| Endpoint | | Pembrolizumab + latin + 5-fluorouracil | cisp | Placebo + latin + 5-fluorouracil | Intervention vs control |
|--------------------|--------|---|------|---|---|
| | N | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | Ν | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p valueª |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | Absolute difference (AD) ^b |
| Progression-free s | urviva | l (PFS)° | | | |
| | 143 | 7.3 [6.2; 8.2] 109 (76.2) | 143 | 5.4 [4.2; 6.0] 127 (88.8) | 0.53 [0.40; 0.69] < 0.001 AD: + 1.9 months |
| Symptomatology | EORT | C QLQ-C30) ^d | | | |
| Fatigue | | | | | |
| | 138 | 1.7 [1.0; 2.6] 97 (70.3) | 136 | 1.4 [1.3; 2.1] 100 (73.5) | 0.87 [0.65; 1.15] 0.318 |
| Nausea and vomiti | ng | | | | |
| | 138 | 3.1 [2.1; 4.2] 83 (60.1) | 136 | 2.2 [1.8; 3.1] 84 (61.8) | 0.79 [0.58; 1.08] 0.140 |

| Pain | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------|--|
| | 138 | 6.6 [4.1; 8.4] 71 (51.4) | 136 | 3.2 [2.4; 3.8] 87 (64.0) | 0.60 [0.44; 0.84] 0.002 AD: + 3.4 months |
| Dyspnoea | | | | | |
| | 138 | 25.3 [7,2; n.c.] 49 (35,5) | 136 | 3.7 [2.9; 5.8] 71 (52.2) | 0.50 [0.35; 0.74] < 0.001 AD: + 21.6 months |
| Insomnia | | | | | |
| | 138 | 4.5 [3.0; 25.3] 67 (48.6) | 136 | 4.9 [3.7; 7.4] 61 (44.9) | 1.01 [0.71; 1.43] 0.969 |
| Appetite loss | | | | | |
| | 138 | 3.5 [2.7; 4.9] 81 (58.7) | 136 | 2.9 [2.1; 3.7] 81 (59.6) | 0.81 [0.59; 1.12] 0.202 |
| Constipation | | | | | |
| | 138 | 5.2 [3,8; n.c.] 60 (43,5) | 136 | 4.4 [3.0; 7.1] 67 (49.3) | 0.81 [0.57; 1.15] 0.228 |
| Diarrhoea | | | I | | |
| | 138 | 12.2 [3,3; n.c.] 57 (41,3) | 136 | n.a. [5,7; n.c.] 43 (31,6) | 1.23 [0.83; 1.84] 0.308 |
| Symptomatol | ogy (EORTC C | LQ-OES18) ^d | | | |
| Eating | | | | | |
| | 137 | 7.2 [3.9; 11.2] 67 (48.9) | 133 | 3.5 [2.9; 5.5] 69 (51.9) | 0.75 [0.53; 1.06] 0.103 |
| Reflux ^e | | | | | |
| | 137 | 7.6 [4,2; n.c.] 62 (45,3) | 133 | 5.0 [3.4; 8.4] 63 (47.4) | 0.89 [0.62; 1.27] 0.506 |
| Pain | | | | | |
| | 137 | 5.2 [3.5; 12.3] 66 (48.2) | 133 | 4.6 [2.9; 5.8] 66 (49.6) | 0.79 [0.56; 1.13] 0.195 |

| Saliva swallowin | g | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | 137 | 25.8 [4,9; n.c.] 53 (38,7) | 133 | 5.5 [4,0; n.c.] 59 (44,4) | 0.72 [0.49; 1.06] 0.093 |
| Choking | | | | | |
| | 137 | 12.3 [8,9; n.c.] 46 (33,6) | 133 | 5.5 [3.9; 10.1] 56 (42.1) | 0.53 [0.35; 0.80] 0.003 AD: + 6.8 months |
| Dry mouth | | | <u> </u> | | · |
| | 137 | 4.0 [2.1; 8.1] 74 (54.0) | 133 | 3.0 [2.3; 6.7] 69 (51.9) | 1.03 [0.74; 1.44] 0.846 |
| Sense of taste | | | | | |
| | 137 | 4.0 [2.4; 10.2] 70 (51.1) | 133 | 4.2 [3.0; 5.5] 63 (47.4) | 1.07 [0.76; 1.51] 0.686 |
| Cough | | | • | | |
| | 137 | n.a. [8.6; n.c.] 45 (32.8) | 133 | 7.8 [5,3; n.c.] 49 (36,8) | 0.73 [0.48; 1.10] 0.131 |
| Speaking | | | | | |
| | 137 | 25.3 [11,1; n.c.] 45 (32,8) | 133 | 10.1 [5,5; n.c.] 46 (34,6) | 0.83 [0.54; 1.26] 0.384 |
| Dysphagia ^e | | | | | |
| | 137 | 2.8 [1.6; 3.8] 79 (57.7) | 133 | 3.0 [2.3; 3.7] 81 (60.9) | 0.92 [0.67; 1.26] 0.593 |
| Health status (E | Q-5D VAS) | time to first deteri | ioration ^e | | |
| ≥ 7 points | | | | | |
| | 139 | 2.7 [2.0; 3.5] 96 (69.1) | 134 | 2.8 [2.1; 3.5] 88 (65.7) | 1.08 [0.80; 1.44] 0.626 |
| ≥ 10 points | | | | | |
| | 139 | 2.8 [2.1; 3.9] 93 (66.9) | 134 | 2.9 [2.2; 3.6] 85 (63.4) | 1.03 [0.76; 1.38] 0.857 |

Health-related quality of life

| Endpoint | | Pembrolizumab + latin + 5-fluorouracil | cisp | Placebo + latin + 5-fluorouracil | Intervention vs control |
|---------------------|------|---|------|---|--|
| | N | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | Ν | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | difference (AD) ^b |
| Quality of life EOR | | Q-C30 ^f | | | |
| Global health state | JS | | | | |
| | 138 | 3.2 [2.1; 4.2] 82 (59.4) | 136 | 3.4 [2.1; 3.7] 81 (59.6) | 0.97 [0.72; 1.33] 0.868 |
| Physical functionir | ng | | | | |
| | 138 | 3.6 [2.8; 4.4] 83 (60.1) | 136 | 2.9 [2.5; 3.6] 82 (60.3) | 0.89 [0.65; 1.22] 0.474 |
| Role functioning | | | | | |
| | 138 | 2.4 [1.4; 3.6] 89 (64.5) | 136 | 2.3 [2.1; 3.0] 85 (62.5) | 1.03 [0.76; 1.39] 0.868 |
| Emotional function | ning | | | | |
| | 138 | 11.8 [7,2; n.c.] 53 (38,4) | 136 | 5.5 [3.7; 8.4] 63 (46.3) | 0.68 [0.47; 0.99] 0.045 AD: + 6.3 months |
| Cognitive function | ing | | | | |
| | 138 | 3.3 [2.7; 4.6] 79 (57.2) | 136 | 3.7 [2.8; 4.9] 78 (57.4) | 0.92 [0.67; 1.27] 0.609 |
| Social functioning | | | | | |
| | 138 | 4.4 [3.0; 5.7] 76 (55.1) | 136 | 3.2 [2.3; 5.2] 72 (52.9) | 0.84 [0.61; 1.17] 0.312 |

Side effects

| Endpoint | | Pembrolizumab + latin + 5-fluorouracil | cisp | Placebo + latin + 5-fluorouracil | Intervention vs control |
|---------------------|----------|---|------|---|--|
| | N | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | Ν | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^g |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | |
| Adverse events (pi | resente | ed additionally) | | | |
| | 143 | 0.4 [0.3; 0.4] 143 (100.0) | 140 | 0.4 [0.4; 0.6] 140 (100.0) | - |
| Serious adverse ev | vents (S | AE) | | | |
| | 143 | 35.6 [16.4; 62.1] 78 (54.5) | 140 | 25.7 [16.7; 48.0] 79 (56.4) | 0.87 [0.64; 1.20] 0.405 |
| Severe adverse eve | ents (C | TCAE grade ≥ 3) | | | |
| | 143 | 4.4 [3.1; 6.3] 126 (88.1) | 140 | 5.0 [3.3; 8.9] 119 (85.0) | 1.01 [0.78; 1.30] 0.952 |
| Therapy discontine | uation | due to adverse events | 1 | | |
| | 143 | n.a. 36 (25.2) | 140 | n.a. [46,4; n.c.] 37 (26,4) | 0.88 [0.55; 1.39] 0.571 |
| Specific adverse ev | vents | | | | |
| Immune-mediated | SAEs (I | PT collection) ^h | | | |
| | 143 | n.a. 12 (8.4) | 140 | n.a. 2 (1.4) | 5.36 [1.20; 24.00] 0.028 |
| Immune-mediated | severe | AEs (PT collection) ^h | T | | |
| | 143 | n.a. <i>12 (8</i> .4) | 140 | n.a. 3 (2.1) | 3.30 [0.93; 11.77] 0.065 |

| Other specific AEs | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders (SOC, AEs) | 143 | n.a. [55.6; n.c.] 27 (18.9) | 140 | 53.1 [34.1; n.c.] 44 (31.4) | 0.41 [0.25; 0.67] < 0.001 |
| General disorders and administration site conditions (SOC, SAEs) | 143 | n.a. 2 (1.4) | 140 | n.a. 15 (10.7) | 0.11 [0.02; 0.47] 0.003 |
| Thrombocytope nia (PT, severe AEs) | 143 | n.a. 3 (2.1) | 140 | n.a. 11 (7.9) | 0.25 [0.07; 0.90] 0.033 |
| Weight loss (PT, severe AEs) | 143 | n.a. 1 (0.7) | 140 | n.a. 9 (6.4) | 0.07 [0.01; 0.58] 0.013 |

a. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model stratified by region (Asia vs rest of the world) and ECOG-PS (0 vs 1) with associated p value from two-sided Wald test

b. Indication of absolute difference (AD) only in case of statistically significant difference; own calculation

c. Data from the dossier of the pharmaceutical company (Module 4 A) of 12 November 2021

d. An increase in score by ≥ 10 points compared to baseline is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)

e. A decrease in the score by 7 or 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)

 f. A decrease in score by ≥ 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)

g. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model, unstratified with associated p value from two-sided Wald test

h. Predefined list of PTs under continuous update (version 18)

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ECOG-PS = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; EQ-5D = European Quality of Life Questionnaire - 5 Dimensions; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PT = preferred term QLQ-C30 = Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30; QLQ-OES18 = Quality of Life Questionnaire - Oesophageal Cancer 18 items; SOC = system organ class; AE = adverse event; VAS = visual analogue scale; vs = versus b1) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-negative adenocarcinoma of the</u> <u>oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction which cannot be treated curatively and</u> <u>whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

| Endpoint category | Direction of effect/ risk of bias | Summary |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Mortality | \leftrightarrow | No relevant difference for the benefit |
| | | assessment. |
| Morbidity | \leftrightarrow | No relevant differences for the benefit |
| | | assessment. |
| Health-related quality | \leftrightarrow | No relevant differences for the benefit |
| of life | | assessment. |
| Side effects | \downarrow | Disadvantage in therapy discontinuations due |
| | | to adverse events; in detail, a disadvantage in a |
| | | specific AE |

Explanations:

 $\uparrow:$ statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\psi\colon$ statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow\uparrow$: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

 \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

KEYNOTE 590:

Comparison: Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil vs placebo + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil

Study design: RCT, double-blind, ongoing

Data cut-off: 2 July 2020

Relevant sub-population: Patients with a denocarcinoma of the oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction whose tumours express PD-L1 (CPS \ge 10)

KEYNOTE 062:

Comparison: Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine vs placebo + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine vs pembrolizumab (monotherapy, not relevant for the assessment)

Study design: RCT, double-blind (for the relevant sub-population)

Data cut-off of 26 March 2019

Relevant sub-population: Patients with adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction whose tumours express PD-L1 (CPS \ge 10)

Mortality

| Endpoint | Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine | | cispl | Placebo + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine | Intervention vs control |
|--------------------|--|---|-------|---|--|
| | N | Median survival time in months [95% CI] | Ν | Median survival time in months [95% CI] | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | |
| Overall survival | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 43 | 12.1 [9.6; 18.7] 30 (69.8) | 54 | 10.7 [8.2; 15.3] 44 (81.5) | 0.83 [0.52; 1.34] 0.447ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 30 | 11.8 [9.1; 17.2] 24 (80.0) | 20 | 10.4 [6.5; 18.5] 16 (80.0) | 0.95 [0.50; 1.78] 0.866 ^b |
| Total ^c | 0.87 [0.60; 1.27] 0.476 | | | | |

Morbidity

| Endpoint | Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine | | cispl | Placebo + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine | Intervention vs control |
|--------------------|--|---|-------|---|---|
| | Ν | Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Ν | Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%) | Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value Absolute Difference (AD) ^d |
| Progression-free s | urviva | l (PFS) ^e | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 43 | 8.0 [6.0; 8.3] 31 (72.1) | 54 | 6.0 [4.1; 6.2] 47 (87.0) | 0.49 [0.30; 0.81] 0.006 ^f AD: + 2.0 months |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 30 | 5.6 [4.4; 8.3] 26 (86.7) | 20 | 6.3 [2.7; 9.9] 19 (95.0) | 0.84 [0.46; 1.54] 0.579 ^b |

| Symptomatology | y (EORTC C | QLQ-C30) time to fi | rst deterio | oration ^g | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Fatigue | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 1.6 [1.0; 4.3] 28 (68.3) | 49 | 2.0 [1.0; 2.8] 34 (69.4) | 0.88 [0.53; 1.46]; 0.627ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 1.4 [1.0; 2.3] 24 (85.7) | 20 | 0.8 [0.7; 3.0] 15 (75.0) | 0.84 [0.44; 1.61] 0.597 ⁶ |
| Total ^c | | | | | 0.86 [0.58; 1.29] 0.475 |
| Nausea and vom | iting | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 2.1 [1.4; 7.0] 26 (63.4) | 49 | 2.3 [1.4; 4.1] 30 (61.2) | 0.91 [0.53; 1.54] 0.712ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 1.9 [0.8; 5.3] 19 (67.9) | 20 | 1.4 [0.7; 1.6] 17 (85.0) | 0.56 [0.29; 1.08] 0.085 ^b |
| Total ^c | 0.75 [0.50; 1.14] 0.174 | | | | |
| Pain | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 3.3 [2.4; 14.1] 25 (61.0) | 49 | 4.1 [1,9; n.c.] 22 (44,9) | 1.11 [0.62; 2.01] 0.723ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 6.5 [2.4; 8.8] 16 (57.1) | 20 | 3.3 [1.5; n.c.] 12 (60.0) | 0.80 [0.38; 1.69] 0.551 ^b |
| Total ^c | | | <u> </u> | | 0.98 [0.62; 1.55] 0.929 |
| Dyspnoea | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 8.3 [3,2; n.c.] 19 (46,3) | 49 | 5.1 [3.0; 12.0] 25 (51.0) | 0.96 [0.51; 1.78] 0.887ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 8.6 [4.4; n.c.] 12 (42.9) | 20 | 2.6 [0.8; 6.0] 13 (65.0) | 0.43 [0.19; 0.94] 0.035 ^b AD = + 6.0 months |
| Total ^c | | | | | 0.71 [0.43; 1.16] 0.169 |

| Insomnia | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|--|
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | n.a. [7.0; n.c.] 15 (36.6) | 49 | 4.6 [2.8; 12.9] 24 (49.0) | 0.65 [0.34; 1.26] 0.204ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | n.a. [2.7; n.c.] 1 (39.3) | 20 | 6.0 [0.7; n.c.] 10 (50.0) | 0.64 [0.27; 1.52] 0.315 ^b |
| Appetite loss | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 2.7 [1.3; 14.9] 24 (58.5) | 49 | 3.0 [1.4; 4.1] 30 (61.2) | 0.83 [0.48; 1.44] 0.513ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 5.8 [1.4; 10.2] 18 (64.3) | 20 | 3.4 [1.5; 6.0] 13 (65.0) | 0.65 [0.31; 1.37] 0.257 ^b |
| Total ^c | | | | | 0.76 [0.49; 1.18] 0.226 |
| Constipation | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 3.0 [1,4; n.c.] 22 (53,7) | 49 | 3.5 [2,1; n.c.] 25 (51,0) | 1.00 [0.56; 1.79] 0.993ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 3.0 [1.4; n.c.] 15 (53.6) | 20 | 3.2 [1.4; 6.1] 14 (70.0) | 0.76 [0.36; 1.57] 0.454 ^b |
| Total ^c | | | | | 0.90 [0.57; 1.42] 0.651 |
| Diarrhoea | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 3.0 [1.3; 10.6] 24 (58.5) | 49 | 4.1 [1,8; n.c.] 23 (46,9) | 1.17 [0.65; 2.11] 0.591ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 4.4 [1.4; n.c.] 15 (53.6) | 20 | n.a. [0.7; n.c.] 9 (45.0) | 1.04 [0.45; 2.38] 0.924 ^b |
| Total ^c | · · · | | · · | | 1.12 [0.70; 1.82] 0.631 |

| Symptomatology | y (EORTC C | QLQ-OES18) ^g | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Eating | | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 5.3 [3.2; n.c.] 21 (51.2) | 47 | 4.4 [3,0; n.c.] 23 (48,9) | 0.88 [0.48; 1.60] 0.669ª | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | | Instrumer | nt not assessed | | |
| Reflux | | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 12.7 [2,3; n.c.] 18 (43,9) | 47 | 2.6 [1.4; 10.2] 28 (59.6) | 0.50 [0.27; 0.92] 0.026 ^a AD: + 10.1 months | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | | Instrumer | nt not assessed | · · | |
| Pain | | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 3.9 [2.9; 14.9] 22 (53.7) | 47 | 4.4 [3.1; 8.0] 27 (57.4) | 0.94 [0.53; 1.66] 0.827ª | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | | Instrumer | nt not assessed | | |
| Saliva swallowing | 5 | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 8.3 [2,8; n.c.] 19 (46,3) | 47 | 5.1 [2,6; n.c.] 21 (44,7) | 0.93 [0.50; 1.75] 0.823ª | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | | Instrumer | nt not assessed | | |
| Choking | - | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 5.6 [2,6; n.c.] 20 (48,8) | 47 | 12.2 [4,2; n.c.] 16 (34,0) | 1.71 [0.86; 3.41] 0.124ª | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | | Instrumer | nt not assessed | | |
| Dry mouth | - | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 1.7 [1.4; 3.5] 28 (68.3) | 47 | 3.4 [1,6; n.c.] 23 (48,9) | 1.81 [1.00; 3.27] 0.048 ^a AD: - 1.7 months | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | Instrument not assessed | | | | |
| Sense of taste | I | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 1.4 [1.3; 3.0] 28 (68.3) | 47 | 2.0 [1.4; 2.8] 35 (74.5) | 0.87 [0.52; 1.44] 0.576ª | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | | Instrumer | nt not assessed | | |

| Cough | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 4.7 [2,7; n.c.] 19 (46,3) | 47 | 7.7 [4,2; n.c.] 19 (40,4) | 1.32 [0.70; 2.52] 0.393ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | | Instrumen | t not assessed | |
| Speaking | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 24.3 [2,8; n.c.] 15 (36,6) | 47 | n.a. [4,7; n.c.] 13 (27,7) | 1.33 [0.62; 2.84] 0.461ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | | Instrumen | t not assessed | • |
| Dysphagia | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 3.7 [1,6; n.c.] 22 (53,7) | 47 | 3.5 [2,1; n.c.] 24 (51,1) | 0.98 [0.55; 1.76] 0.942ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | | | Instrumen | t not assessed | |
| Health status (E | Q-5D VAS) | time to first deteri | oration ^h | | |
| ≥ 7 points | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 4.8 [3.2; 9.3] 24 (58.5) | 49 | 4.5 [2.8; 8.1] 27 (55.1) | 0.83 [0.47; 1.48] 0.529ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 29 | 2.3 [1.0; 8.3] 21 (72.4) | 20 | 2.8 [0.8; 6.1] 14 (70.0) | 1.02 [0.51; 2.00] 0.966 ^b |
| Total ^c | | | | | 0.90 [0.58; 1.40] 0.652 |
| ≥ 10 points | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 7.8 [3.6; 13.8] 22 (53.7) | 49 | 4.9 [3.0; 8.1] 27 (55.1) | 0.78 [0.43; 1.41] 0.410ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 29 | 2.4 [1.4; 8.3] 21 (72.4) | 20 | 3.0 [1.9; n.c.] 11 (55.0) | 1.38 [0.66; 2.87] 0.387 ^b |
| Total ^c | · · | | | | 0.98 [0.62; 1.55] 0.922 |

Health-related quality of life

| Endpoint | | Pembrolizumab + latin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine | cispl | Placebo + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine | Intervention vs control | |
|---------------------|--------------------|--|-------|---|--|--|
| | N | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | N | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | Hazard ratio [95% Cl] p value | |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | Absolute Difference (AD) ^d | |
| EORTC QLQ-C30 ti | ime to | first deterioration ⁱ | | | | |
| Global health stat | us | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 3.7 [1.6; 7.8] 24 (58.5) | 49 | 5.6 [4.1; 12.2] 24 (49.0) | 1.14 [0.63; 2.04] 0.665ª | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 8.3 [2.4; 10.2] 16 (57.1) | 20 | 2.4 [1.4; 7.4] 13 (65.0) | 0.59 [0.28; 1.26] 0.176 ^b | |
| Total ^c | Total ^c | | | | | |
| Physical functionin | ng | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 4.1 [1.4; 10.9] 25 (61.0) | 49 | 3.7 [2.8; 8.0] 29 (59.2) | 1.16 [0.66; 2.02] 0.608ª | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 4.2 | 20 | 1.4 | 0.60 | |
| | | [1.4; 5.9] 21 (75.0) | | [0.8; 2.2] 15 (75.0) | [0.31; 1.17] 0.136 ^b | |
| Total ^c | | | | | 0.88 [0.58; 1.35] 0.566 | |
| Role functioning | | | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 3.0 [1.2; 5.5] 28 (68.3) | 49 | 2.8 [1.2; 8.0] 29 (59.2) | 1.05 [0.61; 1.81] 0.847ª | |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 2.1 [1.4; 5.1] 23 (82.1) | 20 | 2.2 [0.7; n.c.] 13 (65.0) | 1.10 [0.56; 2.17] 0.785 ^b | |
| Total ^c | | · | | | 1.07 [0.70; 1.63] 0.757 | |

| Emotional function | oning | | | | - |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 3.3 [1.6; 14.1] 24 (58.5) | 49 | 8.0 [4.2; 17.1] 22 (44.9) | 1.34 [0.73; 2.44] 0.342ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 5.9 [1.4; n.c.] 15 (53.6) | 20 | 6.1 [1.4; n.c.] 8 (40.0) | 1.21 [0.51; 2.85] 0.670 ^b |
| Total ^c | 1.30 [0.79; 2.12] 0.304 | | | | |
| Cognitive functio | oning | | | | · |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 2.8 [1.6; 4.3] 27 (65.9) | 49 | 3.7 [2.3; 5.3] 31 (63.3) | 0.94 [0.55; 1.61] 0.832ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 3.4 [1.4; 9.7] 17 (60.7) | 20 | 1.5 [0.7; n.c.] 12 (60.0) | 0.75 [0.35; 1.57] 0.442 ^b |
| Total ^c | | | | | 0.87 [0.56; 1.35] 0.535 |
| Social functioning | g | | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 41 | 3.2 [1.6; 7.1] 25 (61.0) | 49 | 3.7 [1.6; 4.2] 28 (57.1) | 0.94 [0.54; 1.62] 0.811ª |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 28 | 4.4 [1.6; n.c.] 16 (57.1) | 20 | 1.9 [1.0; 4.7] 15 (75.0) | 0.62 [0.31; 1.27] 0.191 ^b |
| Total ^c | | | · · · | | 0.80 [0.52; 1.24] 0.322 |
| | | | | | (|

Side effects

| Endpoint | | Pembrolizumab + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine | cispl | Placebo + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine | Intervention vs control |
|--------------------|-----------|---|-------|---|--|
| | N | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | N | Median time to event in months [95% CI] | Effect estimator [95% CI] p value |
| | | Patients with event n (%) | | Patients with event n (%) | Absolute Difference (AD) ^d |
| Adverse events (p | oresente | d additionally) | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 42 | 0.4 [0.3; 0.4] 42 (100.0) | 53 | 0.3 [0.3; 0.7] 52 (98.1) | _ |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 30 | 0.3 [0.3; 0.6] 30 (100.0) | | | _ |
| Serious adverse e | events (S | AE) | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 42 | 15.6 [8.0; 27.9] 28 (66.7) | 53 | 31.1 [17.1; 60.3] 30 (56.6) | 1.34 [0.80; 2.26] 0.266 ^b |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 30 | 11.6 [2.1; n.c.] 19 (63.3) | 20 | 36.7 [5.6; n.c.] 9 (45.0) | 1.64 [0.74; 3.64] 0.220 ^b |
| Total ^c | | | | | 1.42 [0.92; 2.20] 0.112 |
| Severe adverse e | vents (C | TCAE grade ≥ 3) | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 42 | 4.7 [2.4; 7.4] 37 (88.1) | 53 | 6.3 [3.9; 11.6] 44 (83.0) | 1.14 [0.73; 1.77] 0.567 ^b |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 30 | 5.4 [3.0; 9.0] 26 (86.7) | 20 | 5.6 [1.1; 29.4] 15 (75.0) | 1.31 [0.69; 2.49] 0.407 ^b |
| Total ^c | | | | | 1.19 [0.83; 1.72] 0.344 |

| Therapy disconti | nuation d | ue to adverse even | ts | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|----------------------------------|--|
| KEYNOTE 590 | 42 | n.a. 10 (23.8) | 53 | n.a. 3 (5.7) | 4.35 [1.20; 15.82] 0.025 ^b |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 30 | n.a. [20,0; n.c.] 11 (36,7) | 20 | n.a. [21,1; n.c.] 4 (20,0) | 1.83 [0.58; 5.74] 0.303 ^b |
| Total ^c | 2.68 [1.14; 6.32] 0.024 | | | | |
| Specific adverse | events | | | | |
| Immune-mediate | ed SAEs (P | ۲ collection) ^j | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 42 | n.a. 3 (7.1) | 53 | n.a. 1 (1.9) | 3.88 [0.40; 37.33] 0.240 ^b |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 30 | n.a. 2 (6.7) | 20 | n.a. 1 (5.0) | 1.19 [0.11; 13.20] 0.886 ^b |
| Total ^c | 2.22 [0.43; 11.51] 0.343 | | | | |
| Immune-mediate | ed severe A | AEs (PT collection) ^j | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 42 | n.a. 3 (7.1) | 53 | n.a. 1 (1.9) | 3.59 [0.37; 34.57] 0.268 ^b |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 30 | n.a. 2 (6.7) | 20 | n.a. 1 (5.0) | 1.03 [0.09; 11.48] 0.981 ^b |
| Total ^c | 2.00 [0.38; 10.50] 0.411 | | | | |
| Endocrine disord | ers (AE, SA | AE) ^k | | | |
| KEYNOTE 590 | 42 | n.a. 8 (19.0) | 53 | n.a. 2 (3.8) | RR: 5.05 [1.13; 22.52] 0.034 ^{I,m} |
| KEYNOTE 062 | 30 | n.a. 5 (16.7) | 20 | n.a. 0 (0) | RR: 7.45 [0.43; 127.74] 0.062 ^{l,n} |
| Total ^o | | | | | RR: 5.65 [1.48; 21.58] 0.011 |

- a. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model stratified by region (Asia vs rest of the world) and ECOG-PS (0 vs 1) with associated p value from two-sided Wald test
- b. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model, unstratified with associated p value from two-sided Wald test
- c. Fixed-effect meta-analysis (inverse variance method)
- d. Indication of absolute difference (AD) only in case of statistically significant difference; own calculation
- e. Data from the dossier of the pharmaceutical company (Module 4 A) of 12 November 2021
- f. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model with treatment as covariate, stratified by region (Asia vs rest of the world) and ECOG-PS (0 vs 1) with associated p value from two-sided Wald test
- g. An increase in score by ≥ 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)
- h. A decrease in the score by 7 or 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)
- i. A decrease in score by ≥ 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)
- j. Predefined list of PTs under continuous update (version 18)
- k. The main underlying events are hyperthyroidism (KEYNOTE 590 study) and hypothyroidism (KEYNOTE 062 study). No information is available on how many of these events were CTCAE grade 1 and thus, not symptomatic
- I. Confidence interval (asymptomatic); p value (unconditional exact test; CSZ method according to Martín Andrés & Silva Mato, 1994)
- m. KEYNOTE 590: HR 4.96 [1.05; 23.35], p value 0.043; the RR is used provisionally for the meta-analytic summary.
- n. KEYNOTE 062: p value 0.091 (based on score test statistics)
- o. Fixed-effect meta-analysis (Mantel-Haenszel method)

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ECOG-PS = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; EORTC = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer; EQ-5D = European Quality of Life Questionnaire - 5 Dimensions; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PT = preferred term; QLQ-C30 = Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30; QLQ-OES18 = Quality of Life Questionnaire - Oesophageal Cancer 18 items; RR = relative risk; SOC = system organ class; AE = adverse event; VAS = visual analogue scale; vs = versus b2) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-positive adenocarcinoma of the</u> <u>oesophagus which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1</u> (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

No data are available to allow an assessment of the additional benefit.

| Endpoint category | Direction of effect/ | Summary | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | risk of bias | | | | |
| Mortality | Ø | No data available. | | | |
| Morbidity | Ø | No data available. | | | |
| Health-related quality | Ø | No data available. | | | |
| of life | | | | | |
| Side effects | Ø No data available. | | | | |
| Explanations: | | | | | |
| ↑: statistically significant a | and relevant positive effect | with low/unclear reliability of data | | | |
| \downarrow : statistically significant a | and relevant negative effect | t with low/unclear reliability of data | | | |
| $\uparrow\uparrow$: statistically significan | t and relevant positive effe | ect with high reliability of data | | | |
| $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data | | | | | |
| ↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference | | | | | |
| arnothing: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment. | | | | | |
| n.a.: not assessable | | | | | |

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

a) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus</u> which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive <u>Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

approx. 170 - 280 patients

b1) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-negative adenocarcinoma of the</u> <u>oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction which cannot be treated curatively and</u> <u>whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

approx. 345 – 475 patients

b2) Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-positive adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

approx. 20 – 50 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Keytruda (active ingredient: pembrolizumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 16 February 2022):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/keytruda-epar-productinformation en.pdf

Treatment with pembrolizumab should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology and oncology as well as specialists in internal medicine and gastroenterology and other specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement, all of whom are experienced in the treatment of patients with oesophageal cancer.

In accordance with the EMA requirements regarding additional risk minimisation measures, the pharmaceutical company must provide training material that contains information for medical professionals and patients. The training material contains, in particular, instructions on the management of immune-mediated side effects potentially occurring with pembrolizumab as well as on infusion-related reactions.

4. Treatment costs

a) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus</u> which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive <u>Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

Annual treatment costs:

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/ patient | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Medicinal product to be assessed: | | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil | | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | € 99,714.53 | | | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,284.10 | | | | |
| 5-fluorouracil | € 2,514.30 | | | | |
| Total | € 104,512.93 | | | | |
| Additionally required SHI services | € 328.58 - € 421.62 | | | | |
| Appropriate comparator therapy: | | | | | |
| Cisplatin in combination with 5-fluorouracil | | | | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,284.10 | | | | |
| 5-fluorouracil | € 2,514.30 | | | | |
| Total | € 4,798.40 | | | | |
| Additionally required SHI services | € 328.58 - € 421.62 | | | | |

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 April 2022)

Other SHI services:

| Designation of the therapy | Type of service | Costs/ unit | Number/ cycle | Number/ patient/ year | Costs/ patient/ year |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Medicinal product | to be assessed | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab in | combination with cis | platin and 5-flu | orouracil | | |
| Pembrolizumab | Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies | €71 | 1 | 8.7 - 17.4 | € 617.70 - € 1,235.40 |
| Cisplatin | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 |
| 5-fluorouracil | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 5 | 87 | € 7,047.00 |
| Appropriate comp | arator therapy | | | | |
| Cisplatin in combi | nation with 5-fluorour | acil | | | |
| Cisplatin | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 |
| 5-fluorouracil | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 5 | 87 | € 7,047.00 |

b1) Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-negative adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

Annual treatment costs:

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/ patient | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Medicinal product to be assessed: | 1 | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil | | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | € 99,714.53 | | | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,284.10 | | | | |
| 5-fluorouracil | € 2,514.30 | | | | |
| Total | € 104,512.93 | | | | |
| Additionally required SHI services | € 328.58 - € 421.62 | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin | and capecitabine | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | € 99,714.53 | | | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,284.10 | | | | |
| Capecitabine | € 2,089.64 | | | | |
| Total | € 104,088.27 | | | | |
| Additionally required SHI services | € 328.58 - € 421.62 | | | | |
| Appropriate comparator therapy: | | | | | |
| Therapy according to doctor's instructions - Cisplatin in combination with 5-fluor | ouracil ² | | | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,284.10 | | | | |
| 5-fluorouracil | € 2,514.30 | | | | |
| Total | € 4,798.40 | | | | |
| Additionally required SHI services | € 328.58 - € 421.62 | | | | |
| - Docetaxel in combination with cispla | tin and 5-fluorouracil ² | | | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,015.79 | | | | |
| Docetaxel | € 13,742.35 | | | | |
| 5-fluorouracil | € 2,312.46 | | | | |
| Total | € 18,070.60 | | | | |
| Additionally required SHI services | € 328.58 - € 421.62 | | | | |

² Costs are only shown for the active ingredients cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil and docetaxel. In addition to these, the following medicinal product combinations S-1 (tegafur/ gimeracil/ oteracil) + cisplatin, capecitabine + cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil + oxaliplatin + folinic acid [FLO and FOLFOX], capecitabine + oxaliplatin, infusional 5-fluorouracil + folinic acid + cisplatin [PLF], epirubicin + cisplatin + capecitabine [ECX], epirubicin + oxaliplatin + capecitabine [EOX], epirubicin + cisplatin + infusional 5-fluorouracil + cisplatin + infusional 5-fluorouracil [ECF], 5-fluorouracil + oxaliplatin + epirubicin, infusional 5-fluorouracil + folinic acid + oxaliplatin + docetaxel [FLOT regimen] are also suitable comparators for the present benefit assessment in the context of a therapy according to doctor's instructions. These medicinal product combinations contain active ingredients that are not approved in the present therapeutic indication, and therefore, no costs are presented for these medicinal products.

Other SHI services:

| Designation of the therapy | Type of service | Costs/ unit | Number/ cycle | Number/ Patient/ year | Costs/ patient/ year | | |
|--|---|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Medicinal product | Medicinal product to be assessed | | | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil | | | | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies | €71 | 1 | 8.7 - 17.4 | € 617.70 - € 1,235.40 | | |
| Cisplatin | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 | | |
| 5-fluorouracil | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 5 | 87 | € 7047.00 | | |
| Pembrolizumab in | combination with cis | platin and cape | citabine | | • | | |
| Pembrolizumab | Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies | €71 | 1 | 8.7 - 17.4 | € 617.70 - € 1,235.40 | | |
| Cisplatin | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 | | |
| Appropriate comparator therapy: | | | | | | | |
| Cisplatin in combination with 5-fluorouracil | | | | | | | |
| Cisplatin | Surcharge for production of a | €81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 | | |

| | parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | | | | |
|--|--|-----|---|------|------------|
| 5-fluorouracil | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 5 | 87 | € 7047.00 |
| Docetaxel in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil | | | | | |
| Cisplatin | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 |
| Docetaxel | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1,409.40 |
| 5-fluorouracil | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 5 | 87 | € 7,047.00 |

b2) Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-positive adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

Annual treatment costs:

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/ patient | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Medicinal product to be assessed: | | | |
| Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | € 99,714.53 | | |
| Cisplatin | € 2,284.10 | | |
| 5-fluorouracil | € 2,514.30 | | |
| Total | € 104,512.93 | | |

| Designation of the therapy | Annual treatment costs/ patient | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Additionally required SHI services | € 328.58 - € 421.62 | | | |
| Appropriate comparator therapy: | | | | |
| HER2-targeted therapy according to doctor's instructions ³ | | | | |

Other SHI services:

| Designation of the therapy | Type of service | Costs/ unit | Number/ cycle | Number/ patient/ year | Costs/ patient/ year |
|--|---|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Medicinal product to be assessed | | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil | | | | | |
| Pembrolizumab | Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies | €71 | 1 | 8.7 - 17.4 | € 617.70 - € 1235.40 |
| Cisplatin | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 1 | 17.4 | € 1409.40 |
| 5-fluorouracil | Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents | €81 | 5 | 87 | € 7047.00 |

³ The medicinal product combinations trastuzumab + cisplatin + capecitabine and trastuzumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil are suitable comparators for the present benefit assessment in the context of HER2-targeted therapy according to doctor's instructions. All medicinal therapies that represent a suitable comparator for the present benefit assessment in the context of HER2-targeted therapy according to a doctor's instructions are not approved in the present therapeutic indication, which is why no costs are presented for these medicinal products.