

Vosoritide (reassessment due to exceeding the € 30 million turnover limit: achondroplasia, ≥ 2 years)

Resolution of: 15 February 2024 Valid until: unlimited

Entry into force on: 15 February 2024 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT 26 03 2024 B5

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 23 October 2023):

Voxzogo is indicated for the treatment of achondroplasia in patients 4 months of age and older whose epiphyses are not closed. The diagnosis of achondroplasia should be confirmed by appropriate genetic testing.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 15 February 2024):

Voxzogo is indicated for the treatment of achondroplasia in patients 2 years of age and older whose epiphyses are not closed.

1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy

Patients 2 years of age and older with achondroplasia and whose epiphyses are not closed

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Best supportive care

"Best supportive care" (BSC) is understood as the therapy that ensures the best possible, patient-individually optimised, supportive treatment to alleviate symptoms and improve quality of life.

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of vosoritide compared to the best supportive care:

Indication of non-quantifiable additional benefit

Study results according to endpoints:1

Patients 2 years of age and older with achondroplasia and whose epiphyses are not closed

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the IQWiG (A23-92) and from the addendum (A24-08), unless otherwise indicated.

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/	Summary
	risk of bias	
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No deaths occurred.
Morbidity	↑ ↑	Advantage in "body height (z score)"
Health-related quality	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit
of life		assessment.
Side effects	\leftrightarrow	No relevant differences for the benefit
		assessment overall.

Explanations:

 \uparrow : statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

 \downarrow : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliability of data

个个: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 $\downarrow \downarrow$: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

 \emptyset : No data available.

n.a.: not assessable

BMN 111-301 study: RCT, vosoritide + BSC vs placebo + BSC in children and adolescents aged 5 to <18 years over 52 weeks

BMN 111-206 study: RCT, vosoritide + BSC vs placebo + BSC in children aged 2 to < 5 years

Mortality

Endpoint Study	Vosoritide + BSC			Placebo + BSC	Intervention vs control			
	Nª	Patients with event n (%)	N ^a Patients with event n (%)		RR [95% CI]; p value ^b			
Overall mortality (Overall mortality (collected as part of AEs)							
206	15	0 (0)	16	0 (0)	-			
301	60	0 (0)	61	0 (0)	-			

Morbidity

Endpoint; study		Vosoritio	le + BSC		Placebo	Intervention vs control	
	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 LS MV [95% CI]	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 LS MV [95% CI]	Mean difference [95% CI] p value
Body height (z score))						
206 Reference population USA ^c	15	-4.27 (0.81)	0.27 [0.04; 0.50]	16	-5.13 (1.15)	-0.06 [-0.28; 0.16]	0.33 [0.00; 0.67]; 0.051
301							
Reference population USA ^c	60	-5.13 (1.11)	0.27 [0.18; 0.36]	61	-5.14 (1.07)	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09]	0.28 [0.17; 0.39]; < 0.001
Reference population Germany ^d (presented additionally)	60	-5.69 (1.11)	0.28 [0.20, 0.35]	61	-5.68 (1.09)	-0.01 [-0.09, 0.07]	0.28 [0.19, 0.37]; < 0.001
Total							0.28 [0.18; 0.39]; < 0.001
Annualized growth r	ate [cm/year] (presented add	litior	nally)		L
206	15	4.74 (1.68)	1.99 [1.31; 2.67]	16	4.20 (1.78)	0.89 [0.23; 1.55]	1.10 [0.13; 2.07]; 0.028
301	60	4.26 (1.53)	1.71 [1.40; 2.01]	61	4.06 (1.20)	0.13 [-0.18; 0.45]	1.57 [1.22; 1.93]; < 0.001
Total							1.51 [1.18; 1.85]; < 0.001
Ratio of upper to lov	ver b	ody segme	ent (presented	add	itionally)		
206	15	2.35 (0.17)	-0.14 [-0.24; -0.04]	16	2.25 (0.19)	-0.08 [-0.18; 0.01]	-0.06 [-0.20; 0.09]; 0.425b
301	60	1.98 (0.20)	-0.03 [-0.06; 0.00]	61	2.01 (0.21)	-0.02 [-0.05; 0.01]	-0.01 [-0.05; 0.02]; 0.506
Total							-0.01 [-0.05; 0.02]; 0.463 ^e

Endpoint; study		Vosoritio	de + BSC		Placebo	o + BSC	Intervention vs control
	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 LS MV [95% CI]	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 LS MV [95% CI]	Mean difference [95% CI] p value
Body proportional re	elatio	onships bet	tween the extr	emit	ties (preser	nted additiona	lly)
Upper arm length to	fore	arm length					
206	15	1.10 (0.06)	0.00 [-0.07; 0.07]	16	1.08 (0.10)	0.0 [-0.02; 0.11]	-0.05 [-0.15; 0.05]; 0.309
301	58	1.08 (0.14)	0.02 [-0.01; 0.05]	61	1.05 (0.08)	0.03 [0.00; 0.06]	-0.01 [-0.04; 0.02]; 0.568
Total							0.01 [-0.04; 0.02]; 0.364°
Ratio of thigh length	to le	ngth from	knee to heel				
206	15	0.64 (0.09)	0.01 [-0.02; 0.04]	16	0.64 (0.07)	-0.01 [-0.04; 0.02]	0.02 [-0.03; 0.06]; 0.490
301	58	0.65 (0.07)	0.01 [0.00; 0.03]	61	0.66 (0.05)	0.02 [0.00; 0.04]	-0.01 [-0.02; 0.01]; 0.568
Total							0.02 [-0.03; 0.06]; 0.490 ^e
Ratio of thigh length	to sł	nin length					
206	15	1.02 (0.18)	0.01 [-0.05; 0.08]	16	1.05 (0.13)	0.01 [-0.05; 0.07]	0.00 [-0.09; 0.10]; 0.965
301	58	1.07 (0.13)	0.01 [-0.01; 0.04]	61	1.08 (0.11)	0.03 [0.01; 0.06]	-0.02 [-0.05; 0.01]; 0.195
Total							-0.02 [-0.05; 0.01]; 0.213 ^e
Ratio of arm span to body height when standing							
206	15	0.87 (0.06)	0.00 [-0.02; 0.02]	16	0.88 (0.03)	0.01 [-0.01; 0.03]	-0.01 [-0.03; 0.02]; 0.620
301	58	0.90 (0.06)	0.00 [-0.01; 0.00]	61	0.90 (0.04)	0.00 [0.00; 0.01]	-0.01 [-0.02; 0.00]; 0.123
Total							-0.01 [-0.02; 0.00]; 0.035 ^e

Endpoint; study	J.	Vosoritid	le + BSC		Placebo	+ BSC	Intervention vs control
	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 LS MV [95% CI]	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 LS MV [95% CI]	Mean difference [95% CI] p value
Functional independ	lence	(WeeFIM)	f	•			
Total score 206	15	63.7 (29.5)	12.3 (18.1) ^g	14	74.8 (20.4)	11.2 (11.1) ^g	1.1 [-10.44; 12.64]; 0.846 ^b
Self-care 206	15	22.3 (13.2)	5.8 (7.6) ^g	14	27.1 (10.6)	6.4 (6.0) ^g	-0.6 [-5.84; 4.64]; 0.816 ^b
Mobility 206	15	19.0 (8.9)	3.9 (5.8) ^g	14	22.8 (6.4)	2.2 (3.4) ^g	1.7 [-1.96; 5.36]; 0.349 ^b
Cognition 206	15	22.5 (10.4)	2.6 (5.8) ^g	14	24.9 (7.2)	2.6 (4.0) ^g	0.0 [-3.82; 3.82]; > 0.999 b
301	No	suitable dat	ta				
Coping and beliefs -	QoLI	SSY (paren	t-reported) ^h				
206	End	point not a	ssessed				
Coping 301	28	47.23 (19.93)	-1.26 (13.30) ^g	22	38.70 (19.27)	4.38 (18.74) ^g	-5.64 [-14.75; 3.47]; 0.219 ⁱ
Beliefs 301	30	65.93 (27.80)	-1.46 (23.65) ^g	22	68.48 (27.40)	-4.26 (25.54) ^g	2.80 [-10.99; 16.60]; 0.685 [†]
Coping and beliefs-	QoLI	SSY (patien	t-reported , su	bject	s ≥ 8 years)	h	
206	End	point not a	ssessed		,		
Coping 301	27	50.75 (23.65)	-1.92 (21.54) ^g	36	47.91 (20.49)	-2.26 (23.54) ^g	0.34 [-11.22; 11.90]; 0.953 ^b
Beliefs 301	27	58.33 (28.06)	5.79 (26.74) ^g	33	62.31 (26.81)	-2.65 (25.63) ^g	8.44 [-5.13; 22.01]; 0.218 ^b

Quality of life

Endpoint; study		Vosoritio	de + BSC		Placebo	o + BSC	Intervention vs control
	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 MV (SD) [95% CI]	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 MV (SD) [95% CI]	Mean difference [95% CI] p value
QoLISSY (parent-rep	orte	d) ^h					
206	End	point not a	ssessed				
Total score 301	31	56.68 (16.61)	-0.90 (16.98)	23	55.70 (20.40)	3.58 (14.47)	-4.47 [-13.29; 4.35]; 0.314 ⁱ
Physical 301	31	47.96 (19.28)	-3.06 (21.28)	23	44.27 (21.97)	3.84 (16.71)	-6.90 [-17.66; 3.85];
Social 301	31	56.86 (20.59)	0.62 (19.27)	23	57.85 (21.08)	6.89 (16.88)	-6.27 [-16.37; 3.83];
Emotional 301	31	65.22 (16.71)	-0.24 (16.68)	23	64.98 (23.31)	0.00 (14.66)	-0.25 [-9.00; 8.51];
Future 301	29	70.78 (25.59)	2.67 (20.22)	22	75.00 (32.51)	0.68 (19.17)	1.99 [-9.25; 13.23];
Effects 301	31	58.27 (21.02)	-4.16 (17.39)	23	59.48 (21.45)	1.09 (16.87)	-5.24 [-14.73; 4.24];
QoLISSY (patient-rep	orte	d) ^h					
206	End	point not a	issessed				
Total score 301	26	64.59 (17.57)	4.34 (14.42)	35	66.40 (16.05)	-0.88 (19.02)	5.22 [-3.70; 14.14]; 0.246 ^b
Physical 301	27	56.36 (20.27)	6.73 (17.50)	37	60.95 (17.51)	-0.13 (21.10)	6.86 [-3.09; 16.81] ^b
Social 301	26	66.06 (19.92)	2.44 (15.68)	37	68.02 (20.51)	-2.14 (24.62)	4.58 [-6.38; 15.54] ^b
Emotional 301	27	71.36 (21.59)	2.65 (19.77)	35	70.23 (18.15)	0.80 (21.31)	1.85 [-8.73; 12.43] ^b

Endpoint; study		Vosoriti	de + BSC		Placebo	o + BSC	Intervention vs control
	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 MV (SD) [95% CI]	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 MV (SD) [95% CI]	Mean difference [95% CI] p value
PedsQL (parent-repo	rted	, subjects	≥ 5 to < 8 years) ^g				
206	End	point not a	ssessed				
Total score 301	30	71.63 (16.82)	-1.73 (18.00)	21	70.94 (16.76)	0.41 (12.68)	-2.15 [-11.32; 7.03]; 0.640 ⁱ
Physical functioning 301	30	69.96 (23.40)	-3.91 (24.19)	21	69.70 (19.39)	0.00 (17.20)	-3.92 [-16.27; 8.44];
Emotional functioning 301	30	72.83 (17.25)	4.50 (20.48)	21	76.96 (17.24)	0.95 (13.10)	3.55 [-5.91; 13.01];
Social functioning 301	30	68.50 (19.08)	-2.50 (20.88)	21	65.00 (22.91)	4.52 (18.36)	-7.02 [-18.40; 4.35];
School functioning 301	30	76.17 (16.49)	-3.67 (18.75)	21	72.83 (21.99)	-3.57 (15.98)	-0.10 [-10.20; 10.01];
PedsQL (patient-rep	orte	d, subjects	≥ 8 years) g			,	
206	End	point not a	ssessed				
Total score 301	25	74.07 (11.87)	0.85 (13.80)	33	75.32 (14.98)	-2.62 (15.06)	3.47 [-4.25; 11.19]; 0.372 ^b
Physical functioning 301	25	77.37 (14.11)	-0.24 (14.04)	33	77.03 (17.72)	-2.02 (16.27)	1.78 [-6.38; 9.94] ^b
Emotional functioning 301	24	75.18 (16.47)	1.88 (17.68)	33	76.29 (18.36)	0.11 (19.50)	1.77 [-8.32; 11.86] ^b
Social functioning 301	25	73.39 (19.72)	-0.20 (25.68)	33	71.14 (19.52)	-5.61 (23.48)	5.41 [-7.58; 18.40] ^b
School functioning 301	25	68.39 (18.56)	2.40 (17.80)	33	75.93 (16.93)	-3.41 (18.97)	5.81 [-4.00; 15.62] ^b

Endpoint; study		Vosoritio	de + BSC		Placebo	o + BSC	Intervention vs control
	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 MV (SD) [95% CI]	Nª	Values at start of study MV (SD)	Change at week 52 MV (SD) [95% CI]	Mean difference [95% CI] p value
ITQOL (children ≥ 2 t	o < 5	years)					
General health 301	12	82.67 (17.71)	5.42 (16.85)	12	82.14 (15.90)	3.75 (17.60)	1.67 [-12.92; 16.25]; 0.815 [†]
Physical abilities 206	14	68.44 (31.25)	7.38 (23.94)	14	77.90 (21.07)	-6.01 (9.83)	13.39 [-1.19; 27.96]; 0.070 ⁱ
Growth and development 206	14	77.00 (17.22)	3.66 (9.05)	14	76.17 (13.95)	4.82 (11.62)	-1.16 [-9.25; 6.93]; 0.770 ⁱ
Pain 206	14	87.78 (14.39)	-1.19 (20.11)	14	82.22 (12.94)	-1.19 (20.37)	0.00 [-15.73; 15.73]; > 0.999 ⁱ
Temperament and mood 206	14	82.41 (9.27)	0.86 (4.76)	14	82.87 (8.49)	4.79 (9.60)	-3.93 [-9.92; 2.07]; 0.186 ⁱ
Behaviour 206	14	83.75 (11.60)	0.00 (9.81)	13	78.75 (15.79)	2.73 (23.36)	-2.73 [-17.55; 12.10]; 0.702 ⁱ
Overall behaviour 206	14	87.00 (15.56)	2.14 (19.29)	13	86.67 (19.24)	1.15 (21.42)	0.99 [-15.15; 17.12]; 0.901 [†]
Getting along with others 206	No suitable data						
General health perception 206	14	66.11 (13.57)	3.05 (12.44)	13	65.11 (21.00)	-1.07 (15.43)	4.12 [-6.96; 15.19]; 0.451 ⁱ
Change in health 206	13	3.86 (0.66)	0.15 (0.69)	13	3.50 (0.85)	0.08 (0.64)	0.08 [-0.46; 0.62]; 0.771 ⁱ
301	End	point not a	issessed				

Side effects

Endpoint Study	Vosoritide + BSC			Placebo + BSC	Intervention vs control				
	Nª	Patients with event n (%)	Nª	Patients with event n (%)	RR [95% CI]; p value ^b				
Total adverse events – presented additionally									
206	15	15 (100.0)	16	16 (100.0)	-				
301	60	59 (98.3)	61	60 (98.4)	-				
Serious adverse ev	ents (S	SAE) ^j							
206	15	1 (6.7)	16	1 (6.3)	1.07 [0.07; 15.57]; > 0.999				
301	60	3 (5.0)	61	4 (6.6)	0.76 [0.18; 3.26]; 0.802				
Total					0.82 [0.23; 2.94]; 0.763 ^e				
Severe adverse eve	ents (C	TCAE grade ≥ 3) ^j							
206	15	0 (0)	16	0 (0)	-				
301	60	3 (5.0)	61	3 (4.9)	1.02 [0.21; 4.84]; > 0.999				
Therapy discontinu	uation	due to adverse events							
206	15	0 (0)	16	0 (0)	_				
301	60	1 (1.7)	61	0 (0)	3.05 [0.13; 73.40]; 0.367				
Reactions at the in	jection	n site (AEs)							
206	15	12 (80.0)	16	7 (43.8)	1.83 [0.99; 3.37]; 0.042				
301	60	51 (85.0)	61	50 (82.0)	1.04 [0.88; 1.22]; 0.710				
Total					1.13 [0.96; 1.33]; 0.135 ^e				

a. Number of patients who were taken into account in the evaluation for calculating the effect estimate (for the study 206, this corresponds to the relevant sub-population); the values at start of study can be based on other patient numbers.

b. IQWiG calculations

- c. Evaluation based on the US reference population of the CDC with average stature.
- d. Post-hoc evaluation based on the growth data from Germany on average height published by the Robert Koch Institute e. IQWiG calculation: Meta-analysis with fixed effect
- f. Higher (increasing) values mean a better functional independence; positive effects (intervention minus control) mean an advantage for the intervention (total score scale range 18 to 126)
- g. MV (SD)
- h. Higher (increasing) values mean a lower morbidity / better health-related quality of life; positive effects (intervention minus control) mean an advantage for the intervention (scale range 0 to 100).
- i. No data available on the calculation of the p value; presumably t-test
- j. Contain potentially disease-related events; in the present data basis, it is assumed that this does not have a relevant influence on the results for SAEs and severe AEs

Abbreviations used:

BSC: best supportive care; CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (USA); CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; n.d.: no data available; CI: confidence interval; LS: Least Squares; MD: mean difference; MV: mean value; n: number of patients with (at least 1) event; N: Number of patients evaluated; PedsQL: Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory; QoLISSY: The Quality of Life of Short Stature Youth; RCT: randomised controlled trial; RR: relative risk; SD: standard deviation; SAE: serious adverse event; AE: adverse event; WeeFIM: Paediatric Functional Independence Measure II

2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment

<u>Patients 2 years of age and older with achondroplasia and whose epiphyses are not closed</u>

Approx. 330 to 460 patients

3. Requirements for a quality-assured application

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Voxzogo (active ingredient: vosoritide) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 10 January 2024):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/voxzogo-epar-product-information en.pdf

Treatment with vosoritide must only be initiated and monitored by doctors experienced in the treatment of patients with growth disorders or skeletal dysplasias.

4. Treatment costs

Annual treatment costs:

Patients 2 years of age and older with achondroplasia and whose epiphyses are not closed

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient
Medicinal product to be assessed:	
Vosoritide	€ 225,680.60
Best supportive care	Different from patient to patient

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient				
Appropriate comparator therapy:					
Best supportive care	Different from patient to patient				

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE®) as last revised: 15 January 2024

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

Designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients according to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V that can be used in a combination therapy with the assessed medicinal product

In the context of the designation of medicinal products with new active ingredients pursuant to Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V, the following findings are made:

Patients 2 years of age and older with achondroplasia and whose epiphyses are not closed

 No medicinal product with new active ingredients that can be used in a combination therapy and fulfils the requirements of Section 35a, paragraph 3, sentence 4 SGB V.

The designation of combinations exclusively serves the implementation of the combination discount according to Section 130e SGB V between health insurance funds and pharmaceutical companies. The findings made neither restrict the scope of treatment required to fulfil the medical treatment mandate, nor do they make statements about expediency or economic feasibility.