

**Pembrolizumab** (new therapeutic indication: renal cell carcinoma, adjuvant treatment, monotherapy, pretreated patients)

Resolution of: 19 January 2023 valid until: unlimited

Entry into force on: 19 January 2023 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 20 02 2023 B3

## New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 24 January 2022):

Keytruda as monotherapy is indicated for the adjuvant treatment of adults with renal cell carcinoma at increased risk of recurrence following nephrectomy, or following nephrectomy and resection of metastatic lesions.

## Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 19 January 2023):

See therapeutic indication according to marketing authorisation.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

<u>Adults with renal cell carcinoma at increased risk of recurrence following nephrectomy or</u> following nephrectomy and resection of metastatic lesions; adjuvant treatment

## Appropriate comparator therapy:

Monitoring wait-and-see approach

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of pembrolizumab compared to a monitoring wait-and-see approach:

Hint for a minor additional benefit

## Study results according to endpoints:1

Adults with renal cell carcinoma at increased risk of recurrence following nephrectomy or following nephrectomy and resection of metastatic lesions; adjuvant treatment

## Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	<b>↑</b>	Advantage in overall survival.
Morbidity	<b>↑</b>	Advantages in the prevention of recurrences.
Health-related quality	$\leftrightarrow$	No relevant difference for the benefit
of life		assessment.
Side effects	↓	Disadvantages in the endpoints of AEs, SAEs
		and discontinuation due to AEs. In detail,
		disadvantages in specific AEs.

#### **Explanations:**

↑ statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↑↑ statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$  statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

∴: no statistically significant or relevant difference

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

n.c.: not calculable

## **KEYNOTE 564 study**

Study design: double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3

Comparison: Pembrolizumab vs placebo<sup>2</sup>

Data cut-off: 1st data cut-off from 14 December 2020 and 2nd data cut-off from 14 July

2021

## Mortality

Endpoint	N Median survival time in months [95% CI]			Placebo	Intervention vs control
			N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	
Overall survival (da	ta cut-	off of 14 July 2021)			
	496	n.a. 23 (4.6)	498	n.a. <i>43 (8.6)</i>	0.52 [0.31; 0.86] 0.011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A22-71) and from the addendum (A22-128), unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The investigations conducted in the placebo arm of the KEYNOTE 564 study are considered sufficient implementation of the appropriate comparator therapy consisting of the wait-and-see approach.

## Morbidity

Endpoint	Pembrolizumab			Placebo	Intervention vs control
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	
Recurrences (data cu (according to the prin		•			
Recurrence rate <sup>a</sup>	496	– 114 (23.0)	498	_ 169 (33.9)	RR: 0.68 [0.55; 0.83] < 0.001 <sup>b</sup>
Local recurrence	496	- 17 (3.4)	498	- 32 (6.4)	-
Remote metastases	496	– 91 (18.3)	498	– 134 (26.9)	1
Death	496	– 6 (1.2)	498	- 3 (0.6)	-
Disease-free survival	496	n.a. 114 (23.0)	498	n.a. 169 (33.9)	0.63 [0.50; 0.80] < 0.001
Recurrences (data cu (according to BICR)	it-off fro	om 14 July 2022) - pres	ented a	dditionally	
Recurrence rate <sup>a</sup>	477 <sup>b</sup>	– 117 (24.5) <sup>b</sup>	469 <sup>b</sup>	– 141 (30.1) <sup>b</sup>	RR: 0.82 [0.66; 1.01] 0.058 <sup>b</sup>
Disease-free survival	496	n.a. 117 (23.6)	498	n.a. 141 (28.3)	0.78 [0.61; 0.99] 0.043
Event rate (recurrence/ progression rate) <sup>c</sup>	496 <sup>b</sup>	– 133 (26.8)	498 <sup>b</sup>	– 167 (33.5)	RR: 0.80 [0.66; 0.97] 0.022 <sup>b</sup>
Event-free survival	496	– 133 (26.8)	498	– 167 (33.5)	0.75 [0.60; 0.94] 0.013

Endpoint		Pembrolizumab			Place	bo	Intervention vs control				
	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV (SE)	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value				
Symptomatolog	Symptomatology										
FKSI-DRS <sup>d</sup> (data	cut-of	f from 14 Dec	cember 2020)								
	423	32.86 (3.50)	-1.26 (0.18)	440	32.83 (3.46)	-0.58 (0.18)	-0.68 [-1.06; -0.30] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : -0.24 [-0.37; -0.10]				
Symptom scales	of the	EORTC QLQ	<b>-C30</b> º (data cu	t-off fr	om 14 Dec	ember 2020)					
Exhaustion	426	18.70 (18.98)	6.45 (0.90)	443	18.76 (18.35)	3.86 (0.88)	2.59 [0.71; 4.47] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : 0.18 [0.05; 0.32]				
Nausea and vomiting	426	2.03 (7.57)	2.12 (0.45)	443	2.14 (8.53)	0.90 (0.44)	1.23 [0.30; 2.15] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : 0.18 [0.04; 0.31]				
Pain	426	15.85 (21.36)	3.48 (0.94)	443	13.96 (17.84)	2.24 (0.92)	1.24 [-0.71; 3.20]; n.d.				
Dyspnoea	426	9.00 (18.43)	5.37 (0.89)	443	8.43 (16.91)	2.86 (0.88)	2.51 [0.65; 4.38] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : 0.18 [0.05; 0.31]				
Insomnia	426	18.23 (24.92)	3.54 (1.12)	443	21.22 (26.17)	1.82 (1.11)	1.71 [-0.64; 4.06] n.d.				
Appetite loss	426	5.56 (15.10)	2.77 (0.74)	443	5.49 (14.27)	-0.28 (0.73)	3.05 [1.51; 4.60] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : 0.26 [0.13; 0.40]				

Endpoint		Pembroliz	umab		Place	Intervention vs control		
	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV (SE)	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value	
Constipation	426	8.61 (17.68)	0.95 (0.84)	443	7.98 (16.68)	0.69 (0.82)	0.27 [-1.48; 2.01]; n.d.	
Diarrhoea	426	4.30 (11.87)	3.97 (0.78)	443	3.99 (11.06)	3.37 (0.76)	0.60 [-1.01; 2.22]; n.d.	
Health status (data cut-off from 14 December 2020)								
EQ-5D-5L VAS <sup>c</sup>	436	84.07 (13.99)	-3.52 (0.66)	454	83.22 (14.48)	-2.44 (0.65)	-1.08 [-2.47; 0.30] n.d.	

## Health-related quality of life

Endpoint		Pembroliz	umab		Placek	00	Intervention vs control
	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV (SE)	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value
Functional scales of	the EO	RTC QLQ-C	<b>30</b> <sup>d</sup> (data cut	off fro	om 14 Dece	ember 2020)	
Global health status	426	79.28 (18.56)	-5.52 (0.84)	443	77.29 (17.36)	-2.07 (0.83)	-3.45 [-5.20; -1.69] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : -0.26 [-0.39; -0.13]
Physical functioning	426	88.69 (14.89)	-2.91 (0.61)	443	88.88 (13.82)	-1.45 (0.60)	-1.46 [-2.73; -0.18] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : -0.15 [-0.29; -0.02]

Endpoint		Pembroliz	umab		Placeb	00	Intervention vs control
	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV (SE)	N	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Mean change in the course of study MV (SE)	MD [95% CI] p value
Role functioning	426	87.95 (19.92)	-4.42 (0.92)	443	87.92 (19.07)	-2.11 (0.90)	-2.31 [-4.22; -0.39]; n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : -0.16 [-0.29; -0.03]
Emotional functioning	426	85.04 (17.60)	-3.10 (0.83)	443	84.41 (17.83)	-0.99 (0.82)	-2.11 [-3.86; -0.37] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : -0.16 [-0.29; -0.03]
Cognitive functioning	426	91.67 (13.44)	-4.55 (0.78)	443	90.44 (14.80)	-2.72 (0.77)	-1.83 [-3.46; -0.19] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : -0.15 [-0.28; -0.02]
Social functioning	426	90.26 (17.14)	-4.34 (0.88)	443	88.68 (18.90)	-1.01 (0.86)	-3.33 [-5.17; -1.50] n.d. SMD <sup>b</sup> : -0.24 [-0.37; -0.11]

## Side effects

(data cut-off from 14 July 2021)

Endpoint	Pe	mbrolizumab	Placebo		Intervention vs control
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	Relative risk [95% CI] p value <sup>b</sup>
Adverse events (AEs)	f (presei	nted additionally)			
	488	470 (96.3)	496 453 (91.3)		_

Endpoint	Pembrolizumab			Placebo	Intervention vs control				
	N	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	Relative risk [95% CI] p value <sup>b</sup>				
Serious adverse events (SAE) <sup>f</sup>									
	488	101 (20.7)	496	57 (11.5)	1.80 [1.33; 2.43] < 0.001				
Severe adverse event	ts (CTCA	E grade ≥ 3) <sup>f</sup>							
	488	157 (32.2)	496	88 (17.7)	1.81 [1.44; 2.28] <0.001				
Therapy discontinuat	ion due	to adverse events <sup>f</sup>							
	488	103 (21.1)	496	11 (2.2)	9.52 [5.18; 17.50] < 0.001				
Specific adverse even	its								
Immune-mediated AEs (presented additionally)	488	n.d.	496	n.d.	_				
Immune-mediated SAEs	488	42 (8.6)	496	1 (0.2)	42.69 [5.90; 308.94] <0.001				
Immune-mediated severe AEs	488	45 (9.2)	496	3 (0.6)	15.25 [4.77; 48.73] < 0.001				
Endocrine disorders (severe AE, SOC)	488	12 (2.5)	496	1 (0.2)	12.20 [1.59; 93.44] 0.002				
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders (severe AE, SOC)	488	10 (2.0)	496	2 (0.4)	5.08 [1.12; 23.07] 0.019				
Gastrointestinal disorders (severe AE, SOC)	488	24 (4.9)	496	9 (1.8)	2.71 [1.27; 5.77] 0.010				

Endpoint	Pe	embrolizumab	1 100000		Intervention vs control
	Z	Patients with event n (%)	N	Patients with event n (%)	Relative risk [95% CI] p value <sup>b</sup>
Examinations (severe AE, SOC)	488	27 (5.5)	496	4 (0.8)	6.86 [2.42; 19.46] < 0.001
Metabolism and nutrition disorders (severe AE, SOC)	488	26 (5.3)	496	14 (2.8)	1.89 [1.00; 3.57] 0.047

- <sup>a</sup> Individual components if available are shown in the rows below; since only the qualifying events are included in the recurrence rate (total), effect estimators of the individual components are not shown
- b IQWiG calculation (RR, CI, p value, SMD)
- <sup>c</sup> The endpoint event-free survival is based on the assessments of a BICR. It includes the events of recurrence (local recurrence or remote metastases) in patients who were tumour-free at baseline or disease progression in patients who were assessed as tumour-free at baseline by the principal investigator but not by the BICR, or death of any cause. The assessment of disease status at baseline was based on baseline scans.
- d Higher (increasing) values mean better symptomatology / health-related quality of life; positive effects (intervention minus control) mean an advantage for the intervention (scale range EORTC QLQ-C30 functional scales and global health status 0 to 100, EQ- 5D VAS 0 to 100, FKSI-DRS 0 to 36).
- <sup>e</sup> Lower (decreasing) values mean better symptomatology; negative effects (intervention minus control) mean an advantage for the intervention (scale range 0 to 100).
- f Progression events of the underlying disease are not included (PTs "Neoplasm progression", "Malignant neoplasm progression" and "Disease progression").

#### Abbreviations used:

BICR = Blinded Independent Central Review; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; HR = hazard ratio; n.d. = no data available; CI = confidence interval; MD = mean difference; MedDRA = Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; MMRM = Mixed Model with Repeated Measures; MV = mean value;; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with (at least one) event; n. c. = not calculable; n. a. = not achieved; OS = overall survival; PT = preferred term; RR = relative risk; SD = standard deviation; SE = standard error; SMD = standardised mean difference (Hedges' g); SOC = system organ class; vs = versus

## 2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

Adults with renal cell carcinoma at increased risk of recurrence following nephrectomy or following nephrectomy and resection of metastatic lesions; adjuvant treatment

approx. 1,518 - 1,973 patients

## 3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Keytruda (active ingredient: pembrolizumab) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 3 January 2023):

# https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/keytruda-epar-product-information en.pdf

Treatment with pembrolizumab should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology and oncology as well as specialists in internal medicine and nephrology and other specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement, all of whom are experienced in the treatment of patients with renal cell carcinoma.

In accordance with the EMA requirements regarding additional risk minimisation measures, the pharmaceutical company must provide training material that contains information for medical professionals and patients. The training material contains, in particular, instructions on the management of immune-mediated side effects potentially occurring with pembrolizumab as well as on infusion-related reactions.

## 4. Treatment costs

#### Annual treatment costs:

Adults with renal cell carcinoma at increased risk of recurrence following nephrectomy or following nephrectomy and resection of metastatic lesions; adjuvant treatment

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient					
Medicinal product to be assessed:						
Pembrolizumab	€ 85,997.44 - € 91,372.28					
Appropriate comparator therapy:						
Monitoring wait-and-see approach	incalculable					

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE® as last revised: 1 January 2023)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

## Other SHI services:

Designation of the therapy	Type of service	Costs/ unit	Number/ cycle	Number/ patient/ year	Costs/ patient/ year
Pembrolizumab	Surcharge for the preparation of a parenteral solution containing monoclonal antibodies	€ 100	1	8 - 17	€ 800 - € 1,700

## 5. Medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with pembrolizumab

Medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V are medicinal products with the following new active ingredients which, on the basis of the marketing authorisation under Medicinal Products Act, can be used in a combination therapy with pembrolizumab for the adjuvant treatment of renal cell carcinoma with increased risk of recurrence after nephrectomy or after nephrectomy and resection of metastatic lesions in adults:

Adults with renal cell carcinoma at increased risk of recurrence following nephrectomy or following nephrectomy and resection of metastatic lesions; adjuvant treatment

- No active ingredient that can be used in a combination therapy that fulfils the requirements of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.