

Dapagliflozin (new therapeutic indication: chronic heart failure with left ventricular ejection fraction LVEF > 40%)

Resolution of: 17 August 2023/ 16. November 2023 valid until: unlimited

Entry into force on: 17 August 2023/16. November 2023

Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 19 09 2023 B3/ BAnz AT 15 12 2023 B4

New therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 3 February 2023):

Forxiga is indicated in adults for the treatment of symptomatic chronic heart failure.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 17 August 2023):

Adults with symptomatic chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction HFpEF (LVEF > 50%) and with mildly reduced ejection fraction HFmrEF (LVEF > 40 to 49%)

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

Adults with symptomatic chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction HFpEF (LVEF > 50%) and with mildly reduced ejection fraction HFmrEF (LVEF > 40 to 49%)

Appropriate comparator therapy for dapagliflozin:

An optimised standard therapy for the treatment of symptomatic chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction or mildly reduced ejection fraction and the underlying conditions, such as hypertension, arrhythmias, coronary artery heart disease, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, dyslipoproteinaemia as well as the concomitant symptoms

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of dapagliflozin compared with optimised standard therapy for symptomatic chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction or mildly reduced ejection fraction and underlying conditions:

Hint for a minor additional benefit

Study results according to endpoints:1

Adults with symptomatic chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction HFpEF (LVEF > 50%) and with mildly reduced ejection fraction HFmrEF (LVEF > 40 to 49%)

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit assessment.
		assessment.
Morbidity	↑	Advantage in hospitalisation due to heart failure
Health-related quality	↑	Advantage in health-related
of life		quality of life (KCCQ-OSS)
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit
		assessment.

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow \uparrow$: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

 \leftrightarrow : no statistically significant or relevant difference

 \varnothing : No data available.

n.a.: not assessable

DELIVER study: Dapagliflozin vs placebo (each in addition to optimised standard therapy²)

Mortality

Endpoint	Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy		+ opt	Placebo imised standard therapy	Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p valueª Absolute difference (AD)
Overall mortality	3,131	n.d. 497 (15.9)	3,132	n.d. 526 (16.8)	0.94 [0.83; 1.07]; 0.343
Cardiovascular death (presented additionally)	3,131	n.d. 231 (7.4)	3,132	n.d. 261 (8.3)	0.88 [0.74; 1.05]; 0.168

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A23-11) and from the addendum (A23-71), unless otherwise indicated.

² In the sense of patient-individual treatment of the underlying diseases as well as the concomitant symptoms according to the therapy standard in accordance with local guidelines and recommendations for heart failure and the respective comorbidities through the use of anti-hypertensive drugs, antithrombotics, anti-diabetics and lipid-lowering agents.

$Morbidity^{b}\\$

Endpoint	Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy		Placebo + optimised standard therapy		Intervention vs control
	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value ^a Absolute difference (AD)
Hospitalisation due to heart failure 1st event	3,131	n.d. 329 (10.5)	3,132	n.d. 418 (13.3)	0.77 [0.67; 0.89]; < 0.001 AD = 2.8%
including recurring events (presented additionally)	3,131	Number of events 508	3,132	Number of events 707	Rate ratio [95% CI]; p value ^c 0.72 [0.60; 0.85]; < 0.001
Total hospitalisation 1st event	3,131	n.d. 1210 (38.6)	3,132	n.d. 1251 (39.9)	0.94 [0.86; 1.01]; 0.101
including recurring events (presented additionally)		Number of events 2,224		Number of events 2,479	Rate ratio [95% Cl]; p value ^c 0.90 [0.82; 0.98]; 0.015
Myocardial infarction (composite endpoint)	3,131	n.d. 83 (2.7)	3,132	n.d. 81 (2.6)	1.02 [0.75; 1.39]; 0.890
non-fatal	3,131	n.d.	3,132	n.d.	n.d.
fatal ^d	3,131	n.d. 12 (0.4)	3,132	n.d. 15 (0.5)	0.80 [0.37; 1.70]; 0.560
Stroke (composite endpoint)	3,131	n.d. 115 (3.7)	3,132	n.d. 109 (3.5)	1.05 [0.81; 1.37]; 0.706
non-fatal	3,131	n.d.	3,132	n.d.	n.d.
fatal ^d	3,131	n.d. 28 (0.9)	3,132	n.d. 25 (0.8)	1.12 [0.65; 1.92]; 0.682

Renal morbidity (p	resented	additionally)			
Sustained reduction of eGFR ≥ 50%	3,131	n.d. 44 (1.4)	3,132	n.d. 46 (1.5)	0.96 [0.63; 1.45] 0.830
Doubling of serum creatinine level accompanied by eGFR ≤ 45 ml/min/ 1.73 m²	3,131	n.d. 35 (1.1)	3,132	n.d. 36 (1.1)	0.98 [0.62; 1.56] 0.932

Endpoint	Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy		Placebo + optimised standard therapy		Intervention vs control
	N ^e	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	N ^e	Median time to event in months [95% CI]	RR [95% CI] p value ^f
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD)
Health status					
EQ-5D VAS ^g	2,498	682 (27.3)	2,536	633 (25.0)	1.09 [1.00; 1.20]; 0.059 ⁱ
PGIS ^h	2,842	2,154 (75.8)	2,841	2,088 (73.5)	1.03 [1.00; 1.06]; 0.047 ⁱ AD = 2.3%

Health-related quality of lifeb

Endpoint	Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy		Placebo + optimised standard therapy		Intervention vs control
	Ne	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Ne	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value ^f Absolute difference (AD)
KCCQ-OSS ^g	2,842	855 (30.1)	2,837	769 (27.1)	1.11 [1.02; 1.21]; 0.013 ⁱ AD = 3.0%
Domains (presente	ed additio	nally)			
Physical limitations	2,792	843 (30.2)	2,792	747 (26.8)	1.13 [1.04; 1.23]
Psychological quality of life	2,842	1,147 (40.4)	2,837	1053 (37.1)	1.02 [0.99; 1.04]
Social limitations	2,669	884 (33.1)	2,664	845 (31.7)	1.03 [0.98; 1.09]
Symptoms (KCCQ-TSS)	2,842	920 (32.4)	2,837	857 (30.2)	1.07 [0.99; 1.16]

Side effects^b

Endpoint	Dapagliflozin + optimised standard therapy		Placebo + optimised standard therapy		Intervention vs control
	N ^e	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	Ne	Median time to event in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI] p value ^f Absolute difference (AD)
Overall rates	Overall rates				
AE (presented additionally)	Endpoint not assessed ^j				
SAE ^k	3,126	947 (30.3)	3,127	975 (31.2)	0.97 [0.90; 1.05]; 0.443
Discontinuation due to AEs ^I	3,126	183 (5.9)	3,127	181 (5.8)	1.01 [0.83; 1.24]; 0.907
Specific adverse e	vents				
Urinary tract	No suitable data ^j				

infection (PT, AE)					
Genital infection (PT, AE)		No suitable data ^j			
Diabetic ketoacidosis ^m (AE)	3,126	2 (< 0.1)	3,127	0	5.00 [0.24; 104.1]; 0.172 ⁿ
Gastrointestinal disorders (SOC, SAE)	3,126	86 (2.8)	3,127	147 (4.7)	0.59 [0.45; 0.76]; < 0.001 ⁿ AD = 1.9%
COVID-19 (PT, SAE)	3,126	183 (5.9)	3,127	144 (4.6)	1.27 [1.03; 1.57]; 0.027 ⁿ AD = 1.3%

- a. Effect, CI and p value: Cox proportional hazards model stratified by type 2 diabetes mellitus status at randomisation.
- b. Includes all events from the first dose of the study medication, regardless of whether the patient was on treatment with the study medication or not when the event occurred.
- c. Effect, CI and p value: Proportional rates model according to Lin-Wei-Yang-Ying, stratified by type 2 diabetes mellitus status at randomisation.
- d. Adjudicated by an endpoint committee.
- e. Endpoints of the categories morbidity and health-related quality of life: Number of patients for whom the value at the start of the study and at least one value after the start of the study were available. Missing values for the end-of-study visit were replaced using LOCF.
- f. Effect, CI and p value: logistic regression model with log link, adjusted for type 2 diabetes mellitus status at the start of the study.
- g. Improvement at the end-of-study visit; percentage of patients with an increase in score of ≥ 15 points compared to the start of the study at the end-of-study visit within 6 weeks of reaching the planned number of events of the primary endpoint; scale range from 0 to 100, higher (increasing) values mean an improvement in health status/ health-related quality of life.
- h. Stability (no deterioration at the end-of-study visit); percentage of patients without an increase in score of ≥ 1 point on a 6-point scale (from 1 "no symptoms" to 6 "very severe symptoms") compared to the start of the study at the end-of-study visit
- i. Unadjusted model due to convergence problems
- Only non-serious AEs that led to a dose reduction/ discontinuation/ interruption of the study medication, were potentially also recorded as efficacy endpoints or belonged to a selection of AEs predefined by the pharmaceutical company were recorded.
- k. Without taking into account the following events, which were defined as secondary complications by the pharmaceutical company in Module 4 A: Death from any cause, hospitalisation for heart failure, myocardial infarction, stroke, transient ischaemic attack, atrial fibrillation, acute kidney failure and unstable angina pectoris.
- I. Including events that the pharmaceutical company defined as secondary complications
- m. Probable and definite diabetic ketoacidosis adjudicated by an endpoint committee were analysed.
- n. IQWiG calculation, 95% CI asymptotic, unconditional exact test (CSZ method according to Andrés)

COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; HR: hazard ratio; n. d.: no data available; KCCQ: Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; CI: confidence interval; LOCF: last observation carried forward; n: number of patients with (at least 1) event; N: number of patients evaluated; OSS: Overall Summary Score; PGIS: Patient Global Impression of Severity; PT: preferred term; PC: pharmaceutical company; RR: relative risk; SOC: system organ class; SAE: serious adverse event; TSS: Total Symptom Score; AE: adverse event; VAS: visual analogue scale

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

Adults with symptomatic chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction HFpEF (LVEF > 50%) and with mildly reduced ejection fraction HFmrEF (LVEF > 40 to 49%)

Approx. 1,270,000 to 1,400,000 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Forxiga (active ingredient: dapagliflozin) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 12 July 2023):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/forxiga-epar-product-information_en.pdf

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Adults with symptomatic chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction HFpEF (LVEF > 50%) and with mildly reduced ejection fraction HFmrEF (LVEF > 40 to 49%)

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient			
Medicinal product to be assessed:				
Dapagliflozin	€ 883.67			
+ optimised standard therapy	Different from patient to patient			
Appropriate comparator therapy:				
Optimised standard therapy	Different from patient to patient			

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 1 August 2023)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

5. Designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product

In the context of the designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, the following findings are made:

Adults with symptomatic chronic heart failure with preserved ejection fraction HFpEF (LVEF > 50%) and with mildly reduced ejection fraction HFmrEF (LVEF > 40 to 49%)

No medicinal product with new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy that fulfils the requirements of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.

The designation of combinations exclusively serves the implementation of the combination discount according to Section 130e SGB V between health insurance funds and pharmaceutical companies. The findings made neither restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate, nor do they make statements about expediency or economic feasibility.