

Pembrolizumab (new therapeutic indication: oesophageal or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma, PD-L1 expression \geq 10 (CPS), first-line, combination with platinum and fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy)

Valid until: unlimited

Resolution of: 5 May 2022 Entry into force on: 5 May 2022 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 27 05 2022 B2

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 24 June 2021):

KEYTRUDA, in combination with platinum and fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of locally advanced unresectable or metastatic carcinoma of the oesophagus or HER2-negative gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma, in adults whose tumours express PD-L1 with a CPS \geq 10.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 5 May 2022):

See new therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

a) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus</u> which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive <u>Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Cisplatin in combination with 5-fluorouracil

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil compared with cisplatin in combination with 5-fluorouracil:

Indication of a considerable additional benefit

b1) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-negative adenocarcinoma of the</u> <u>oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction which cannot be treated curatively and</u> <u>whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- Therapy according to doctor's instructions

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

An additional benefit is not proven.

b2) Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-positive adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

- HER2-targeted therapy according to doctor's instructions

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of Pembrolizumab in combination with platinum and fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy compared with the appropriate comparator therapy:

An additional benefit is not proven.

Study results according to endpoints:¹

a) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus</u> which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive <u>Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	$\uparrow\uparrow$	Advantage in overall survival
Morbidity	个 个	Advantages in the symptom scales of dyspnoea, choking and pain
Health-related quality of life	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessment
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit assessment, in detail, mostly advantages in the specific AEs

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Explanations:

 $\uparrow:$ statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 \downarrow : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow\uparrow$: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

 \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

KEYNOTE 590:

Comparison: Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil vs placebo + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil

Study design: RCT, double-blind, ongoing

Data cut-off: 2 July 2020

Relevant sub-population: Patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus whose tumours express PD-L1 (CPS \ge 10)

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A21-144) and from the addendum (A22-37), unless otherwise indicated.

Mortality

Endpoint	Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil		Placebo + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil		Intervention vs control
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Overall survival					
	143	13.9 [11.1; 17.7] 94 (65.7)	143	8.8 [7.8; 10.5] 121 (84.6)	0.57 [0.43; 0.75] < 0.001 AD = + 5.1 months

Morbidity

Endpoint		Pembrolizumab + latin + 5-fluorouracil	cisp	Placebo + latin + 5-fluorouracil	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p valueª
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^b
Progression-free s	urviva	l (PFS)°			
	143	7.3 [6.2; 8.2] 109 (76.2)	143	5.4 [4.2; 6.0] 127 (88.8)	0.53 [0.40; 0.69] < 0.001 AD: + 1.9 months
Symptomatology	EORT	C QLQ-C30) ^d			
Fatigue					
	138	1.7 [1.0; 2.6] 97 (70.3)	136	1.4 [1.3; 2.1] 100 (73.5)	0.87 [0.65; 1.15] 0.318
Nausea and vomiti	ng				
	138	3.1 [2.1; 4.2] 83 (60.1)	136	2.2 [1.8; 3.1] 84 (61.8)	0.79 [0.58; 1.08] 0.140

Pain					
	138	6.6 [4.1; 8.4] 71 (51.4)	136	3.2 [2.4; 3.8] 87 (64.0)	0.60 [0.44; 0.84] 0.002 AD: + 3.4 months
Dyspnoea					
	138	25.3 [7,2; n.c.] 49 (35,5)	136	3.7 [2.9; 5.8] 71 (52.2)	0.50 [0.35; 0.74] < 0.001 AD: + 21.6 months
Insomnia					
	138	4.5 [3.0; 25.3] 67 (48.6)	136	4.9 [3.7; 7.4] 61 (44.9)	1.01 [0.71; 1.43] 0.969
Appetite loss					
	138	3.5 [2.7; 4.9] 81 (58.7)	136	2.9 [2.1; 3.7] 81 (59.6)	0.81 [0.59; 1.12] 0.202
Constipation					
	138	5.2 [3,8; n.c.] 60 (43,5)	136	4.4 [3.0; 7.1] 67 (49.3)	0.81 [0.57; 1.15] 0.228
Diarrhoea			I		
	138	12.2 [3,3; n.c.] 57 (41,3)	136	n.a. [5,7; n.c.] 43 (31,6)	1.23 [0.83; 1.84] 0.308
Symptomatol	ogy (EORTC C	LQ-OES18) ^d			
Eating					
	137	7.2 [3.9; 11.2] 67 (48.9)	133	3.5 [2.9; 5.5] 69 (51.9)	0.75 [0.53; 1.06] 0.103
Reflux ^e					
	137	7.6 [4,2; n.c.] 62 (45,3)	133	5.0 [3.4; 8.4] 63 (47.4)	0.89 [0.62; 1.27] 0.506
Pain					
	137	5.2 [3.5; 12.3] 66 (48.2)	133	4.6 [2.9; 5.8] 66 (49.6)	0.79 [0.56; 1.13] 0.195

Saliva swallowin	g				
	137	25.8 [4,9; n.c.] 53 (38,7)	133	5.5 [4,0; n.c.] 59 (44,4)	0.72 [0.49; 1.06] 0.093
Choking					
	137	12.3 [8,9; n.c.] 46 (33,6)	133	5.5 [3.9; 10.1] 56 (42.1)	0.53 [0.35; 0.80] 0.003 AD: + 6.8 months
Dry mouth			<u> </u>		·
	137	4.0 [2.1; 8.1] 74 (54.0)	133	3.0 [2.3; 6.7] 69 (51.9)	1.03 [0.74; 1.44] 0.846
Sense of taste					
	137	4.0 [2.4; 10.2] 70 (51.1)	133	4.2 [3.0; 5.5] 63 (47.4)	1.07 [0.76; 1.51] 0.686
Cough			•		
	137	n.a. [8.6; n.c.] 45 (32.8)	133	7.8 [5,3; n.c.] 49 (36,8)	0.73 [0.48; 1.10] 0.131
Speaking					
	137	25.3 [11,1; n.c.] 45 (32,8)	133	10.1 [5,5; n.c.] 46 (34,6)	0.83 [0.54; 1.26] 0.384
Dysphagia ^e					
	137	2.8 [1.6; 3.8] 79 (57.7)	133	3.0 [2.3; 3.7] 81 (60.9)	0.92 [0.67; 1.26] 0.593
Health status (E	Q-5D VAS)	time to first deteri	ioration ^e		
≥ 7 points					
	139	2.7 [2.0; 3.5] 96 (69.1)	134	2.8 [2.1; 3.5] 88 (65.7)	1.08 [0.80; 1.44] 0.626
≥ 10 points					
	139	2.8 [2.1; 3.9] 93 (66.9)	134	2.9 [2.2; 3.6] 85 (63.4)	1.03 [0.76; 1.38] 0.857

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint		Pembrolizumab + latin + 5-fluorouracil	cisp	Placebo + latin + 5-fluorouracil	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) ^b
Quality of life EOR		Q-C30 ^f			
Global health state	JS				
	138	3.2 [2.1; 4.2] 82 (59.4)	136	3.4 [2.1; 3.7] 81 (59.6)	0.97 [0.72; 1.33] 0.868
Physical functionir	ng				
	138	3.6 [2.8; 4.4] 83 (60.1)	136	2.9 [2.5; 3.6] 82 (60.3)	0.89 [0.65; 1.22] 0.474
Role functioning					
	138	2.4 [1.4; 3.6] 89 (64.5)	136	2.3 [2.1; 3.0] 85 (62.5)	1.03 [0.76; 1.39] 0.868
Emotional function	ning				
	138	11.8 [7,2; n.c.] 53 (38,4)	136	5.5 [3.7; 8.4] 63 (46.3)	0.68 [0.47; 0.99] 0.045 AD: + 6.3 months
Cognitive function	ing				
	138	3.3 [2.7; 4.6] 79 (57.2)	136	3.7 [2.8; 4.9] 78 (57.4)	0.92 [0.67; 1.27] 0.609
Social functioning					
	138	4.4 [3.0; 5.7] 76 (55.1)	136	3.2 [2.3; 5.2] 72 (52.9)	0.84 [0.61; 1.17] 0.312

Side effects

Endpoint		Pembrolizumab + latin + 5-fluorouracil	cisp	Placebo + latin + 5-fluorouracil	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value ^g
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	
Adverse events (pi	resente	ed additionally)			
	143	0.4 [0.3; 0.4] 143 (100.0)	140	0.4 [0.4; 0.6] 140 (100.0)	-
Serious adverse ev	vents (S	AE)			
	143	35.6 [16.4; 62.1] 78 (54.5)	140	25.7 [16.7; 48.0] 79 (56.4)	0.87 [0.64; 1.20] 0.405
Severe adverse eve	ents (C	TCAE grade ≥ 3)			
	143	4.4 [3.1; 6.3] 126 (88.1)	140	5.0 [3.3; 8.9] 119 (85.0)	1.01 [0.78; 1.30] 0.952
Therapy discontine	uation	due to adverse events	1		
	143	n.a. 36 (25.2)	140	n.a. [46,4; n.c.] 37 (26,4)	0.88 [0.55; 1.39] 0.571
Specific adverse ev	vents				
Immune-mediated	SAEs (I	PT collection) ^h			
	143	n.a. 12 (8.4)	140	n.a. 2 (1.4)	5.36 [1.20; 24.00] 0.028
Immune-mediated	severe	AEs (PT collection) ^h	T		
	143	n.a. <i>12 (8</i> .4)	140	n.a. 3 (2.1)	3.30 [0.93; 11.77] 0.065

Other specific AEs					
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders (SOC, AEs)	143	n.a. [55.6; n.c.] 27 (18.9)	140	53.1 [34.1; n.c.] 44 (31.4)	0.41 [0.25; 0.67] < 0.001
General disorders and administration site conditions (SOC, SAEs)	143	n.a. 2 (1.4)	140	n.a. 15 (10.7)	0.11 [0.02; 0.47] 0.003
Thrombocytope nia (PT, severe AEs)	143	n.a. 3 (2.1)	140	n.a. 11 (7.9)	0.25 [0.07; 0.90] 0.033
Weight loss (PT, severe AEs)	143	n.a. 1 (0.7)	140	n.a. 9 (6.4)	0.07 [0.01; 0.58] 0.013

a. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model stratified by region (Asia vs rest of the world) and ECOG-PS (0 vs 1) with associated p value from two-sided Wald test

b. Indication of absolute difference (AD) only in case of statistically significant difference; own calculation

c. Data from the dossier of the pharmaceutical company (Module 4 A) of 12 November 2021

d. An increase in score by ≥ 10 points compared to baseline is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)

e. A decrease in the score by 7 or 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)

 f. A decrease in score by ≥ 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)

g. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model, unstratified with associated p value from two-sided Wald test

h. Predefined list of PTs under continuous update (version 18)

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ECOG-PS = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; EQ-5D = European Quality of Life Questionnaire - 5 Dimensions; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PT = preferred term QLQ-C30 = Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30; QLQ-OES18 = Quality of Life Questionnaire - Oesophageal Cancer 18 items; SOC = system organ class; AE = adverse event; VAS = visual analogue scale; vs = versus b1) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-negative adenocarcinoma of the</u> <u>oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction which cannot be treated curatively and</u> <u>whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit
		assessment.
Morbidity	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit
		assessment.
Health-related quality	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit
of life		assessment.
Side effects	\downarrow	Disadvantage in therapy discontinuations due
		to adverse events; in detail, a disadvantage in a
		specific AE

Explanations:

 $\uparrow:$ statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\psi\colon$ statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow\uparrow$: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

 \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.a.: not assessable

KEYNOTE 590:

Comparison: Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil vs placebo + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil

Study design: RCT, double-blind, ongoing

Data cut-off: 2 July 2020

Relevant sub-population: Patients with a denocarcinoma of the oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction whose tumours express PD-L1 (CPS \ge 10)

KEYNOTE 062:

Comparison: Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine vs placebo + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine vs pembrolizumab (monotherapy, not relevant for the assessment)

Study design: RCT, double-blind (for the relevant sub-population)

Data cut-off of 26 March 2019

Relevant sub-population: Patients with adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction whose tumours express PD-L1 (CPS \ge 10)

Mortality

Endpoint	Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine		cispl	Placebo + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine	Intervention vs control
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	Ν	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	
Overall survival					
KEYNOTE 590	43	12.1 [9.6; 18.7] 30 (69.8)	54	10.7 [8.2; 15.3] 44 (81.5)	0.83 [0.52; 1.34] 0.447ª
KEYNOTE 062	30	11.8 [9.1; 17.2] 24 (80.0)	20	10.4 [6.5; 18.5] 16 (80.0)	0.95 [0.50; 1.78] 0.866 ^b
Total ^c	0.87 [0.60; 1.27] 0.476				

Morbidity

Endpoint	Pembrolizumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine		cispl	Placebo + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine	Intervention vs control
	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Ν	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Hazard ratio [95% CI] p value Absolute Difference (AD) ^d
Progression-free s	urviva	l (PFS) ^e			
KEYNOTE 590	43	8.0 [6.0; 8.3] 31 (72.1)	54	6.0 [4.1; 6.2] 47 (87.0)	0.49 [0.30; 0.81] 0.006 ^f AD: + 2.0 months
KEYNOTE 062	30	5.6 [4.4; 8.3] 26 (86.7)	20	6.3 [2.7; 9.9] 19 (95.0)	0.84 [0.46; 1.54] 0.579 ^b

Symptomatology	y (EORTC C	QLQ-C30) time to fi	rst deterio	oration ^g	
Fatigue					
KEYNOTE 590	41	1.6 [1.0; 4.3] 28 (68.3)	49	2.0 [1.0; 2.8] 34 (69.4)	0.88 [0.53; 1.46]; 0.627ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	1.4 [1.0; 2.3] 24 (85.7)	20	0.8 [0.7; 3.0] 15 (75.0)	0.84 [0.44; 1.61] 0.597 ⁶
Total ^c					0.86 [0.58; 1.29] 0.475
Nausea and vom	iting				
KEYNOTE 590	41	2.1 [1.4; 7.0] 26 (63.4)	49	2.3 [1.4; 4.1] 30 (61.2)	0.91 [0.53; 1.54] 0.712ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	1.9 [0.8; 5.3] 19 (67.9)	20	1.4 [0.7; 1.6] 17 (85.0)	0.56 [0.29; 1.08] 0.085 ^b
Total ^c	0.75 [0.50; 1.14] 0.174				
Pain					
KEYNOTE 590	41	3.3 [2.4; 14.1] 25 (61.0)	49	4.1 [1,9; n.c.] 22 (44,9)	1.11 [0.62; 2.01] 0.723ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	6.5 [2.4; 8.8] 16 (57.1)	20	3.3 [1.5; n.c.] 12 (60.0)	0.80 [0.38; 1.69] 0.551 ^b
Total ^c			<u> </u>		0.98 [0.62; 1.55] 0.929
Dyspnoea					
KEYNOTE 590	41	8.3 [3,2; n.c.] 19 (46,3)	49	5.1 [3.0; 12.0] 25 (51.0)	0.96 [0.51; 1.78] 0.887ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	8.6 [4.4; n.c.] 12 (42.9)	20	2.6 [0.8; 6.0] 13 (65.0)	0.43 [0.19; 0.94] 0.035 ^b AD = + 6.0 months
Total ^c					0.71 [0.43; 1.16] 0.169

Insomnia					
KEYNOTE 590	41	n.a. [7.0; n.c.] 15 (36.6)	49	4.6 [2.8; 12.9] 24 (49.0)	0.65 [0.34; 1.26] 0.204ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	n.a. [2.7; n.c.] 1 (39.3)	20	6.0 [0.7; n.c.] 10 (50.0)	0.64 [0.27; 1.52] 0.315 ^b
Appetite loss					
KEYNOTE 590	41	2.7 [1.3; 14.9] 24 (58.5)	49	3.0 [1.4; 4.1] 30 (61.2)	0.83 [0.48; 1.44] 0.513ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	5.8 [1.4; 10.2] 18 (64.3)	20	3.4 [1.5; 6.0] 13 (65.0)	0.65 [0.31; 1.37] 0.257 ^b
Total ^c					0.76 [0.49; 1.18] 0.226
Constipation					
KEYNOTE 590	41	3.0 [1,4; n.c.] 22 (53,7)	49	3.5 [2,1; n.c.] 25 (51,0)	1.00 [0.56; 1.79] 0.993ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	3.0 [1.4; n.c.] 15 (53.6)	20	3.2 [1.4; 6.1] 14 (70.0)	0.76 [0.36; 1.57] 0.454 ^b
Total ^c					0.90 [0.57; 1.42] 0.651
Diarrhoea					
KEYNOTE 590	41	3.0 [1.3; 10.6] 24 (58.5)	49	4.1 [1,8; n.c.] 23 (46,9)	1.17 [0.65; 2.11] 0.591ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	4.4 [1.4; n.c.] 15 (53.6)	20	n.a. [0.7; n.c.] 9 (45.0)	1.04 [0.45; 2.38] 0.924 ^b
Total ^c	· · ·		· ·		1.12 [0.70; 1.82] 0.631

Symptomatology	y (EORTC C	QLQ-OES18) ^g				
Eating						
KEYNOTE 590	41	5.3 [3.2; n.c.] 21 (51.2)	47	4.4 [3,0; n.c.] 23 (48,9)	0.88 [0.48; 1.60] 0.669ª	
KEYNOTE 062			Instrumer	nt not assessed		
Reflux						
KEYNOTE 590	41	12.7 [2,3; n.c.] 18 (43,9)	47	2.6 [1.4; 10.2] 28 (59.6)	0.50 [0.27; 0.92] 0.026 ^a AD: + 10.1 months	
KEYNOTE 062			Instrumer	nt not assessed	· ·	
Pain						
KEYNOTE 590	41	3.9 [2.9; 14.9] 22 (53.7)	47	4.4 [3.1; 8.0] 27 (57.4)	0.94 [0.53; 1.66] 0.827ª	
KEYNOTE 062			Instrumer	nt not assessed		
Saliva swallowing	5					
KEYNOTE 590	41	8.3 [2,8; n.c.] 19 (46,3)	47	5.1 [2,6; n.c.] 21 (44,7)	0.93 [0.50; 1.75] 0.823ª	
KEYNOTE 062			Instrumer	nt not assessed		
Choking	-					
KEYNOTE 590	41	5.6 [2,6; n.c.] 20 (48,8)	47	12.2 [4,2; n.c.] 16 (34,0)	1.71 [0.86; 3.41] 0.124ª	
KEYNOTE 062			Instrumer	nt not assessed		
Dry mouth	-					
KEYNOTE 590	41	1.7 [1.4; 3.5] 28 (68.3)	47	3.4 [1,6; n.c.] 23 (48,9)	1.81 [1.00; 3.27] 0.048 ^a AD: - 1.7 months	
KEYNOTE 062		Instrument not assessed				
Sense of taste	I					
KEYNOTE 590	41	1.4 [1.3; 3.0] 28 (68.3)	47	2.0 [1.4; 2.8] 35 (74.5)	0.87 [0.52; 1.44] 0.576ª	
KEYNOTE 062			Instrumer	nt not assessed		

Cough					
KEYNOTE 590	41	4.7 [2,7; n.c.] 19 (46,3)	47	7.7 [4,2; n.c.] 19 (40,4)	1.32 [0.70; 2.52] 0.393ª
KEYNOTE 062			Instrumen	t not assessed	
Speaking					
KEYNOTE 590	41	24.3 [2,8; n.c.] 15 (36,6)	47	n.a. [4,7; n.c.] 13 (27,7)	1.33 [0.62; 2.84] 0.461ª
KEYNOTE 062			Instrumen	t not assessed	•
Dysphagia					
KEYNOTE 590	41	3.7 [1,6; n.c.] 22 (53,7)	47	3.5 [2,1; n.c.] 24 (51,1)	0.98 [0.55; 1.76] 0.942ª
KEYNOTE 062			Instrumen	t not assessed	
Health status (E	Q-5D VAS)	time to first deteri	oration ^h		
≥ 7 points					
KEYNOTE 590	41	4.8 [3.2; 9.3] 24 (58.5)	49	4.5 [2.8; 8.1] 27 (55.1)	0.83 [0.47; 1.48] 0.529ª
KEYNOTE 062	29	2.3 [1.0; 8.3] 21 (72.4)	20	2.8 [0.8; 6.1] 14 (70.0)	1.02 [0.51; 2.00] 0.966 ^b
Total ^c					0.90 [0.58; 1.40] 0.652
≥ 10 points					
KEYNOTE 590	41	7.8 [3.6; 13.8] 22 (53.7)	49	4.9 [3.0; 8.1] 27 (55.1)	0.78 [0.43; 1.41] 0.410ª
KEYNOTE 062	29	2.4 [1.4; 8.3] 21 (72.4)	20	3.0 [1.9; n.c.] 11 (55.0)	1.38 [0.66; 2.87] 0.387 ^b
Total ^c	· ·				0.98 [0.62; 1.55] 0.922

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint		Pembrolizumab + latin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine	cispl	Placebo + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine	Intervention vs control	
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Hazard ratio [95% Cl] p value	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute Difference (AD) ^d	
EORTC QLQ-C30 ti	ime to	first deterioration ⁱ				
Global health stat	us					
KEYNOTE 590	41	3.7 [1.6; 7.8] 24 (58.5)	49	5.6 [4.1; 12.2] 24 (49.0)	1.14 [0.63; 2.04] 0.665ª	
KEYNOTE 062	28	8.3 [2.4; 10.2] 16 (57.1)	20	2.4 [1.4; 7.4] 13 (65.0)	0.59 [0.28; 1.26] 0.176 ^b	
Total ^c	Total ^c					
Physical functionin	ng					
KEYNOTE 590	41	4.1 [1.4; 10.9] 25 (61.0)	49	3.7 [2.8; 8.0] 29 (59.2)	1.16 [0.66; 2.02] 0.608ª	
KEYNOTE 062	28	4.2	20	1.4	0.60	
		[1.4; 5.9] 21 (75.0)		[0.8; 2.2] 15 (75.0)	[0.31; 1.17] 0.136 ^b	
Total ^c					0.88 [0.58; 1.35] 0.566	
Role functioning						
KEYNOTE 590	41	3.0 [1.2; 5.5] 28 (68.3)	49	2.8 [1.2; 8.0] 29 (59.2)	1.05 [0.61; 1.81] 0.847ª	
KEYNOTE 062	28	2.1 [1.4; 5.1] 23 (82.1)	20	2.2 [0.7; n.c.] 13 (65.0)	1.10 [0.56; 2.17] 0.785 ^b	
Total ^c		·			1.07 [0.70; 1.63] 0.757	

Emotional function	oning				-
KEYNOTE 590	41	3.3 [1.6; 14.1] 24 (58.5)	49	8.0 [4.2; 17.1] 22 (44.9)	1.34 [0.73; 2.44] 0.342ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	5.9 [1.4; n.c.] 15 (53.6)	20	6.1 [1.4; n.c.] 8 (40.0)	1.21 [0.51; 2.85] 0.670 ^b
Total ^c	1.30 [0.79; 2.12] 0.304				
Cognitive functio	oning				·
KEYNOTE 590	41	2.8 [1.6; 4.3] 27 (65.9)	49	3.7 [2.3; 5.3] 31 (63.3)	0.94 [0.55; 1.61] 0.832ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	3.4 [1.4; 9.7] 17 (60.7)	20	1.5 [0.7; n.c.] 12 (60.0)	0.75 [0.35; 1.57] 0.442 ^b
Total ^c					0.87 [0.56; 1.35] 0.535
Social functioning	g				
KEYNOTE 590	41	3.2 [1.6; 7.1] 25 (61.0)	49	3.7 [1.6; 4.2] 28 (57.1)	0.94 [0.54; 1.62] 0.811ª
KEYNOTE 062	28	4.4 [1.6; n.c.] 16 (57.1)	20	1.9 [1.0; 4.7] 15 (75.0)	0.62 [0.31; 1.27] 0.191 ^b
Total ^c			· · ·		0.80 [0.52; 1.24] 0.322
					(

Side effects

Endpoint		Pembrolizumab + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine	cispl	Placebo + atin + 5-fluorouracil/ capecitabine	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	Effect estimator [95% CI] p value
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute Difference (AD) ^d
Adverse events (p	oresente	d additionally)			
KEYNOTE 590	42	0.4 [0.3; 0.4] 42 (100.0)	53	0.3 [0.3; 0.7] 52 (98.1)	_
KEYNOTE 062	30	0.3 [0.3; 0.6] 30 (100.0)			_
Serious adverse e	events (S	AE)			
KEYNOTE 590	42	15.6 [8.0; 27.9] 28 (66.7)	53	31.1 [17.1; 60.3] 30 (56.6)	1.34 [0.80; 2.26] 0.266 ^b
KEYNOTE 062	30	11.6 [2.1; n.c.] 19 (63.3)	20	36.7 [5.6; n.c.] 9 (45.0)	1.64 [0.74; 3.64] 0.220 ^b
Total ^c					1.42 [0.92; 2.20] 0.112
Severe adverse e	vents (C	TCAE grade ≥ 3)			
KEYNOTE 590	42	4.7 [2.4; 7.4] 37 (88.1)	53	6.3 [3.9; 11.6] 44 (83.0)	1.14 [0.73; 1.77] 0.567 ^b
KEYNOTE 062	30	5.4 [3.0; 9.0] 26 (86.7)	20	5.6 [1.1; 29.4] 15 (75.0)	1.31 [0.69; 2.49] 0.407 ^b
Total ^c					1.19 [0.83; 1.72] 0.344

Therapy disconti	nuation d	ue to adverse even	ts		
KEYNOTE 590	42	n.a. 10 (23.8)	53	n.a. 3 (5.7)	4.35 [1.20; 15.82] 0.025 ^b
KEYNOTE 062	30	n.a. [20,0; n.c.] 11 (36,7)	20	n.a. [21,1; n.c.] 4 (20,0)	1.83 [0.58; 5.74] 0.303 ^b
Total ^c	2.68 [1.14; 6.32] 0.024				
Specific adverse	events				
Immune-mediate	ed SAEs (P	۲ collection) ^j			
KEYNOTE 590	42	n.a. 3 (7.1)	53	n.a. 1 (1.9)	3.88 [0.40; 37.33] 0.240 ^b
KEYNOTE 062	30	n.a. 2 (6.7)	20	n.a. 1 (5.0)	1.19 [0.11; 13.20] 0.886 ^b
Total ^c	2.22 [0.43; 11.51] 0.343				
Immune-mediate	ed severe A	AEs (PT collection) ^j			
KEYNOTE 590	42	n.a. 3 (7.1)	53	n.a. 1 (1.9)	3.59 [0.37; 34.57] 0.268 ^b
KEYNOTE 062	30	n.a. 2 (6.7)	20	n.a. 1 (5.0)	1.03 [0.09; 11.48] 0.981 ^b
Total ^c	2.00 [0.38; 10.50] 0.411				
Endocrine disord	ers (AE, SA	AE) ^k			
KEYNOTE 590	42	n.a. 8 (19.0)	53	n.a. 2 (3.8)	RR: 5.05 [1.13; 22.52] 0.034 ^{I,m}
KEYNOTE 062	30	n.a. 5 (16.7)	20	n.a. 0 (0)	RR: 7.45 [0.43; 127.74] 0.062 ^{l,n}
Total ^o					RR: 5.65 [1.48; 21.58] 0.011

- a. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model stratified by region (Asia vs rest of the world) and ECOG-PS (0 vs 1) with associated p value from two-sided Wald test
- b. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model, unstratified with associated p value from two-sided Wald test
- c. Fixed-effect meta-analysis (inverse variance method)
- d. Indication of absolute difference (AD) only in case of statistically significant difference; own calculation
- e. Data from the dossier of the pharmaceutical company (Module 4 A) of 12 November 2021
- f. Hazard ratio and confidence interval from Cox proportional hazards model with treatment as covariate, stratified by region (Asia vs rest of the world) and ECOG-PS (0 vs 1) with associated p value from two-sided Wald test
- g. An increase in score by ≥ 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)
- h. A decrease in the score by 7 or 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)
- i. A decrease in score by ≥ 10 points compared to the start of the study is considered a clinically relevant deterioration (scale range 0 to 100)
- j. Predefined list of PTs under continuous update (version 18)
- k. The main underlying events are hyperthyroidism (KEYNOTE 590 study) and hypothyroidism (KEYNOTE 062 study). No information is available on how many of these events were CTCAE grade 1 and thus, not symptomatic
- I. Confidence interval (asymptomatic); p value (unconditional exact test; CSZ method according to Martín Andrés & Silva Mato, 1994)
- m. KEYNOTE 590: HR 4.96 [1.05; 23.35], p value 0.043; the RR is used provisionally for the meta-analytic summary.
- n. KEYNOTE 062: p value 0.091 (based on score test statistics)
- o. Fixed-effect meta-analysis (Mantel-Haenszel method)

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ECOG-PS = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; EORTC = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer; EQ-5D = European Quality of Life Questionnaire - 5 Dimensions; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n.c. = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PT = preferred term; QLQ-C30 = Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30; QLQ-OES18 = Quality of Life Questionnaire - Oesophageal Cancer 18 items; RR = relative risk; SOC = system organ class; AE = adverse event; VAS = visual analogue scale; vs = versus b2) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-positive adenocarcinoma of the</u> <u>oesophagus which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1</u> (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

No data are available to allow an assessment of the additional benefit.

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary			
	risk of bias				
Mortality	Ø	No data available.			
Morbidity	Ø	No data available.			
Health-related quality	Ø	No data available.			
of life					
Side effects	Ø No data available.				
Explanations:					
↑: statistically significant a	and relevant positive effect	with low/unclear reliability of data			
\downarrow : statistically significant a	and relevant negative effect	t with low/unclear reliability of data			
$\uparrow\uparrow$: statistically significan	t and relevant positive effe	ect with high reliability of data			
$\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data					
↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference					
arnothing: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.					
n.a.: not assessable					

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

a) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus</u> which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive <u>Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

approx. 170 - 280 patients

b1) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-negative adenocarcinoma of the</u> <u>oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction which cannot be treated curatively and</u> <u>whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

approx. 345 – 475 patients

b2) Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-positive adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

approx. 20 – 50 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Keytruda (active ingredient: pembrolizumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 16 February 2022):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/keytruda-epar-productinformation en.pdf

Treatment with pembrolizumab should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology and oncology as well as specialists in internal medicine and gastroenterology and other specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement, all of whom are experienced in the treatment of patients with oesophageal cancer.

In accordance with the EMA requirements regarding additional risk minimisation measures, the pharmaceutical company must provide training material that contains information for medical professionals and patients. The training material contains, in particular, instructions on the management of immune-mediated side effects potentially occurring with pembrolizumab as well as on infusion-related reactions.

4. Treatment costs

a) <u>Adults with locally advanced or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus</u> which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive <u>Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy</u>

Annual treatment costs:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:					
Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil					
Pembrolizumab	€ 99,714.53				
Cisplatin	€ 2,284.10				
5-fluorouracil	€ 2,514.30				
Total	€ 104,512.93				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 328.58 - € 421.62				
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
Cisplatin in combination with 5-fluorouracil					
Cisplatin	€ 2,284.10				
5-fluorouracil	€ 2,514.30				
Total	€ 4,798.40				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 328.58 - € 421.62				

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 April 2022)

Other SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Medicinal product	to be assessed				
Pembrolizumab in	combination with cis	platin and 5-flu	orouracil		
Pembrolizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	8.7 - 17.4	€ 617.70 - € 1,235.40
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€ 1,409.40
5-fluorouracil	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	5	87	€ 7,047.00
Appropriate comp	arator therapy				
Cisplatin in combi	nation with 5-fluorour	acil			
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€ 1,409.40
5-fluorouracil	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	5	87	€ 7,047.00

b1) Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-negative adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus or of the gastroesophageal junction which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

Annual treatment costs:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient				
Medicinal product to be assessed:	1				
Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil					
Pembrolizumab	€ 99,714.53				
Cisplatin	€ 2,284.10				
5-fluorouracil	€ 2,514.30				
Total	€ 104,512.93				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 328.58 - € 421.62				
Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin	and capecitabine				
Pembrolizumab	€ 99,714.53				
Cisplatin	€ 2,284.10				
Capecitabine	€ 2,089.64				
Total	€ 104,088.27				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 328.58 - € 421.62				
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
Therapy according to doctor's instructions - Cisplatin in combination with 5-fluor	ouracil ²				
Cisplatin	€ 2,284.10				
5-fluorouracil	€ 2,514.30				
Total	€ 4,798.40				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 328.58 - € 421.62				
- Docetaxel in combination with cispla	tin and 5-fluorouracil ²				
Cisplatin	€ 2,015.79				
Docetaxel	€ 13,742.35				
5-fluorouracil	€ 2,312.46				
Total	€ 18,070.60				
Additionally required SHI services	€ 328.58 - € 421.62				

² Costs are only shown for the active ingredients cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil and docetaxel. In addition to these, the following medicinal product combinations S-1 (tegafur/ gimeracil/ oteracil) + cisplatin, capecitabine + cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil + oxaliplatin + folinic acid [FLO and FOLFOX], capecitabine + oxaliplatin, infusional 5-fluorouracil + folinic acid + cisplatin [PLF], epirubicin + cisplatin + capecitabine [ECX], epirubicin + oxaliplatin + capecitabine [EOX], epirubicin + cisplatin + infusional 5-fluorouracil + cisplatin + infusional 5-fluorouracil [ECF], 5-fluorouracil + oxaliplatin + epirubicin, infusional 5-fluorouracil + folinic acid + oxaliplatin + docetaxel [FLOT regimen] are also suitable comparators for the present benefit assessment in the context of a therapy according to doctor's instructions. These medicinal product combinations contain active ingredients that are not approved in the present therapeutic indication, and therefore, no costs are presented for these medicinal products.

Other SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ Patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year		
Medicinal product	Medicinal product to be assessed						
Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil							
Pembrolizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	8.7 - 17.4	€ 617.70 - € 1,235.40		
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€ 1,409.40		
5-fluorouracil	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	5	87	€ 7047.00		
Pembrolizumab in	combination with cis	platin and cape	citabine		•		
Pembrolizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	8.7 - 17.4	€ 617.70 - € 1,235.40		
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€ 1,409.40		
Appropriate comparator therapy:							
Cisplatin in combination with 5-fluorouracil							
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a	€81	1	17.4	€ 1,409.40		

	parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents				
5-fluorouracil	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	5	87	€ 7047.00
Docetaxel in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil					
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€ 1,409.40
Docetaxel	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€ 1,409.40
5-fluorouracil	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	5	87	€ 7,047.00

b2) Adults with locally advanced or metastatic HER2-positive adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus which cannot be treated curatively and whose tumours express PD-L1 (Combined Positive Score (CPS) ≥ 10); first-line therapy

Annual treatment costs:

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient		
Medicinal product to be assessed:			
Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil			
Pembrolizumab	€ 99,714.53		
Cisplatin	€ 2,284.10		
5-fluorouracil	€ 2,514.30		
Total	€ 104,512.93		

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient			
Additionally required SHI services	€ 328.58 - € 421.62			
Appropriate comparator therapy:				
HER2-targeted therapy according to doctor's instructions ³				

Other SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Medicinal product to be assessed					
Pembrolizumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil					
Pembrolizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€71	1	8.7 - 17.4	€ 617.70 - € 1235.40
Cisplatin	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	1	17.4	€ 1409.40
5-fluorouracil	Surcharge for production of a parenteral preparation containing cytostatic agents	€81	5	87	€ 7047.00

³ The medicinal product combinations trastuzumab + cisplatin + capecitabine and trastuzumab + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil are suitable comparators for the present benefit assessment in the context of HER2-targeted therapy according to doctor's instructions. All medicinal therapies that represent a suitable comparator for the present benefit assessment in the context of HER2-targeted therapy according to a doctor's instructions are not approved in the present therapeutic indication, which is why no costs are presented for these medicinal products.