

# Secukinumab/Pediatric Psoriasis CAIN457A2310

AMNOG Dossier Sensitivity Analysis C

Date: 24.08.2020

Document Status: Final

Author: Monika Schwager, Winicker Norimed GmbH

## Table of Contents

Table of Contents .....	2
Tables .....	3
Patient Disposition and Baseline Characteristics .....	3
1.1 Patient Disposition and Compliance (RAN, FAS) .....	3
1.2 Length of Study Participation (FAS) .....	5
1.3 Characterization of Study Population, Demographic Characteristics (FAS) .....	6
1.4 Disease History and Prior Medication (FAS) .....	8
1.5 Baseline Disease Characteristics (FAS) .....	10
1.6 Subgroups (FAS) .....	11
Safety Analysis .....	12
S.1.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis (SAF) .....	12
S.1.2 Adverse Events by SOC, PT and Severity, Binary Analysis (SAF) .....	15
S.1.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC, PT and Severity, Binary Analysis (SAF) .....	32
S.1.4 Any AE Leading to Study Discontinuation .....	34
S.1.5 Any AE Leading to Study Drug Discontinuation by SOC and PT, Frequencies (SAF) .....	35
S.1.6 Adverse Events of Special Interest by Severity, Binary Analysis (SAF) .....	36
S.1.7 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis (SAF) .....	42
S.2.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF) .....	43
S.2.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF) .....	46
S.2.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF) .....	47
S.2.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF) .....	48
S.2.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF) .....	49
S.2.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF) .....	52
S.3.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF) .....	54
S.3.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF) .....	57
S.3.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF) .....	58
S.3.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF) .....	59
S.3.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF) .....	60
S.3.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF) .....	63
S.4.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF) .....	65
S.4.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF) .....	68
S.4.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF) .....	69
S.4.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF) .....	70
S.4.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF) .....	71
S.4.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF) .....	75
S.5.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF) .....	77
S.5.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF) .....	80
S.5.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF) .....	81
S.5.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF) .....	82
S.5.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF) .....	83
S.5.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF) .....	86
S.6.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF) .....	88
S.6.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF) .....	91
S.6.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF) .....	92
S.6.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF) .....	93
S.6.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF) .....	94
S.6.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF) .....	97
S.7.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF) .....	99
S.7.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF) .....	102
S.7.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF) ...	103
S.7.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF) ....	104
S.7.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF) .....	105
S.7.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF) .....	109

## Tables

### Patient Disposition and Baseline Characteristics

#### 1.1 Patient Disposition and Compliance (RAN, FAS)

Disposition/Reason	Treatment Groups			
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	Total (N=85)
<b>Randomized (RAN)</b>	<b>31 (100.0)</b>	<b>28 (100.0)</b>	<b>26 (100.0)</b>	<b>85 (100.0)</b>
<b>Full Analysis Set (FAS)</b>	<b>31 (100.0)</b>	<b>28 (100.0)</b>	<b>26 (100.0)</b>	<b>85 (100.0)</b>
<b>Study discontinuation during induction period</b>	<b>1 (3.2)</b>	<b>0 (0.0)</b>	<b>0 (0.0)</b>	<b>1 (1.2)</b>
<b><u>Reasons for study discontinuation during induction period</u></b>				
Adverse event	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Lack of efficacy	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Protocol deviation	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Subject/guardian decision	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)
<b>Study discontinuation during maintenance period</b>	<b>0 (0.0)</b>	<b>0 (0.0)</b>	<b>1 (3.8)</b>	<b>1 (1.2)</b>
<b><u>Reasons for study discontinuation during maintenance period</u></b>				
Adverse event	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Lack of efficacy	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)	1 (1.2)
Protocol deviation	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Subject/guardian decision	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<b>Study drug during induction period</b>				
Received study drug	31 (100.0)	28 (100.0)	26 (100.0)	85 (100.0)
Did not receive study drug	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Completed induction period on double-blind study drug	30 (96.8)	28 (100.0)	26 (100.0)	84 (98.8)
Prematurely discontinued double-blind study drug during induction period	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)
<b><u>Primary reason for premature discontinuation from double-blind study drug during induction period</u></b>				
Adverse event	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Lack of efficacy	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Pregnancy	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Protocol deviation	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Subject/guardian decision	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Withdrawal of informed consent	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)

Disposition/Reason	Treatment Groups			
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	Total (N=85)
<b>Study drug during maintenance period</b>				
Received study drug	30 (96.8)	28 (100.0)	26 (100.0)	84 (98.8)
Did not receive study drug	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)
Completed maintenance period on double-blind study drug	29 (93.5)	27 (96.4)	21 (80.8)	77 (90.6)
Prematurely discontinued double-blind study drug during maintenance period	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	5 (19.2)	7 (8.2)
<b><u>Primary reason for premature discontinuation from double-blind study drug during maintenance period</u></b>				
Adverse event	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)	2 (2.4)
Lack of efficacy	0 (0.0)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.5)	4 (4.7)
Pregnancy	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)	1 (1.2)
Protocol deviation	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Subject/guardian decision	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Withdrawal of informed consent	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<b>Protocol deviations during induction period</b>				
Subject with at least one protocol deviation	7 (22.6)	7 (25.0)	12 (46.2)	26 (30.6)
Selection criteria not met	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Treatment deviation	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	4 (15.4)	5 (5.9)
Prohibited concomitant medication	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Other deviation	6 (19.4)	7 (25.0)	8 (30.8)	21 (24.7)
<b>Protocol deviations during maintenance period</b>				
Subject with at least one protocol deviation	9 (29.0)	11 (39.3)	14 (53.8)	34 (40.0)
Selection criteria not met	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Treatment deviation	6 (19.4)	6 (21.4)	11 (42.3)	23 (27.1)
Prohibited concomitant medication	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Other deviation	5 (16.1)	5 (17.9)	4 (15.4)	14 (16.5)

## 1.2 Length of Study Participation (FAS)

Subgroups	Treatment Groups			
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	Total (N=85)
<b>Study participation in induction period</b>				
Mean ± SD (in days)	84.7 ± 15.1	86.3 ± 8.4	86.6 ± 5.0	85.8 ± 10.6
Median (in days)	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0
Range (in days)	9 - 106	78 - 127	81 - 108	9 - 127
<b>Study participation in maintenance period</b>				
Mean ± SD (in days)	276.6 ± 20.8	279.1 ± 13.6	245.9 ± 85.0	267.9 ± 51.1
Median (in days)	281.0	281.0	281.0	281.0
Range (in days)	175 - 309	223 - 309	24 - 304	24 - 309
<b>Study participation in induction+maintenance period</b>				
Mean ± SD (in days)	351.4 ± 66.6	364.4 ± 14.2	331.5 ± 82.5	349.6 ± 62.0
Median (in days)	365.0	365.0	365.0	365.0
Range (in days)	9 - 393	301 - 386	113 - 388	9 - 393
Duration of study participation in each period is defined as the time from the first entry up to the last entry in the respective period.				

### 1.3 Characterization of Study Population, Demographic Characteristics (FAS)

Patient characteristics	Treatment Groups			
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	Total (N=85)
<b>Age (years)</b>				
Mean ± SD	13.5 ± 3.2	13.4 ± 3.3	13.5 ± 2.9	13.5 ± 3.1
Median	15.0	14.5	14.0	14.0
Range	7 - 17	6 - 17	6 - 17	6 - 17
<b>Age group (years), n(%)</b>				
<12	8 (25.8)	6 (21.4)	6 (23.1)	20 (23.5)
≥12	23 (74.2)	22 (78.6)	20 (76.9)	65 (76.5)
<b>Sex, n(%)</b>				
Male	9 (29.0)	13 (46.4)	10 (38.5)	32 (37.6)
Female	22 (71.0)	15 (53.6)	16 (61.5)	53 (62.4)
<b>Weight (kg)</b>				
Mean ± SD	51.9 ± 16.6	54.8 ± 21.4	52.2 ± 19.9	53.0 ± 19.1
Median	50.8	51.0	50.9	50.8
Range	21 - 85	20.5 - 116	20.5 - 105.5	20.5 - 116
<b>Weight group (kg), n(%)</b>				
<25	2 (6.5)	2 (7.1)	3 (11.5)	7 (8.2)
≥25 - <50	13 (41.9)	10 (35.7)	8 (30.8)	31 (36.5)
≥50	16 (51.6)	16 (57.1)	15 (57.7)	47 (55.3)
<b>Height (cm)</b>				
Mean ± SD	157.5 ± 15.6	156.9 ± 19.8	154.4 ± 17.4	156.3 ± 17.5
Median	160.0	160.0	158.0	160.0
Range	121 - 185	115 - 194	118 - 183	115 - 194
<b>BMI (kg/m)</b>				
Mean ± SD	20.3 ± 3.8	21.5 ± 4.5	21.0 ± 4.6	20.9 ± 4.2
Median	19.6	20.8	21.1	20.4
Range	14.1 - 30.7	14.4 - 33.9	14.7 - 31.5	14.1 - 33.9
<b>Child bearing status, n(%)</b>				
Able to bear children	13 (41.9)	10 (35.7)	11 (42.3)	34 (40.0)
Premenarche	9 (29.0)	5 (17.9)	5 (19.2)	19 (22.4)
<b>Race, n(%)</b>				
Caucasian	27 (87.1)	25 (89.3)	20 (76.9)	72 (84.7)
Black	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	0 (0.0)	2 (2.4)
Asian	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	2 (7.7)	4 (4.7)
Native American	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	4 (15.4)	6 (7.1)
Other	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)

<b>Treatment Groups</b>				
<b>Patient characteristics</b>	<b>SEC low (N=31)</b>	<b>SEC high (N=28)</b>	<b>ETA (N=26)</b>	<b>Total (N=85)</b>
<b>Ethnicity, n(%)</b>				
Hispanic/Latino	2 (6.5)	2 (7.1)	4 (15.4)	8 (9.4)
Not Hispanic or Latino	23 (74.2)	23 (82.1)	17 (65.4)	63 (74.1)
Unknown	3 (9.7)	2 (7.1)	2 (7.7)	7 (8.2)
<b>Region, n(%)</b>				
Africa	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.5)	5 (5.9)
America	2 (6.5)	3 (10.7)	4 (15.4)	9 (10.6)
Asia	7 (22.6)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.5)	11 (12.9)
Europe	21 (67.7)	23 (82.1)	16 (61.5)	60 (70.6)

## 1.4 Disease History and Prior Medication (FAS)

	Treatment Groups			
Disease history	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	Total (N=85)
<b>Diagnosis of plaque-type psoriasis, n(%)</b>				
Yes	31 (100.0)	28 (100.0)	26 (100.0)	85 (100.0)
<b>Time since first diagnosis of plaque-type psoriasis (years)</b>				
Mean ± SD	5.7 ± 4.4	4.9 ± 3.8	5.2 ± 4.3	5.3 ± 4.1
Median	4.8	3.3	4.0	4.1
Range	0.3 - 17.0	0.4 - 14.3	0.5 - 14.0	0.3 - 17.0
<b>Diagnosis of psoriatic arthritis, n(%)</b>				
Yes	4 (12.9)	2 (7.1)	3 (11.5)	9 (10.6)
No	27 (87.1)	26 (92.9)	23 (88.5)	76 (89.4)
<b>Time since first diagnosis of psoriatic arthritis (years)</b>				
Mean ± SD	5.4 ± 3.5	0.7 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 1.1	3.0 ± 3.2
Median	5.1	0.7	1.2	1.6
Range	1.6 - 9.7	0.7 - 0.8	0.3 - 2.6	0.3 - 9.7
<b>Previous psoriasis therapies, n(%)</b>				
Yes	31 (100.0)	28 (100.0)	26 (100.0)	85 (100.0)
<b>Previous systemic therapies, n(%)</b>				
Yes	25 (80.6)	19 (67.9)	16 (61.5)	60 (70.6)
No	6 (19.4)	9 (32.1)	10 (38.5)	25 (29.4)
Failure	24 (77.4)	17 (60.7)	14 (53.8)	55 (64.7)
no failure	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	2 (7.7)	5 (5.9)
<b>Previous phototherapy or photochemotherapy, n(%)</b>				
Yes	16 (51.6)	20 (71.4)	19 (73.1)	55 (64.7)
No	15 (48.4)	8 (28.6)	7 (26.9)	30 (35.3)
Failure	15 (48.4)	17 (60.7)	18 (69.2)	50 (58.8)
no failure	1 (3.2)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.8)	5 (5.9)
<b>Previous topical therapy, n(%)</b>				
Yes	23 (74.2)	24 (85.7)	23 (88.5)	70 (82.4)
No	8 (25.8)	4 (14.3)	3 (11.5)	15 (17.6)
Failure	21 (67.7)	20 (71.4)	19 (73.1)	60 (70.6)
no failure	2 (6.5)	4 (14.3)	4 (15.4)	10 (11.8)



<b>Treatment Groups</b>				
<b>Disease history</b>	<b>SEC low (N=31)</b>	<b>SEC high (N=28)</b>	<b>ETA (N=26)</b>	<b>Total (N=85)</b>
<b>Failure of at least one systemic therapy or phototherapy or photochemotherapy, n(%)</b>				
Yes	31 (100.0)	28 (100.0)	26 (100.0)	85 (100.0)

### 1.5 Baseline Disease Characteristics (FAS)

Baseline disease characteristics	Treatment Groups			
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	Total (N=85)
<b>Baseline PASI score</b>				
Mean ± SD	28.1 ± 7.5	29.1 ± 9.5	29.3 ± 10.4	28.8 ± 9.0
Median	25.2	26.2	25.3	25.9
Range	20.2 - 48	20.2 - 58.8	20.1 - 59.8	20.1 - 59.8
<b>Baseline PASI, n(%)</b>				
>20	31 (100.0)	28 (100.0)	26 (100.0)	85 (100.0)
<b>Baseline total BSA</b>				
Mean ± SD	37.7 ± 15.1	40.8 ± 18.7	44.2 ± 23.0	40.7 ± 18.9
Median	36.8	36.5	34.0	36.1
Range	12 - 72.5	16 - 94	13.1 - 90.5	12 - 94
<b>Baseline IGA mod 2011 score, n(%)</b>				
4 = Severe disease	31 (100.0)	28 (100.0)	26 (100.0)	85 (100.0)

## 1.6 Subgroups (FAS)

Subgroups	Treatment Groups			
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	Total (N=85)
<b>Subgroup Age, n (%)</b>				
< 12 years	8 (25.8)	6 (21.4)	6 (23.1)	20 (23.5)
≥ 12 years	23 (74.2)	22 (78.6)	20 (76.9)	65 (76.5)
<b>Subgroup Gender, n (%)</b>				
Male	9 (29.0)	13 (46.4)	10 (38.5)	32 (37.6)
Female	22 (71.0)	15 (53.6)	16 (61.5)	53 (62.4)
<b>Subgroup Disease Severity, n (%)</b>				
Baseline PASI ≤ median	16 (51.6)	12 (42.9)	13 (50.0)	41 (48.2)
Baseline PASI > median	15 (48.4)	16 (57.1)	13 (50.0)	44 (51.8)
<b>Subgroup Region, n (%)</b>				
Africa	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.5)	5 (5.9)
America	2 (6.5)	3 (10.7)	4 (15.4)	9 (10.6)
Asia	7 (22.6)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.5)	11 (12.9)
Europe	21 (67.7)	23 (82.1)	16 (61.5)	60 (70.6)
<b>Subgroup Region - Europe vs. Others, n (%)</b>				
Europe	21 (67.7)	23 (82.1)	16 (61.5)	60 (70.6)
Others	10 (32.3)	5 (17.9)	10 (38.5)	25 (29.4)
<b>Subgroup Weight, n (%)</b>				
< 25 kg	2 (6.5)	2 (7.1)	3 (11.5)	7 (8.2)
≥ 25 kg - < 50 kg	13 (41.9)	10 (35.7)	8 (30.8)	31 (36.5)
≥ 50 kg	16 (51.6)	16 (57.1)	15 (57.7)	47 (55.3)
<b>Subgroup Weight - &lt; 50kg vs. ≥ 50 kg, n (%)</b>				
< 50 kg	15 (48.4)	12 (42.9)	11 (42.3)	38 (44.7)
≥ 50 kg	16 (51.6)	16 (57.1)	15 (57.7)	47 (55.3)
<b>Subgroup Previous Systemic Therapy, n (%)</b>				
No	6 (19.4)	9 (32.1)	10 (38.5)	25 (29.4)
Yes	25 (80.6)	19 (67.9)	16 (61.5)	60 (70.6)
Efficacy and safety subgroup analysis is displayed for all subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.				

## Safety Analysis

### S.1.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
Any AE, n (%)	25 (80.6)	24 (85.7)	24 (92.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.35 [0.03; 2.23] 0.382	0.87 [0.71; 1.07] 0.269	-0.12 [-0.29; 0.06] 0.186
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [0.04; 3.92] 0.742	0.93 [0.77; 1.12] 0.670	-0.07 [-0.23; 0.10] 0.434
Any AE, disease specific events excluded, n (%)	25 (80.6)	24 (85.7)	24 (92.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.35 [0.03; 2.23] 0.382	0.87 [0.71; 1.07] 0.269	-0.12 [-0.29; 0.06] 0.186
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [0.04; 3.92] 0.742	0.93 [0.77; 1.12] 0.670	-0.07 [-0.23; 0.10] 0.434
Any SAE, n (%)	3 (9.7)	3 (10.7)	5 (19.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.46 [0.06; 2.65] 0.514	0.50 [0.13; 1.91] 0.448	-0.10 [-0.28; 0.09] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [0.07; 2.98] 0.620	0.56 [0.15; 2.10] 0.460	-0.09 [-0.28; 0.10] 0.379
Any SAE, disease specific events excluded, n (%)	3 (9.7)	3 (10.7)	5 (19.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.46 [0.06; 2.65] 0.514	0.50 [0.13; 1.91] 0.448	-0.10 [-0.28; 0.09] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [0.07; 2.98] 0.620	0.56 [0.15; 2.10] 0.460	-0.09 [-0.28; 0.10] 0.379
Any severe AE, n (%)	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	4 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.19 [<0.01; 2.08] 0.253	0.21 [0.02; 1.76] 0.167	-0.12 [-0.27; 0.03] 0.117
SEC high vs. ETA				0.43 [0.04; 3.33] 0.598	0.46 [0.09; 2.33] 0.413	-0.08 [-0.25; 0.09] 0.337

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Any severe AE, disease specific events excluded, n (%)	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	4 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.19 [<0.01; 2.08] 0.253	0.21 [0.02; 1.76] 0.167	-0.12 [-0.27; 0.03] 0.117
SEC high vs. ETA				0.43 [0.04; 3.33] 0.598	0.46 [0.09; 2.33] 0.413	-0.08 [-0.25; 0.09] 0.337
Any AE leading to study discontinuation, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Any AE leading to study drug discontinuation, n (%)	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
<p>N: Number of patients in the analysis n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event CI: Confidence Interval N.E.: Not estimable OR: Odds Ratio RR: Relative Risk RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>OR (with CI and p-value) from exact logistic regression model with treatment as predictor. RR and RD calculated directly (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in both involved treatment groups.</p>						

## List of Excluded Disease-Specific SOC/Preferred Terms

<b>Preferred Terms (grouped by disease-specific event category)</b>
<b>General disorders and administration site conditions</b>
Fatigue
Malaise
<b>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</b>
Arthralgia
Back pain
Joint swelling
Pain in extremity
<b>Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders</b>
Dry skin
Erythema
Pruritus
Pruritus generalised
Psoriasis
Skin fissures
Skin irritation

### S.1.2 Adverse Events by SOC, PT and Severity, Binary Analysis (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
<b>Any adverse event, n (%)</b>	25 (80.6)	24 (85.7)	24 (92.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.35 [0.03; 2.23] 0.382	0.87 [0.71; 1.07] 0.269	-0.12 [-0.29; 0.06] 0.186
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [0.04; 3.92] 0.742	0.93 [0.77; 1.12] 0.670	-0.07 [-0.23; 0.10] 0.434
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	24 (77.4)	23 (82.1)	22 (84.6)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.63 [0.12; 2.88] 0.733	0.91 [0.71; 1.18] 0.738	-0.07 [-0.27; 0.13] 0.486
SEC high vs. ETA				0.84 [0.15; 4.48] 1.000	0.97 [0.77; 1.23] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.22; 0.17] 0.807
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	12 (38.7)	11 (39.3)	16 (61.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.40 [0.12; 1.30] 0.146	0.63 [0.37; 1.08] 0.113	-0.23 [-0.48; 0.03] 0.078
SEC high vs. ETA				0.41 [0.12; 1.37] 0.173	0.64 [0.37; 1.11] 0.173	-0.22 [-0.48; 0.04] 0.094
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	4 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.19 [<0.01; 2.08] 0.253	0.21 [0.02; 1.76] 0.167	-0.12 [-0.27; 0.03] 0.117
SEC high vs. ETA				0.43 [0.04; 3.33] 0.598	0.46 [0.09; 2.33] 0.413	-0.08 [-0.25; 0.09] 0.337
<b>Infections and infestations, n (%)</b>	21 (67.7)	20 (71.4)	19 (73.1)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.78 [0.21; 2.80] 0.886	0.93 [0.66; 1.30] 0.774	-0.05 [-0.29; 0.18] 0.659
SEC high vs. ETA				0.92 [0.23; 3.57] 1.000	0.98 [0.70; 1.36] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.26; 0.22] 0.892

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	19 (61.3)	19 (67.9)	17 (65.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.25; 2.82] 0.967	0.94 [0.63; 1.39] 0.789	-0.04 [-0.29; 0.21] 0.749
SEC high vs. ETA				1.12 [0.31; 4.03] 1.000	1.04 [0.71; 1.52] 1.000	0.02 [-0.23; 0.28] 0.847
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	8 (25.8)	9 (32.1)	8 (30.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.79 [0.21; 2.93] 0.902	0.84 [0.37; 1.92] 0.771	-0.05 [-0.28; 0.19] 0.679
SEC high vs. ETA				1.06 [0.29; 3.96] 1.000	1.04 [0.47; 2.30] 1.000	0.01 [-0.23; 0.26] 0.913
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				1.90 [0.09; 117.92] 1.000	1.86 [0.18; 19.29] 1.000	0.03 [-0.09; 0.15] 0.592
<b>Nasopharyngitis, n (%)</b>	9 (29.0)	12 (42.9)	8 (30.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.92 [0.25; 3.38] 1.000	0.94 [0.43; 2.09] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.26; 0.22] 0.887
SEC high vs. ETA				1.67 [0.48; 6.06] 0.525	1.39 [0.68; 2.86] 0.408	0.12 [-0.13; 0.38] 0.353
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	8 (25.8)	11 (39.3)	7 (26.9)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.95 [0.25; 3.68] 1.000	0.96 [0.40; 2.29] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.24; 0.22] 0.924
SEC high vs. ETA				1.74 [0.48; 6.62] 0.501	1.46 [0.67; 3.19] 0.395	0.12 [-0.12; 0.37] 0.330
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 8.24] 0.866	0.42 [0.04; 4.37] 0.587	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.08] 0.465
SEC high vs. ETA				0.92 [0.06; 13.69] 1.000	0.93 [0.14; 6.12] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.15; 0.13] 0.939



	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Gastroenteritis, n (%)</b>	4 (12.9)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				3.63 [0.33; 189.55] 0.472	3.35 [0.40; 28.19] 0.362	0.09 [-0.05; 0.23] 0.202
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
Mild, n (%)	3 (9.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				2.64 [0.20; 146.14] 0.751	2.52 [0.28; 22.76] 0.617	0.06 [-0.07; 0.19] 0.371
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
Moderate, n (%)	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.53 [0.11; 59.63] 1.000	0.03 [-0.03; 0.09] 0.309
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Pharyngitis, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	3 (10.7)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.82 [0.10; 6.76] 1.000	0.84 [0.18; 3.81] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.18; 0.14] 0.821
SEC high vs. ETA				0.92 [0.11; 7.59] 1.000	0.93 [0.21; 4.20] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.18; 0.16] 0.923
Mild, n (%)	2 (6.5)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.71 [0.08; 105.73] 1.000	1.68 [0.16; 17.47] 1.000	0.03 [-0.09; 0.14] 0.654
SEC high vs. ETA				2.94 [0.22; 163.67] 0.668	2.79 [0.31; 25.12] 0.612	0.07 [-0.07; 0.21] 0.323

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
<b>Rhinitis, n (%)</b>	2 (6.5)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.71 [0.08; 105.73] 1.000	1.68 [0.16; 17.47] 1.000	0.03 [-0.09; 0.14] 0.654
SEC high vs. ETA				2.94 [0.22; 163.67] 0.668	2.79 [0.31; 25.12] 0.612	0.07 [-0.07; 0.21] 0.323
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				2.94 [0.22; 163.67] 0.668	2.79 [0.31; 25.12] 0.612	0.07 [-0.07; 0.21] 0.323
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.53 [0.11; 59.63] 1.000	0.03 [-0.03; 0.09] 0.309
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Oral herpes, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.26 [<0.01; 3.50] 0.484	0.28 [0.03; 2.53] 0.322	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.05] 0.237
SEC high vs. ETA				0.29 [<0.01; 3.90] 0.555	0.31 [0.03; 2.79] 0.342	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.06] 0.267

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Mild, n (%)	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 8.24] 0.866	0.42 [0.04; 4.37] 0.587	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.08] 0.465
SEC high vs. ETA				0.45 [<0.01; 9.18] 0.943	0.46 [0.04; 4.82] 0.604	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.08] 0.513
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.6)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.01; 75.55] 1.000	0.93 [0.06; 14.10] 1.000	-0.00 [-0.10; 0.10] 0.957
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Gastrointestinal disorders, n (%)</b>	9 (29.0)	11 (39.3)	10 (38.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.66 [0.19; 2.28] 0.637	0.75 [0.36; 1.57] 0.575	-0.09 [-0.34; 0.15] 0.452
SEC high vs. ETA				1.03 [0.30; 3.56] 1.000	1.02 [0.52; 2.00] 1.000	0.01 [-0.25; 0.27] 0.950
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	9 (29.0)	11 (39.3)	5 (19.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.70 [0.43; 7.59] 0.588	1.51 [0.58; 3.95] 0.539	0.10 [-0.12; 0.32] 0.383
SEC high vs. ETA				2.67 [0.69; 11.82] 0.188	2.04 [0.82; 5.09] 0.141	0.20 [-0.04; 0.44] 0.096
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	2 (6.5)	2 (7.1)	5 (19.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.30 [0.03; 2.02] 0.290	0.34 [0.07; 1.59] 0.228	-0.13 [-0.30; 0.05] 0.151
SEC high vs. ETA				0.33 [0.03; 2.27] 0.361	0.37 [0.08; 1.75] 0.243	-0.12 [-0.30; 0.06] 0.186

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
<b>Abdominal pain, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	3 (10.7)	5 (19.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.46 [0.06; 2.65] 0.514	0.50 [0.13; 1.91] 0.448	-0.10 [-0.28; 0.09] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [0.07; 2.98] 0.620	0.56 [0.15; 2.10] 0.460	-0.09 [-0.28; 0.10] 0.379
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	2 (6.5)	3 (10.7)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.53 [0.04; 5.08] 0.830	0.56 [0.10; 3.10] 0.651	-0.05 [-0.20; 0.10] 0.507
SEC high vs. ETA				0.92 [0.11; 7.59] 1.000	0.93 [0.21; 4.20] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.18; 0.16] 0.923
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
<b>Diarrhoea, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	4 (14.3)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				2.64 [0.20; 146.14] 0.751	2.52 [0.28; 22.76] 0.617	0.06 [-0.07; 0.19] 0.371
SEC high vs. ETA				4.07 [0.37; 213.24] 0.399	3.71 [0.44; 31.11] 0.353	0.10 [-0.04; 0.25] 0.170

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Mild, n (%)	3 (9.7)	4 (14.3)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				2.64 [0.20; 146.14] 0.751	2.52 [0.28; 22.76] 0.617	0.06 [-0.07; 0.19] 0.371
SEC high vs. ETA				4.07 [0.37; 213.24] 0.399	3.71 [0.44; 31.11] 0.353	0.10 [-0.04; 0.25] 0.170
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Vomiting, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	4 (14.3)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				4.07 [0.37; 213.24] 0.399	3.71 [0.44; 31.11] 0.353	0.10 [-0.04; 0.25] 0.170
Mild, n (%)	1 (3.2)	4 (14.3)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.53 [0.11; 59.63] 1.000	0.03 [-0.03; 0.09] 0.309
SEC high vs. ETA				5.40 [0.64; >999.99] 0.129	8.38 [0.47; 148.44] 0.112	0.14 [0.01; 0.27] 0.031
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Abdominal pain upper, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	3 (10.7)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.26 [<0.01; 3.50] 0.484	0.28 [0.03; 2.53] 0.322	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.05] 0.237
SEC high vs. ETA				0.92 [0.11; 7.59] 1.000	0.93 [0.21; 4.20] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.18; 0.16] 0.923
Mild, n (%)	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 8.24] 0.866	0.42 [0.04; 4.37] 0.587	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.08] 0.465
SEC high vs. ETA				0.92 [0.06; 13.69] 1.000	0.93 [0.14; 6.12] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.15; 0.13] 0.939
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.6)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.01; 75.55] 1.000	0.93 [0.06; 14.10] 1.000	-0.00 [-0.10; 0.10] 0.957
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Nausea, n (%)</b>	2 (6.5)	2 (7.1)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.53 [0.04; 5.08] 0.830	0.56 [0.10; 3.10] 0.651	-0.05 [-0.20; 0.10] 0.507
SEC high vs. ETA				0.60 [0.05; 5.68] 0.928	0.62 [0.11; 3.41] 0.663	-0.04 [-0.20; 0.11] 0.580
Mild, n (%)	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 8.24] 0.866	0.42 [0.04; 4.37] 0.587	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.08] 0.465
SEC high vs. ETA				0.92 [0.06; 13.69] 1.000	0.93 [0.14; 6.12] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.15; 0.13] 0.939

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Moderate, n (%)	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders, n (%)</b>	11 (35.5)	11 (39.3)	8 (30.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.23 [0.36; 4.41] 0.928	1.15 [0.55; 2.43] 0.782	0.05 [-0.20; 0.29] 0.706
SEC high vs. ETA				1.45 [0.41; 5.27] 0.713	1.28 [0.61; 2.67] 0.577	0.09 [-0.17; 0.34] 0.510
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	10 (32.3)	8 (28.6)	6 (23.1)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.57 [0.42; 6.33] 0.640	1.40 [0.59; 3.33] 0.558	0.09 [-0.14; 0.32] 0.436
SEC high vs. ETA				1.33 [0.33; 5.57] 0.883	1.24 [0.50; 3.09] 0.760	0.05 [-0.18; 0.29] 0.644
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	4 (14.3)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				2.64 [0.20; 146.14] 0.751	2.52 [0.28; 22.76] 0.617	0.06 [-0.07; 0.19] 0.371
SEC high vs. ETA				4.07 [0.37; 213.24] 0.399	3.71 [0.44; 31.11] 0.353	0.10 [-0.04; 0.25] 0.170
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Eczema, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				2.94 [0.22; 163.67] 0.668	2.79 [0.31; 25.12] 0.612	0.07 [-0.07; 0.21] 0.323
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				1.90 [0.09; 117.92] 1.000	1.86 [0.18; 19.29] 1.000	0.03 [-0.09; 0.15] 0.592
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	1 (3.6)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.79 [0.12; 65.67] 1.000	0.04 [-0.03; 0.10] 0.309
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	9 (32.1)	4 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.59 [0.08; 3.92] 0.799	0.63 [0.15; 2.56] 0.691	-0.06 [-0.23; 0.12] 0.519
SEC high vs. ETA				2.56 [0.59; 13.27] 0.262	2.09 [0.73; 5.97] 0.207	0.17 [-0.05; 0.39] 0.138
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	9 (32.1)	4 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.59 [0.08; 3.92] 0.799	0.63 [0.15; 2.56] 0.691	-0.06 [-0.23; 0.12] 0.519
SEC high vs. ETA				2.56 [0.59; 13.27] 0.262	2.09 [0.73; 5.97] 0.207	0.17 [-0.05; 0.39] 0.138
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.



	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Oropharyngeal pain, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	4 (14.3)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				4.07 [0.37; 213.24] 0.399	3.71 [0.44; 31.11] 0.353	0.10 [-0.04; 0.25] 0.170
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	4 (14.3)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				4.07 [0.37; 213.24] 0.399	3.71 [0.44; 31.11] 0.353	0.10 [-0.04; 0.25] 0.170
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Cough, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	3 (10.7)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 8.24] 0.866	0.42 [0.04; 4.37] 0.587	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.08] 0.465
SEC high vs. ETA				1.43 [0.15; 18.54] 1.000	1.39 [0.25; 7.68] 1.000	0.03 [-0.12; 0.18] 0.700
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	3 (10.7)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 8.24] 0.866	0.42 [0.04; 4.37] 0.587	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.08] 0.465
SEC high vs. ETA				1.43 [0.15; 18.54] 1.000	1.39 [0.25; 7.68] 1.000	0.03 [-0.12; 0.18] 0.700
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>General disorders and administration site conditions, n (%)</b>	7 (22.6)	6 (21.4)	6 (23.1)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.97 [0.24; 4.13] 1.000	0.98 [0.38; 2.55] 1.000	-0.00 [-0.22; 0.21] 0.965
SEC high vs. ETA				0.91 [0.21; 4.03] 1.000	0.93 [0.34; 2.52] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.24; 0.21] 0.884
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	7 (22.6)	5 (17.9)	5 (19.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.22 [0.28; 5.66] 1.000	1.17 [0.42; 3.26] 1.000	0.03 [-0.18; 0.24] 0.756
SEC high vs. ETA				0.91 [0.18; 4.60] 1.000	0.93 [0.30; 2.84] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.22; 0.19] 0.897
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	1 (3.6)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.01; 75.55] 1.000	0.93 [0.06; 14.10] 1.000	-0.00 [-0.10; 0.10] 0.957
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Investigations, n (%)</b>	4 (12.9)	1 (3.6)	5 (19.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.63 [0.11; 3.32] 0.770	0.67 [0.20; 2.24] 0.718	-0.06 [-0.26; 0.13] 0.518
SEC high vs. ETA				0.16 [<0.01; 1.59] 0.160	0.19 [0.02; 1.49] 0.095	-0.16 [-0.32; 0.01] 0.065
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	4 (12.9)	0 (0.0)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.13 [0.17; 8.55] 1.000	1.12 [0.27; 4.55] 1.000	0.01 [-0.16; 0.18] 0.875
SEC high vs. ETA				0.23 [<0.01; 2.19] 0.210	0.13 [0.01; 2.46] 0.105	-0.12 [-0.24; 0.01] 0.066

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	2 (6.5)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.53 [0.04; 5.08] 0.830	0.56 [0.10; 3.10] 0.651	-0.05 [-0.20; 0.10] 0.507
SEC high vs. ETA				0.29 [<0.01; 3.90] 0.555	0.31 [0.03; 2.79] 0.342	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.06] 0.267
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Nervous system disorders, n (%)</b>	4 (12.9)	5 (17.9)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.13 [0.17; 8.55] 1.000	1.12 [0.27; 4.55] 1.000	0.01 [-0.16; 0.18] 0.875
SEC high vs. ETA				1.65 [0.28; 11.89] 0.792	1.55 [0.41; 5.84] 0.706	0.06 [-0.12; 0.25] 0.509
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	4 (12.9)	5 (17.9)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.76 [0.23; 21.10] 0.848	1.68 [0.33; 8.44] 0.678	0.05 [-0.10; 0.21] 0.513
SEC high vs. ETA				2.56 [0.37; 29.49] 0.485	2.32 [0.49; 10.94] 0.423	0.10 [-0.07; 0.28] 0.255
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.34 [<0.01; 4.43] 0.407	0.17 [0.01; 3.37] 0.204	-0.08 [-0.18; 0.03] 0.141
SEC high vs. ETA				0.37 [<0.01; 4.91] 0.454	0.19 [0.01; 3.71] 0.227	-0.08 [-0.18; 0.03] 0.141
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Headache, n (%)</b>	4 (12.9)	5 (17.9)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.13 [0.17; 8.55] 1.000	1.12 [0.27; 4.55] 1.000	0.01 [-0.16; 0.18] 0.875
SEC high vs. ETA				1.65 [0.28; 11.89] 0.792	1.55 [0.41; 5.84] 0.706	0.06 [-0.12; 0.25] 0.509

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Mild, n (%)	4 (12.9)	5 (17.9)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.76 [0.23; 21.10] 0.848	1.68 [0.33; 8.44] 0.678	0.05 [-0.10; 0.21] 0.513
SEC high vs. ETA				2.56 [0.37; 29.49] 0.485	2.32 [0.49; 10.94] 0.423	0.10 [-0.07; 0.28] 0.255
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Blood and lymphatic system disorders, n (%)</b>	4 (12.9)	2 (7.1)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				3.63 [0.33; 189.55] 0.472	3.35 [0.40; 28.19] 0.362	0.09 [-0.05; 0.23] 0.202
SEC high vs. ETA				1.90 [0.09; 117.92] 1.000	1.86 [0.18; 19.29] 1.000	0.03 [-0.09; 0.15] 0.592
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	2 (6.5)	2 (7.1)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				2.07 [0.16; >999.99] 0.583	4.22 [0.21; 84.14] 0.495	0.06 [-0.02; 0.15] 0.144
SEC high vs. ETA				2.30 [0.18; >999.99] 0.528	4.66 [0.23; 92.65] 0.491	0.07 [-0.02; 0.17] 0.142
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	2 (6.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.71 [0.08; 105.73] 1.000	1.68 [0.16; 17.47] 1.000	0.03 [-0.09; 0.14] 0.654
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	1 (3.6)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.79 [0.12; 65.67] 1.000	0.04 [-0.03; 0.10] 0.309
<b>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	2 (7.1)	4 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.14 [<0.01; 1.20] 0.076	0.09 [0.01; 1.66] 0.038	-0.15 [-0.29; -0.02] 0.030
SEC high vs. ETA				0.43 [0.04; 3.33] 0.598	0.46 [0.09; 2.33] 0.413	-0.08 [-0.25; 0.09] 0.337
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.20 [<0.01; 1.97] 0.178	0.12 [0.01; 2.23] 0.089	-0.12 [-0.24; 0.01] 0.066
SEC high vs. ETA				0.29 [<0.01; 3.90] 0.555	0.31 [0.03; 2.79] 0.342	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.06] 0.267
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	1 (3.6)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.01; 75.55] 1.000	0.93 [0.06; 14.10] 1.000	-0.00 [-0.10; 0.10] 0.957
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Reproductive system and breast disorders, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	4 (14.3)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.82 [0.10; 6.76] 1.000	0.84 [0.18; 3.81] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.18; 0.14] 0.821
SEC high vs. ETA				1.27 [0.19; 9.65] 1.000	1.24 [0.31; 5.01] 1.000	0.03 [-0.15; 0.21] 0.763

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	4 (14.3)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.82 [0.10; 6.76] 1.000	0.84 [0.18; 3.81] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.18; 0.14] 0.821
SEC high vs. ETA				1.27 [0.19; 9.65] 1.000	1.24 [0.31; 5.01] 1.000	0.03 [-0.15; 0.21] 0.763
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Dysmenorrhoea, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	3 (10.7)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 8.24] 0.866	0.42 [0.04; 4.37] 0.587	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.08] 0.465
SEC high vs. ETA				1.43 [0.15; 18.54] 1.000	1.39 [0.25; 7.68] 1.000	0.03 [-0.12; 0.18] 0.700
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	3 (10.7)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 8.24] 0.866	0.42 [0.04; 4.37] 0.587	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.08] 0.465
SEC high vs. ETA				1.43 [0.15; 18.54] 1.000	1.39 [0.25; 7.68] 1.000	0.03 [-0.12; 0.18] 0.700
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Injury, poisoning and procedural complications, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	3 (10.7)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				2.64 [0.20; 146.14] 0.751	2.52 [0.28; 22.76] 0.617	0.06 [-0.07; 0.19] 0.371
SEC high vs. ETA				2.94 [0.22; 163.67] 0.668	2.79 [0.31; 25.12] 0.612	0.07 [-0.07; 0.21] 0.323

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	3 (10.7)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				3.40 [0.35; >999.99] 0.307	5.91 [0.32; 109.36] 0.242	0.10 [-0.01; 0.20] 0.068
SEC high vs. ETA				3.79 [0.39; >999.99] 0.264	6.52 [0.35; 120.42] 0.237	0.11 [-0.01; 0.22] 0.067
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	1 (3.6)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.01; 75.55] 1.000	0.93 [0.06; 14.10] 1.000	-0.00 [-0.10; 0.10] 0.957
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

N': Number of patients in the analysis  
n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  
CI: Confidence Interval  
N.E.: Not estimable  
OR: Odds Ratio  
RR: Relative Risk  
RD: Risk Difference

OR (with CI and p-value) from exact logistic regression model with treatment as predictor.  
RR and RD calculated directly (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.

OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in both involved treatment groups.

### S.1.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC, PT and Severity, Binary Analysis (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
<b>Any SAE, n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	3 (10.7)	5 (19.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.46 [0.06; 2.65] 0.514	0.50 [0.13; 1.91] 0.448	-0.10 [-0.28; 0.09] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [0.07; 2.98] 0.620	0.56 [0.15; 2.10] 0.460	-0.09 [-0.28; 0.10] 0.379
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.53 [0.11; 59.63] 1.000	0.03 [-0.03; 0.09] 0.309
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.26 [<0.01; 3.50] 0.484	0.28 [0.03; 2.53] 0.322	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.05] 0.237
SEC high vs. ETA				0.29 [<0.01; 3.90] 0.555	0.31 [0.03; 2.79] 0.342	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.06] 0.267
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.26 [<0.01; 3.50] 0.484	0.28 [0.03; 2.53] 0.322	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.05] 0.237
SEC high vs. ETA				0.60 [0.05; 5.68] 0.928	0.62 [0.11; 3.41] 0.663	-0.04 [-0.20; 0.11] 0.580
<b>Gastrointestinal disorders, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.20 [<0.01; 1.97] 0.178	0.12 [0.01; 2.23] 0.089	-0.12 [-0.24; 0.01] 0.066
SEC high vs. ETA				0.23 [<0.01; 2.19] 0.210	0.13 [0.01; 2.46] 0.105	-0.12 [-0.24; 0.01] 0.066
<b>Mild, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.



	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Moderate, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.34 [<0.01; 4.43] 0.407	0.17 [0.01; 3.37] 0.204	-0.08 [-0.18; 0.03] 0.141
SEC high vs. ETA				0.37 [<0.01; 4.91] 0.454	0.19 [0.01; 3.71] 0.227	-0.08 [-0.18; 0.03] 0.141
<b>Severe, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.71] 0.912	0.28 [0.01; 6.63] 0.456	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>OR (with CI and p-value) from exact logistic regression model with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in both involved treatment groups.</p>						

### **S1.4 Any AE Leading to Study Discontinuation**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.
--

**S1.5 Any AE Leading to Study Drug Discontinuation by SOC and PT, Frequencies (SAF)**

	Treatment Groups		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)
N'	31	28	26
<b>Investigations, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)
<b>Hepatic enzyme increased, n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)
<b>Psychiatric disorders, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<b>Behaviour disorder, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<b>Major depression, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<b>Mental disorder, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<b>Suicidal ideation, n (%)</b>	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
N': Number of patients in the analysis n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event			

### S.1.6 Adverse Events of Special Interest by Severity, Binary Analysis (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
<b>Infections and infestations (SOC), n (%)</b>	21 (67.7)	21 (75.0)	19 (73.1)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.78 [0.21; 2.80] 0.886	0.93 [0.66; 1.30] 0.774	-0.05 [-0.29; 0.18] 0.659
SEC high vs. ETA				1.10 [0.27; 4.46] 1.000	1.03 [0.75; 1.41] 1.000	0.02 [-0.21; 0.25] 0.872
Mild, n (%)	19 (61.3)	20 (71.4)	17 (65.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.25; 2.82] 0.967	0.94 [0.63; 1.39] 0.789	-0.04 [-0.29; 0.21] 0.749
SEC high vs. ETA				1.32 [0.36; 4.91] 0.853	1.09 [0.76; 1.57] 0.771	0.06 [-0.19; 0.31] 0.633
Moderate, n (%)	8 (25.8)	9 (32.1)	8 (30.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.79 [0.21; 2.93] 0.902	0.84 [0.37; 1.92] 0.771	-0.05 [-0.28; 0.19] 0.679
SEC high vs. ETA				1.06 [0.29; 3.96] 1.000	1.04 [0.47; 2.30] 1.000	0.01 [-0.23; 0.26] 0.913
Severe, n (%)	1 (3.2)	2 (7.1)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				1.90 [0.09; 117.92] 1.000	1.86 [0.18; 19.29] 1.000	0.03 [-0.09; 0.15] 0.592
Serious, n (%)	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.53 [0.11; 59.63] 1.000	0.03 [-0.03; 0.09] 0.309
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.79 [0.12; 65.67] 1.000	0.04 [-0.03; 0.10] 0.309

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Hypersensitivity (SMQ) (narrow), n (%)</b>	3 (9.7)	7 (25.0)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.82 [0.10; 6.76] 1.000	0.84 [0.18; 3.81] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.18; 0.14] 0.821
SEC high vs. ETA				2.51 [0.49; 17.02] 0.358	2.17 [0.63; 7.51] 0.298	0.13 [-0.07; 0.34] 0.192
Mild, n (%)	3 (9.7)	4 (14.3)	3 (11.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.82 [0.10; 6.76] 1.000	0.84 [0.18; 3.81] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.18; 0.14] 0.821
SEC high vs. ETA				1.27 [0.19; 9.65] 1.000	1.24 [0.31; 5.01] 1.000	0.03 [-0.15; 0.21] 0.763
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	3 (10.7)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				3.79 [0.39; >999.99] 0.264	6.52 [0.35; 120.42] 0.237	0.11 [-0.01; 0.22] 0.067
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Serious, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Neutropenia (NMQ) (narrow), n (%)</b>	2 (6.5)	1 (3.6)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.71 [0.08; 105.73] 1.000	1.68 [0.16; 17.47] 1.000	0.03 [-0.09; 0.14] 0.654
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.01; 75.55] 1.000	0.93 [0.06; 14.10] 1.000	-0.00 [-0.10; 0.10] 0.957
Mild, n (%)	1 (3.2)	1 (3.6)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.53 [0.11; 59.63] 1.000	0.03 [-0.03; 0.09] 0.309
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.79 [0.12; 65.67] 1.000	0.04 [-0.03; 0.10] 0.309

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Moderate, n (%)	1 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 68.00] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.76] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.09] 0.900
SEC high vs. ETA				0.93 [<0.01; 36.21] 0.963	0.31 [0.01; 7.30] 0.481	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04] 0.308
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Serious, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Drug specific antibody present (PT), n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Mild, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Serious, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Hepatitis viral infections (HLT), n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Mild, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Serious, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Inflammatory bowel disease (NMQ) (narrow), n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Mild, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Serious, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>MACE (MI, Stroke, Cardiovascular death) (NMQ), n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Mild, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Serious, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Malignant or unspecified tumours (SMQ), n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Mild, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Serious, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Vaccination related complications (HLT), n (%)</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Mild, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Moderate, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Severe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.



	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Serious, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>OR (with CI and p-value) from exact logistic regression model with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in both involved treatment groups.</p>						

Die Diskrepanz in der Häufigkeit der Ereignisse in der SOC Infections and Investigations im Vergleich zu S.1.2 erklärt sich folgendermaßen: In S.1.2. (UE nach SOC und PT) wird die Primary SOC verwendet, während in S.1.6. auch Events zur SOC Infections and infestations zugeordnet werden, die zwar auch zu dieser SOC gehören, aber primär einer anderen SOC zugeordnet sind. Konkret handelt es sich dabei um das AE ‚Virus warts‘ in der Gruppe SEC high (PT: Skin papilloma, Primary SOC: Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified, Secondary SOC: Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders / Infections and infestations).

### S.1.7 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Pubertal at Baseline</b>						
N'	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>			
n (%)	27 (87.1)	21 (75.0)	22 (84.6)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.22 [0.20; 7.38] 1.000	1.03 [0.83; 1.27] 1.000	0.02 [-0.16; 0.21] 0.789
SEC high vs. ETA				0.55 [0.10; 2.55] 0.593	0.89 [0.68; 1.16] 0.505	-0.10 [-0.31; 0.12] 0.374
<b>Pubertal at Week 52</b>						
N'	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>23</b>			
n (%)	26 (89.7)	21 (77.8)	21 (91.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.83 [0.06; 7.95] 1.000	0.98 [0.82; 1.17] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.18; 0.14] 0.840
SEC high vs. ETA				0.34 [0.03; 2.19] 0.363	0.85 [0.67; 1.08] 0.261	-0.14 [-0.33; 0.06] 0.173
<p>N': Number of patients with available response value  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>OR (with CI and p-value) from exact logistic regression model with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in both involved treatment groups.</p>						

## S.2.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Age < 12 years	8	6	6			
N' Age ≥ 12 years	23	22	20			
<b>Any AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.680					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	7 (87.5)	5 (83.3)	5 (83.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.37 [0.01; 125.38] 1.000	1.05 [0.67; 1.64] 1.000	0.04 [-0.33; 0.42] 0.828
SEC high vs. ETA				1.00 [0.01; 94.01] 1.000	1.00 [0.60; 1.66] 1.000	0.00 [-0.42; 0.42] 1.000
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	18 (78.3)	19 (86.4)	19 (95.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.20 [<0.01; 2.00] 0.254	0.82 [0.65; 1.04] 0.192	-0.17 [-0.36; 0.03] 0.090
SEC high vs. ETA				0.34 [<0.01; 4.70] 0.681	0.91 [0.75; 1.10] 0.608	-0.09 [-0.26; 0.09] 0.326
<b>Any SAE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.672					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (16.7)	1 (16.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.75 [<0.01; 29.25] 0.857	0.26 [0.01; 5.44] 0.429	-0.17 [-0.46; 0.13] 0.273
SEC high vs. ETA				1.00 [0.01; 94.01] 1.000	1.00 [0.08; 12.56] 1.000	0.00 [-0.42; 0.42] 1.000
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	3 (13.0)	2 (9.1)	4 (20.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.61 [0.08; 4.17] 0.836	0.65 [0.17; 2.57] 0.687	-0.07 [-0.29; 0.15] 0.541
SEC high vs. ETA				0.41 [0.03; 3.28] 0.572	0.45 [0.09; 2.22] 0.400	-0.11 [-0.32; 0.10] 0.314

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Any severe AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (16.7)	1 (16.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.75 [<0.01; 29.25] 0.857	0.26 [0.01; 5.44] 0.429	-0.17 [-0.46; 0.13] 0.273
SEC high vs. ETA				1.00 [0.01; 94.01] 1.000	1.00 [0.08; 12.56] 1.000	0.00 [-0.42; 0.42] 1.000
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	1 (4.3)	1 (4.5)	3 (15.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.27 [<0.01; 3.64] 0.503	0.29 [0.03; 2.57] 0.323	-0.11 [-0.28; 0.07] 0.239
SEC high vs. ETA				0.28 [<0.01; 3.82] 0.535	0.30 [0.03; 2.68] 0.333	-0.10 [-0.28; 0.07] 0.253
<b>Any AE leading to study discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Any AE leading to study drug discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	1 (4.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.87 [0.01; 71.32] 1.000	0.87 [0.06; 13.02] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.13; 0.12] 0.920
SEC high vs. ETA				0.91 [<0.01; 35.45] 0.952	0.30 [0.01; 7.07] 0.476	-0.05 [-0.15; 0.05] 0.305
<p>N: Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

## S.2.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Age < 12 years	8	6	6			
N' Age ≥ 12 years	23	22	20			
<b>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (16.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.75 [<0.01; 29.25] 0.857	0.26 [0.01; 5.44] 0.429	-0.17 [-0.46; 0.13] 0.273
SEC high vs. ETA				1.00 [<0.01; 39.00] 1.000	0.33 [0.02; 6.86] 1.000	-0.17 [-0.46; 0.13] 0.273
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	2 (9.1)	3 (15.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.21 [<0.01; 2.03] 0.185	0.13 [0.01; 2.28] 0.092	-0.15 [-0.31; 0.01] 0.060
SEC high vs. ETA				0.57 [0.04; 5.64] 0.906	0.61 [0.11; 3.26] 0.656	-0.06 [-0.26; 0.14] 0.557
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Subgroup analysis of SOCs and PTs is displayed only if the main effect in the total population is significant (p &lt; 0.05 in Fisher's exact test for RR).  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### **S.2.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.

## **S.2.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.



## S.2.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Age < 12 years	8	6	6			
N' Age ≥ 12 years	23	22	20			
<b>Infections and infestations (SOC)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.534					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	5 (62.5)	5 (83.3)	3 (50.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.61 [0.12; 22.59] 1.000	1.25 [0.48; 3.28] 1.000	0.13 [-0.40; 0.65] 0.639
SEC high vs. ETA				4.34 [0.22; 313.87] 0.545	1.67 [0.69; 4.00] 0.545	0.33 [-0.17; 0.83] 0.190
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	16 (69.6)	16 (72.7)	16 (80.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.58 [0.10; 2.83] 0.670	0.87 [0.61; 1.23] 0.501	-0.10 [-0.36; 0.15] 0.426
SEC high vs. ETA				0.67 [0.12; 3.49] 0.853	0.91 [0.65; 1.27] 0.723	-0.07 [-0.33; 0.18] 0.577
<b>Hypersensitivity (SMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.691					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (16.7)	1 (16.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.75 [<0.01; 29.25] 0.857	0.26 [0.01; 5.44] 0.429	-0.17 [-0.46; 0.13] 0.273
SEC high vs. ETA				1.00 [0.01; 94.01] 1.000	1.00 [0.08; 12.56] 1.000	0.00 [-0.42; 0.42] 1.000
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	3 (13.0)	6 (27.3)	2 (10.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.34 [0.14; 17.77] 1.000	1.30 [0.24; 7.04] 1.000	0.03 [-0.16; 0.22] 0.754
SEC high vs. ETA				3.28 [0.49; 37.77] 0.303	2.73 [0.62; 12.00] 0.243	0.17 [-0.06; 0.40] 0.137

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Neutropenia (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.246					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	2 (25.0)	1 (16.7)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.98 [0.14; >999.99] 0.615	3.89 [0.22; 68.68] 0.473	0.25 [-0.05; 0.55] 0.102
SEC high vs. ETA				1.00 [0.03; >999.99] 1.000	3.00 [0.15; 61.74] 1.000	0.17 [-0.13; 0.46] 0.273
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.87 [<0.01; 33.91] 0.930	0.29 [0.01; 6.78] 0.465	-0.05 [-0.15; 0.05] 0.305
SEC high vs. ETA				0.91 [<0.01; 35.45] 0.952	0.30 [0.01; 7.07] 0.476	-0.05 [-0.15; 0.05] 0.305
<b>Drug specific antibody present (PT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Hepatitis viral infections (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Inflammatory bowel disease (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>MACE (MI, Stroke, Cardiovascular death) (NMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Malignant or unspecified tumours (SMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Vaccination related complications (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Age < 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Age ≥ 12 years, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

## S.2.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Age (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Pubertal at Baseline</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=1.000</b>					
<b>Age &lt; 12 years, N / N'</b>	<b>8 / 8</b>	<b>6 / 6</b>	<b>6 / 6</b>			
n (%)	4 (50.0)	1 (16.7)	3 (50.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.00 [0.08; 13.03] 1.000	1.00 [0.35; 2.88] 1.000	0.00 [-0.53; 0.53] 1.000
SEC high vs. ETA				0.23 [<0.01; 4.50] 0.545	0.33 [0.05; 2.37] 0.545	-0.33 [-0.83; 0.17] 0.190
<b>Age ≥ 12 years, N / N'</b>	<b>23 / 23</b>	<b>22 / 22</b>	<b>20 / 20</b>			
n (%)	23 (100.0)	20 (90.9)	19 (95.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.15 [0.03; N.E.] 0.930	1.05 [0.95; 1.16] 0.465	0.05 [-0.05; 0.15] 0.305
SEC high vs. ETA				0.53 [<0.01; 11.06] 1.000	0.96 [0.81; 1.13] 1.000	-0.04 [-0.19; 0.11] 0.601
<b>Pubertal at Week 52</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=1.000</b>					
<b>Age &lt; 12 years, N / N'</b>	<b>8 / 8</b>	<b>6 / 6</b>	<b>6 / 4</b>			
n (%)	5 (62.5)	1 (16.7)	2 (50.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.60 [0.08; 34.55] 1.000	1.25 [0.41; 3.82] 1.000	0.13 [-0.47; 0.72] 0.680
SEC high vs. ETA				0.24 [<0.01; 7.08] 0.667	0.33 [0.04; 2.56] 0.500	-0.33 [-0.91; 0.24] 0.255
<b>Age ≥ 12 years, N / N'</b>	<b>23 / 21</b>	<b>22 / 21</b>	<b>20 / 19</b>			
n (%)	21 (100.0)	20 (95.2)	19 (100.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				1.11 [N.E.; 43.11] 1.000	0.95 [0.87; 1.05] 1.000	-0.05 [-0.14; 0.04] 0.306

Treatment Groups			Comparison			
SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value	
<p>N': Number of patients with available response value  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. In addition, for binary parameters, subgroup analysis is displayed only if there are at least 10 events in at least one subgroup.</p> <p>Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.3.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Male	9	13	10			
N' Female	22	15	16			
<b>Any AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.021					
Male, n (%)	9 (100.0)	9 (69.2)	9 (90.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.90 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	1.11 [0.90; 1.37] 1.000	0.10 [-0.09; 0.29] 0.292
SEC high vs. ETA				0.26 [<0.01; 3.40] 0.501	0.77 [0.51; 1.17] 0.339	-0.21 [-0.52; 0.10] 0.192
Female, n (%)	16 (72.7)	15 (100.0)	15 (93.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.18 [<0.01; 1.81] 0.216	0.78 [0.58; 1.03] 0.203	-0.21 [-0.43; 0.01] 0.062
SEC high vs. ETA				0.94 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	1.07 [0.94; 1.21] 1.000	0.06 [-0.06; 0.18] 0.302
<b>Any SAE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.511					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (7.7)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				0.77 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.36 [0.11; 52.41] 1.000	0.08 [-0.07; 0.22] 0.298
Female, n (%)	3 (13.6)	2 (13.3)	5 (31.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.36 [0.05; 2.25] 0.362	0.44 [0.12; 1.57] 0.243	-0.18 [-0.44; 0.09] 0.199
SEC high vs. ETA				0.35 [0.03; 2.68] 0.449	0.43 [0.10; 1.88] 0.394	-0.18 [-0.46; 0.11] 0.218

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Any severe AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (10.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.11 [<0.01; 43.33] 1.000	0.37 [0.02; 8.01] 1.000	-0.10 [-0.29; 0.09] 0.292
SEC high vs. ETA				0.77 [<0.01; 30.00] 0.870	0.26 [0.01; 5.82] 0.435	-0.10 [-0.29; 0.09] 0.292
Female, n (%)	1 (4.5)	2 (13.3)	3 (18.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.22 [<0.01; 3.00] 0.383	0.24 [0.03; 2.12] 0.291	-0.14 [-0.35; 0.07] 0.185
SEC high vs. ETA				0.68 [0.05; 6.96] 1.000	0.71 [0.14; 3.68] 1.000	-0.05 [-0.31; 0.20] 0.680
<b>Any AE leading to study discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Female, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Any AE leading to study drug discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (10.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.11 [<0.01; 43.33] 1.000	0.37 [0.02; 8.01] 1.000	-0.10 [-0.29; 0.09] 0.292
SEC high vs. ETA				0.77 [<0.01; 30.00] 0.870	0.26 [0.01; 5.82] 0.435	-0.10 [-0.29; 0.09] 0.292

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Female, n (%)	1 (4.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.73 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.22 [0.10; 51.16] 1.000	0.05 [-0.04; 0.13] 0.306
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						



### S.3.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Male	9	13	10			
N' Female	22	15	16			
<b>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Female, n (%)	0 (0.0)	2 (13.3)	4 (25.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.11 [<0.01; 0.99] 0.049	0.08 [0.00; 1.43] 0.025	-0.25 [-0.46; -0.04] 0.021
SEC high vs. ETA				0.47 [0.04; 4.02] 0.719	0.53 [0.11; 2.50] 0.654	-0.12 [-0.39; 0.16] 0.403
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event CI: Confidence Interval N.E.: Not estimable OR: Odds Ratio RR: Relative Risk RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor. RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. Subgroup analysis of SOCs and PTs is displayed only if the main effect in the total population is significant (p &lt; 0.05 in Fisher's exact test for RR). Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### **S.3.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.
--

### **S.3.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.

### S.3.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Male	9	13	10			
N' Female	22	15	16			
<b>Infections and infestations (SOC)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.041					
Male, n (%)	8 (88.9)	8 (61.5)	9 (90.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.89 [0.01; 78.40] 1.000	0.99 [0.72; 1.35] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.29; 0.27] 0.937
SEC high vs. ETA				0.19 [<0.01; 2.25] 0.289	0.68 [0.42; 1.10] 0.179	-0.28 [-0.61; 0.04] 0.084
Female, n (%)	13 (59.1)	13 (86.7)	10 (62.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.87 [0.19; 3.90] 1.000	0.95 [0.57; 1.58] 1.000	-0.03 [-0.35; 0.28] 0.831
SEC high vs. ETA				3.73 [0.52; 45.57] 0.260	1.39 [0.90; 2.13] 0.220	0.24 [-0.05; 0.53] 0.106
<b>Hypersensitivity (SMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	2 (15.4)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				1.96 [0.14; >999.99] 0.617	3.93 [0.21; 73.71] 0.486	0.15 [-0.04; 0.35] 0.124
Female, n (%)	3 (13.6)	5 (33.3)	3 (18.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.69 [0.08; 5.99] 1.000	0.73 [0.17; 3.15] 0.682	-0.05 [-0.29; 0.19] 0.675
SEC high vs. ETA				2.11 [0.32; 17.00] 0.606	1.78 [0.51; 6.18] 0.433	0.15 [-0.16; 0.45] 0.350
<b>Neutropenia (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Female, n (%)	2 (9.1)	1 (6.7)	1 (6.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.48 [0.07; 94.31] 1.000	1.45 [0.14; 14.69] 1.000	0.03 [-0.14; 0.20] 0.742
SEC high vs. ETA				1.07 [0.01; 89.64] 1.000	1.07 [0.07; 15.57] 1.000	0.00 [-0.17; 0.18] 0.962
<b>Drug specific antibody present (PT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Female, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Hepatitis viral infections (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Female, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Inflammatory bowel disease (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Female, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>MACE (MI, Stroke, Cardiovascular death) (NMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Female, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Malignant or unspecified tumours (SMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Female, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Vaccination related complications (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Male, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Female, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N: Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.3.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Gender (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Pubertal at Baseline</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=1.000</b>					
<b>Male, N / N'</b>	<b>9 / 9</b>	<b>13 / 13</b>	<b>10 / 10</b>			
n (%)	8 (88.9)	9 (69.2)	8 (80.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.93 [0.08; 132.51] 1.000	1.11 [0.75; 1.64] 1.000	0.09 [-0.23; 0.41] 0.588
SEC high vs. ETA				0.58 [0.04; 5.39] 0.926	0.87 [0.54; 1.39] 0.660	-0.11 [-0.46; 0.25] 0.550
<b>Female, N / N'</b>	<b>22 / 22</b>	<b>15 / 15</b>	<b>16 / 16</b>			
n (%)	19 (86.4)	12 (80.0)	14 (87.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.91 [0.07; 9.07] 1.000	0.99 [0.77; 1.27] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.23; 0.21] 0.918
SEC high vs. ETA				0.58 [0.04; 5.99] 0.935	0.91 [0.67; 1.25] 0.654	-0.07 [-0.33; 0.18] 0.571
<b>Pubertal at Week 52</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=0.518</b>					
<b>Male, N / N'</b>	<b>9 / 8</b>	<b>13 / 13</b>	<b>10 / 8</b>			
n (%)	8 (100.0)	10 (76.9)	7 (87.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.00 [0.03; N.E.] 1.000	1.14 [0.88; 1.49] 1.000	0.13 [-0.10; 0.35] 0.285
SEC high vs. ETA				0.49 [<0.01; 7.73] 1.000	0.88 [0.59; 1.31] 1.000	-0.11 [-0.43; 0.22] 0.522
<b>Female, N / N'</b>	<b>22 / 21</b>	<b>15 / 14</b>	<b>16 / 15</b>			
n (%)	18 (85.7)	11 (78.6)	14 (93.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.44 [<0.01; 6.15] 0.881	0.92 [0.74; 1.15] 0.626	-0.08 [-0.27; 0.12] 0.446
SEC high vs. ETA				0.27 [<0.01; 3.96] 0.544	0.84 [0.62; 1.14] 0.330	-0.15 [-0.40; 0.10] 0.246

Treatment Groups			Comparison			
SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value	
<p>N': Number of patients with available response value  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. In addition, for binary parameters, subgroup analysis is displayed only if there are at least 10 events in at least one subgroup.</p> <p>Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						



### S.4.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Baseline PASI ≤ Median	16	12	13			
N' Baseline PASI > Median	15	16	13			
<b>Any AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.067					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	15 (93.8)	9 (75.0)	12 (92.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.24 [0.01; 104.57] 1.000	1.02 [0.83; 1.24] 1.000	0.01 [-0.17; 0.20] 0.880
SEC high vs. ETA				0.26 [<0.01; 3.93] 0.530	0.81 [0.57; 1.17] 0.322	-0.17 [-0.46; 0.11] 0.233
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	10 (66.7)	15 (93.8)	12 (92.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.18 [<0.01; 1.97] 0.234	0.72 [0.49; 1.07] 0.173	-0.26 [-0.54; 0.02] 0.072
SEC high vs. ETA				1.24 [0.01; 104.57] 1.000	1.02 [0.83; 1.24] 1.000	0.01 [-0.17; 0.20] 0.880
<b>Any SAE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.741					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	2 (12.5)	2 (16.7)	2 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.79 [0.05; 12.58] 1.000	0.81 [0.13; 5.01] 1.000	-0.03 [-0.28; 0.23] 0.824
SEC high vs. ETA				1.10 [0.07; 17.83] 1.000	1.08 [0.18; 6.53] 1.000	0.01 [-0.28; 0.30] 0.930
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	1 (6.7)	1 (6.3)	3 (23.1)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.25 [<0.01; 3.65] 0.489	0.29 [0.03; 2.45] 0.311	-0.16 [-0.43; 0.10] 0.219
SEC high vs. ETA				0.23 [<0.01; 3.39] 0.446	0.27 [0.03; 2.31] 0.299	-0.17 [-0.43; 0.09] 0.201

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Any severe AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.015					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	1 (6.3)	2 (16.7)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.81 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.47 [0.11; 56.04] 1.000	0.06 [-0.06; 0.18] 0.302
SEC high vs. ETA				2.79 [0.21; >999.99] 0.440	5.38 [0.28; 101.96] 0.220	0.17 [-0.04; 0.38] 0.121
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (30.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.13 [<0.01; 1.16] 0.070	0.10 [0.01; 1.65] 0.035	-0.31 [-0.56; -0.06] 0.016
SEC high vs. ETA				0.12 [<0.01; 1.09] 0.060	0.09 [0.01; 1.56] 0.030	-0.31 [-0.56; -0.06] 0.016
<b>Any AE leading to study discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Any AE leading to study drug discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.484					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.81 [<0.01; 31.69] 0.897	0.27 [0.01; 6.23] 0.448	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.07] 0.298
SEC high vs. ETA				1.08 [<0.01; 42.25] 1.000	0.36 [0.02; 8.05] 1.000	-0.08 [-0.22; 0.07] 0.298

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	1 (6.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.87 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.63 [0.12; 59.40] 1.000	0.07 [-0.06; 0.19] 0.301
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.4.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Baseline PASI ≤ Median	16	12	13			
N' Baseline PASI > Median	15	16	13			
<b>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (8.3)	2 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.32 [<0.01; 4.24] 0.384	0.16 [0.01; 3.16] 0.192	-0.15 [-0.35; 0.04] 0.124
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [<0.01; 11.25] 1.000	0.54 [0.06; 5.24] 1.000	-0.07 [-0.32; 0.18] 0.582
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (6.3)	2 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.34 [<0.01; 4.53] 0.413	0.18 [0.01; 3.35] 0.206	-0.15 [-0.35; 0.04] 0.124
SEC high vs. ETA				0.38 [<0.01; 8.16] 0.840	0.41 [0.04; 4.00] 0.573	-0.09 [-0.32; 0.14] 0.435
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event CI: Confidence Interval N.E.: Not estimable OR: Odds Ratio RR: Relative Risk RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor. RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. Subgroup analysis of SOCs and PTs is displayed only if the main effect in the total population is significant (p &lt; 0.05 in Fisher's exact test for RR). Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### **S.4.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.

#### **S.4.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.

### S.4.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Baseline PASI ≤ Median	16	12	13			
N' Baseline PASI > Median	15	16	13			
<b>Infections and infestations (SOC)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.078					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	14 (87.5)	8 (66.7)	10 (76.9)			
SEC low vs. ETA				2.05 [0.20; 28.82] 0.792	1.14 [0.80; 1.62] 0.632	0.11 [-0.17; 0.39] 0.460
SEC high vs. ETA				0.61 [0.07; 4.84] 0.899	0.87 [0.53; 1.43] 0.673	-0.10 [-0.45; 0.25] 0.567
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	7 (46.7)	13 (81.3)	9 (69.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.40 [0.06; 2.31] 0.413	0.67 [0.35; 1.29] 0.276	-0.23 [-0.58; 0.13] 0.214
SEC high vs. ETA				1.88 [0.25; 16.17] 0.748	1.17 [0.76; 1.81] 0.667	0.12 [-0.20; 0.44] 0.455
<b>Hypersensitivity (SMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.241					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	3 (18.8)	4 (33.3)	1 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				2.68 [0.19; 157.28] 0.766	2.44 [0.29; 20.75] 0.606	0.11 [-0.13; 0.35] 0.366
SEC high vs. ETA				5.59 [0.44; 319.53] 0.272	4.33 [0.56; 33.53] 0.160	0.26 [-0.05; 0.56] 0.098
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	3 (18.8)	2 (15.4)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.34 [<0.01; 4.53] 0.413	0.18 [0.01; 3.35] 0.206	-0.15 [-0.35; 0.04] 0.124
SEC high vs. ETA				1.26 [0.12; 17.66] 1.000	1.22 [0.24; 6.24] 1.000	0.03 [-0.24; 0.31] 0.810

Treatment Groups				Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Neutropenia (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	1 (6.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.81 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.47 [0.11; 56.04] 1.000	0.06 [-0.06; 0.18] 0.302
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	1 (6.7)	1 (6.3)	1 (7.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.86 [0.01; 72.83] 1.000	0.87 [0.06; 12.52] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.20; 0.18] 0.917
SEC high vs. ETA				0.81 [<0.01; 67.97] 1.000	0.81 [0.06; 11.77] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.20; 0.17] 0.880
<b>Drug specific antibody present (PT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Hepatitis viral infections (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.



	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Inflammatory bowel disease (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>MACE (MI, Stroke, Cardiovascular death) (NMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Malignant or unspecified tumours (SMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Vaccination related complications (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Baseline PASI ≤ Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Baseline PASI > Median, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.4.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Disease Severity (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Pubertal at Baseline</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=0.526</b>					
<b>Baseline PASI ≤ Median, N / N'</b>	<b>16 / 16</b>	<b>12 / 12</b>	<b>13 / 13</b>			
n (%)	14 (87.5)	8 (66.7)	12 (92.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.59 [<0.01; 12.77] 1.000	0.95 [0.74; 1.21] 1.000	-0.05 [-0.27; 0.17] 0.665
SEC high vs. ETA				0.18 [<0.01; 2.25] 0.272	0.72 [0.47; 1.11] 0.160	-0.26 [-0.56; 0.05] 0.098
<b>Baseline PASI &gt; Median, N / N'</b>	<b>15 / 15</b>	<b>16 / 16</b>	<b>13 / 13</b>			
n (%)	13 (86.7)	13 (81.3)	10 (76.9)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.90 [0.18; 26.95] 0.856	1.13 [0.79; 1.61] 0.639	0.10 [-0.19; 0.38] 0.505
SEC high vs. ETA				1.29 [0.14; 11.82] 1.000	1.06 [0.72; 1.54] 1.000	0.04 [-0.26; 0.34] 0.776
<b>Pubertal at Week 52</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=0.484</b>					
<b>Baseline PASI ≤ Median, N / N'</b>	<b>16 / 15</b>	<b>12 / 12</b>	<b>13 / 12</b>			
n (%)	13 (86.7)	9 (75.0)	12 (100.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.49 [N.E.; 6.63] 0.598	0.87 [0.71; 1.06] 0.487	-0.13 [-0.31; 0.04] 0.129
SEC high vs. ETA				0.22 [N.E.; 2.29] 0.217	0.75 [0.54; 1.04] 0.217	-0.25 [-0.50; -0.01] 0.046
<b>Baseline PASI &gt; Median, N / N'</b>	<b>15 / 14</b>	<b>16 / 15</b>	<b>13 / 11</b>			
n (%)	13 (92.9)	12 (80.0)	9 (81.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				2.77 [0.13; 183.29] 0.813	1.13 [0.83; 1.55] 0.565	0.11 [-0.15; 0.38] 0.414
SEC high vs. ETA				0.89 [0.06; 9.63] 1.000	0.98 [0.67; 1.42] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.32; 0.29] 0.907

Treatment Groups			Comparison			
SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value	
<p>N': Number of patients with available response value  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. In addition, for binary parameters, subgroup analysis is displayed only if there are at least 10 events in at least one subgroup.</p> <p>Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.5.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Europe	21	23	16			
N' Others	10	5	10			
<b>Any AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.129					
Europe, n (%)	19 (90.5)	20 (87.0)	14 (87.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.35 [0.09; 20.70] 1.000	1.03 [0.82; 1.30] 1.000	0.03 [-0.18; 0.23] 0.776
SEC high vs. ETA				0.95 [0.07; 9.51] 1.000	0.99 [0.78; 1.27] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.22; 0.21] 0.960
Others, n (%)	6 (60.0)	4 (80.0)	10 (100.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.14 [<0.01; 1.30] 0.087	0.60 [0.36; 1.00] 0.087	-0.40 [-0.70; -0.10] 0.010
SEC high vs. ETA				0.50 [<0.01; 19.50] 0.667	0.80 [0.52; 1.24] 0.333	-0.20 [-0.55; 0.15] 0.264
<b>Any SAE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.643					
Europe, n (%)	2 (9.5)	2 (8.7)	4 (25.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.33 [0.03; 2.68] 0.415	0.38 [0.08; 1.83] 0.371	-0.15 [-0.40; 0.09] 0.219
SEC high vs. ETA				0.30 [0.02; 2.42] 0.349	0.35 [0.07; 1.68] 0.205	-0.16 [-0.40; 0.08] 0.186
Others, n (%)	1 (10.0)	1 (20.0)	1 (10.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.00 [0.01; 87.11] 1.000	1.00 [0.07; 13.87] 1.000	0.00 [-0.26; 0.26] 1.000
SEC high vs. ETA				2.12 [0.02; 195.90] 1.000	2.00 [0.16; 25.76] 1.000	0.10 [-0.30; 0.50] 0.621

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Any severe AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Europe, n (%)	1 (4.8)	1 (4.3)	2 (12.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.36 [<0.01; 7.55] 0.793	0.38 [0.04; 3.84] 0.568	-0.08 [-0.26; 0.11] 0.415
SEC high vs. ETA				0.33 [<0.01; 6.85] 0.727	0.35 [0.03; 3.52] 0.557	-0.08 [-0.26; 0.10] 0.381
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (20.0)	2 (20.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.38 [<0.01; 5.23] 0.474	0.20 [0.01; 3.71] 0.474	-0.20 [-0.45; 0.05] 0.114
SEC high vs. ETA				1.00 [0.01; 25.35] 1.000	1.00 [0.12; 8.56] 1.000	0.00 [-0.43; 0.43] 1.000
<b>Any AE leading to study discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Europe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Any AE leading to study drug discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.432					
Europe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (6.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.76 [<0.01; 29.71] 0.865	0.26 [0.01; 5.94] 0.432	-0.06 [-0.18; 0.06] 0.302
SEC high vs. ETA				0.70 [<0.01; 27.13] 0.821	0.24 [0.01; 5.45] 0.410	-0.06 [-0.18; 0.06] 0.302

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Others, n (%)	1 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.00 [0.03; >999.99] 1.000	3.00 [0.14; 65.91] 1.000	0.10 [-0.09; 0.29] 0.292
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

## S.5.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Europe	21	23	16			
N' Others	10	5	10			
<b>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Europe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	2 (8.7)	2 (12.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.30 [<0.01; 3.99] 0.360	0.15 [0.01; 3.01] 0.180	-0.13 [-0.29; 0.04] 0.131
SEC high vs. ETA				0.67 [0.04; 10.31] 1.000	0.70 [0.11; 4.44] 1.000	-0.04 [-0.24; 0.16] 0.708
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (20.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.38 [<0.01; 5.23] 0.474	0.20 [0.01; 3.71] 0.474	-0.20 [-0.45; 0.05] 0.114
SEC high vs. ETA				0.78 [<0.01; 10.98] 0.857	0.37 [0.02; 6.46] 0.524	-0.20 [-0.45; 0.05] 0.114
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event CI: Confidence Interval N.E.: Not estimable OR: Odds Ratio RR: Relative Risk RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor. RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. Subgroup analysis of SOCs and PTs is displayed only if the main effect in the total population is significant (p &lt; 0.05 in Fisher's exact test for RR). Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						



### **S.5.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.
--

#### **S.5.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.

### S.5.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Europe	21	23	16			
N' Others	10	5	10			
<b>Infections and infestations (SOC)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.810					
Europe, n (%)	16 (76.2)	18 (78.3)	12 (75.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.06 [0.17; 6.20] 1.000	1.02 [0.70; 1.47] 1.000	0.01 [-0.27; 0.29] 0.934
SEC high vs. ETA				1.19 [0.19; 6.89] 1.000	1.04 [0.73; 1.49] 1.000	0.03 [-0.24; 0.30] 0.814
Others, n (%)	5 (50.0)	3 (60.0)	7 (70.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.45 [0.05; 3.67] 0.650	0.71 [0.34; 1.50] 0.650	-0.20 [-0.62; 0.22] 0.351
SEC high vs. ETA				0.66 [0.04; 11.92] 1.000	0.86 [0.38; 1.95] 1.000	-0.10 [-0.61; 0.41] 0.703
<b>Hypersensitivity (SMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.744					
Europe, n (%)	2 (9.5)	6 (26.1)	1 (6.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.56 [0.07; 99.27] 1.000	1.52 [0.15; 15.36] 1.000	0.03 [-0.14; 0.21] 0.710
SEC high vs. ETA				5.10 [0.52; 259.43] 0.242	4.17 [0.55; 31.42] 0.206	0.20 [-0.02; 0.41] 0.071
Others, n (%)	1 (10.0)	1 (20.0)	2 (20.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.46 [<0.01; 10.51] 1.000	0.50 [0.05; 4.67] 1.000	-0.10 [-0.41; 0.21] 0.527
SEC high vs. ETA				1.00 [0.01; 25.35] 1.000	1.00 [0.12; 8.56] 1.000	0.00 [-0.43; 0.43] 1.000

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Neutropenia (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Europe, n (%)	2 (9.5)	1 (4.3)	1 (6.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.56 [0.07; 99.27] 1.000	1.52 [0.15; 15.36] 1.000	0.03 [-0.14; 0.21] 0.710
SEC high vs. ETA				0.69 [<0.01; 57.05] 1.000	0.70 [0.05; 10.32] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.16; 0.13] 0.797
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Drug specific antibody present (PT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Europe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Hepatitis viral infections (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Europe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Inflammatory bowel disease (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Europe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>MACE (MI, Stroke, Cardiovascular death) (NMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Europe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Malignant or unspecified tumours (SMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Europe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Vaccination related complications (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Europe, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Others, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup. OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.5.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Region (SAF)

Treatment Groups				Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Pubertal at Baseline</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=0.314</b>					
<b>Europe, N / N'</b>	<b>21 / 21</b>	<b>23 / 23</b>	<b>16 / 16</b>			
n (%)	18 (85.7)	19 (82.6)	15 (93.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 5.72] 0.826	0.91 [0.74; 1.13] 0.618	-0.08 [-0.27; 0.11] 0.410
SEC high vs. ETA				0.33 [<0.01; 3.75] 0.609	0.88 [0.70; 1.10] 0.631	-0.11 [-0.31; 0.08] 0.263
<b>Others, N / N'</b>	<b>10 / 10</b>	<b>5 / 5</b>	<b>10 / 10</b>			
n (%)	9 (90.0)	2 (40.0)	7 (70.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				3.61 [0.23; 224.54] 0.582	1.29 [0.82; 2.03] 0.582	0.20 [-0.14; 0.54] 0.248
SEC high vs. ETA				0.31 [0.02; 4.29] 0.573	0.57 [0.18; 1.80] 0.329	-0.30 [-0.81; 0.21] 0.253
<b>Pubertal at Week 52</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=0.161</b>					
<b>Europe, N / N'</b>	<b>21 / 21</b>	<b>23 / 22</b>	<b>16 / 16</b>			
n (%)	18 (85.7)	19 (86.4)	15 (93.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 5.72] 0.826	0.91 [0.74; 1.13] 0.618	-0.08 [-0.27; 0.11] 0.410
SEC high vs. ETA				0.43 [<0.01; 6.01] 0.866	0.92 [0.75; 1.14] 0.624	-0.07 [-0.26; 0.11] 0.437
<b>Others, N / N'</b>	<b>10 / 8</b>	<b>5 / 5</b>	<b>10 / 7</b>			
n (%)	8 (100.0)	2 (40.0)	6 (85.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.14 [0.03; N.E.] 0.933	1.17 [0.86; 1.58] 0.467	0.14 [-0.12; 0.40] 0.280
SEC high vs. ETA				0.14 [<0.01; 2.83] 0.303	0.47 [0.15; 1.42] 0.222	-0.46 [-0.96; 0.04] 0.074

Treatment Groups			Comparison			
SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value	
<p>N': Number of patients with available response value            n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event            CI: Confidence Interval            N.E.: Not estimable            OR: Odds Ratio            RR: Relative Risk            RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.            RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. In addition, for binary parameters, subgroup analysis is displayed only if there are at least 10 events in at least one subgroup.</p> <p>Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.6.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Weight < 50 kg	15	12	11			
N' Weight ≥ 50 kg	16	16	15			
<b>Any AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	12 (80.0)	10 (83.3)	10 (90.9)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.41 [<0.01; 6.12] 0.852	0.88 [0.64; 1.21] 0.614	-0.11 [-0.37; 0.16] 0.418
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [<0.01; 11.42] 1.000	0.92 [0.67; 1.26] 1.000	-0.08 [-0.35; 0.20] 0.583
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	13 (81.3)	14 (87.5)	14 (93.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.32 [<0.01; 4.59] 0.650	0.87 [0.66; 1.14] 0.600	-0.12 [-0.35; 0.11] 0.301
SEC high vs. ETA				0.51 [<0.01; 10.89] 1.000	0.94 [0.75; 1.18] 1.000	-0.06 [-0.26; 0.15] 0.578
<b>Any SAE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	1 (6.7)	1 (8.3)	2 (18.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.34 [<0.01; 7.35] 0.762	0.37 [0.04; 3.55] 0.556	-0.12 [-0.38; 0.15] 0.386
SEC high vs. ETA				0.43 [<0.01; 9.43] 0.932	0.46 [0.05; 4.38] 0.590	-0.10 [-0.37; 0.18] 0.485
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	2 (12.5)	2 (12.5)	3 (20.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.58 [0.04; 5.99] 0.935	0.63 [0.12; 3.24] 0.654	-0.08 [-0.33; 0.18] 0.571
SEC high vs. ETA				0.58 [0.04; 5.99] 0.935	0.63 [0.12; 3.24] 0.654	-0.08 [-0.33; 0.18] 0.571



	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Any severe AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (8.3)	2 (18.2)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.28 [<0.01; 3.80] 0.338	0.15 [0.01; 2.85] 0.169	-0.18 [-0.41; 0.05] 0.118
SEC high vs. ETA				0.43 [<0.01; 9.43] 0.932	0.46 [0.05; 4.38] 0.590	-0.10 [-0.37; 0.18] 0.485
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	1 (6.3)	1 (6.3)	2 (13.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.44 [<0.01; 9.48] 0.950	0.47 [0.05; 4.65] 0.600	-0.07 [-0.28; 0.14] 0.506
SEC high vs. ETA				0.44 [<0.01; 9.48] 0.950	0.47 [0.05; 4.65] 0.600	-0.07 [-0.28; 0.14] 0.506
<b>Any AE leading to study discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Any AE leading to study drug discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	1 (6.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.73 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.25 [0.10; 50.54] 1.000	0.07 [-0.06; 0.19] 0.301
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (6.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.94 [<0.01; 36.56] 0.968	0.31 [0.01; 7.15] 0.484	-0.07 [-0.19; 0.06] 0.301
SEC high vs. ETA				0.94 [<0.01; 36.56] 0.968	0.31 [0.01; 7.15] 0.484	-0.07 [-0.19; 0.06] 0.301
<p>N: Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.6.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Weight < 50 kg	15	12	11			
N' Weight ≥ 50 kg	16	16	15			
<b>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.171					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (27.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.16 [<0.01; 1.64] 0.127	0.11 [0.01; 1.88] 0.063	-0.27 [-0.54; -0.01] 0.042
SEC high vs. ETA				0.20 [<0.01; 2.07] 0.186	0.13 [0.01; 2.30] 0.093	-0.27 [-0.54; -0.01] 0.042
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	2 (12.5)	1 (6.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.94 [<0.01; 36.56] 0.968	0.31 [0.01; 7.15] 0.484	-0.07 [-0.19; 0.06] 0.301
SEC high vs. ETA				1.96 [0.09; 126.29] 1.000	1.88 [0.19; 18.60] 1.000	0.06 [-0.15; 0.26] 0.578
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Subgroup analysis of SOCs and PTs is displayed only if the main effect in the total population is significant (p &lt; 0.05 in Fisher's exact test for RR).  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### **S.6.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.

#### **S.6.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.

### S.6.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' Weight < 50 kg	15	12	11			
N' Weight ≥ 50 kg	16	16	15			
<b>Infections and infestations (SOC)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	10 (66.7)	8 (66.7)	8 (72.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.76 [0.09; 5.42] 1.000	0.92 [0.55; 1.52] 1.000	-0.06 [-0.42; 0.29] 0.738
SEC high vs. ETA				0.76 [0.08; 6.23] 1.000	0.92 [0.53; 1.57] 1.000	-0.06 [-0.44; 0.31] 0.751
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	11 (68.8)	13 (81.3)	11 (73.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.81 [0.12; 4.93] 1.000	0.94 [0.60; 1.47] 1.000	-0.05 [-0.36; 0.27] 0.778
SEC high vs. ETA				1.55 [0.21; 13.01] 0.921	1.11 [0.75; 1.63] 0.685	0.08 [-0.22; 0.37] 0.598
<b>Hypersensitivity (SMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	1 (6.7)	3 (25.0)	1 (9.1)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.72 [<0.01; 61.62] 1.000	0.73 [0.05; 10.49] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.24; 0.19] 0.822
SEC high vs. ETA				3.17 [0.21; 192.16] 0.658	2.75 [0.33; 22.69] 0.590	0.16 [-0.14; 0.46] 0.296
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	2 (12.5)	4 (25.0)	2 (13.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.93 [0.06; 14.61] 1.000	0.94 [0.15; 5.84] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.24; 0.23] 0.945
SEC high vs. ETA				2.11 [0.25; 27.43] 0.719	1.88 [0.40; 8.78] 0.654	0.12 [-0.16; 0.39] 0.403

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Neutropenia (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.541					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	2 (13.3)	1 (8.3)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.85 [0.14; >999.99] 0.646	3.75 [0.20; 71.13] 0.492	0.13 [-0.04; 0.31] 0.129
SEC high vs. ETA				0.92 [0.02; >999.99] 1.000	2.77 [0.12; 61.66] 1.000	0.08 [-0.07; 0.24] 0.296
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (6.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.94 [<0.01; 36.56] 0.968	0.31 [0.01; 7.15] 0.484	-0.07 [-0.19; 0.06] 0.301
SEC high vs. ETA				0.94 [<0.01; 36.56] 0.968	0.31 [0.01; 7.15] 0.484	-0.07 [-0.19; 0.06] 0.301
<b>Drug specific antibody present (PT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Hepatitis viral infections (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Inflammatory bowel disease (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>MACE (MI, Stroke, Cardiovascular death) (NMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Malignant or unspecified tumours (SMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Vaccination related complications (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
Weight < 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Weight ≥ 50 kg, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						



### S.6.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Weight (SAF)

Treatment Groups				Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Pubertal at Baseline</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=1.000</b>					
<b>Weight &lt; 50 kg, N / N'</b>	<b>15 / 15</b>	<b>12 / 12</b>	<b>11 / 11</b>			
n (%)	11 (73.3)	6 (50.0)	8 (72.7)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.03 [0.12; 8.14] 1.000	1.01 [0.63; 1.62] 1.000	0.01 [-0.34; 0.35] 0.973
SEC high vs. ETA				0.39 [0.04; 2.82] 0.494	0.69 [0.35; 1.35] 0.400	-0.23 [-0.61; 0.16] 0.249
<b>Weight ≥ 50 kg, N / N'</b>	<b>16 / 16</b>	<b>16 / 16</b>	<b>15 / 15</b>			
n (%)	16 (100.0)	15 (93.8)	14 (93.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.07 [0.03; N.E.] 0.968	1.07 [0.94; 1.23] 0.484	0.07 [-0.06; 0.19] 0.301
SEC high vs. ETA				1.07 [0.01; 89.64] 1.000	1.00 [0.83; 1.21] 1.000	0.00 [-0.17; 0.18] 0.962
<b>Pubertal at Week 52</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>N.E.</b>					
<b>Weight &lt; 50 kg, N / N'</b>	<b>15 / 15</b>	<b>12 / 11</b>	<b>11 / 9</b>			
n (%)	12 (80.0)	5 (45.5)	7 (77.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.14 [0.08; 12.72] 1.000	1.03 [0.67; 1.58] 1.000	0.02 [-0.32; 0.36] 0.898
SEC high vs. ETA				0.26 [0.02; 2.28] 0.314	0.58 [0.28; 1.22] 0.197	-0.32 [-0.72; 0.08] 0.114
<b>Weight ≥ 50 kg, N / N'</b>	<b>16 / 14</b>	<b>16 / 16</b>	<b>15 / 14</b>			
n (%)	14 (100.0)	16 (100.0)	14 (100.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

Treatment Groups			Comparison			
SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value	
<p>N': Number of patients with available response value  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. In addition, for binary parameters, subgroup analysis is displayed only if there are at least 10 events in at least one subgroup.</p> <p>Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.7.1 Adverse Events, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' No previous systemic therapy	6	9	10			
N' Previous systemic therapy	25	19	16			
<b>Any AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.355					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	5 (83.3)	8 (88.9)	8 (80.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.23 [0.05; 88.30] 1.000	1.04 [0.65; 1.67] 1.000	0.03 [-0.35; 0.42] 0.866
SEC high vs. ETA				1.93 [0.08; 132.51] 1.000	1.11 [0.75; 1.64] 1.000	0.09 [-0.23; 0.41] 0.588
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	20 (80.0)	16 (84.2)	16 (100.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.20 [<0.01; 1.60] 0.142	0.80 [0.66; 0.97] 0.137	-0.20 [-0.36; -0.04] 0.012
SEC high vs. ETA				0.28 [<0.01; 2.80] 0.296	0.84 [0.69; 1.02] 0.234	-0.16 [-0.32; 0.01] 0.059
<b>Any SAE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.657					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	1 (16.7)	1 (11.1)	1 (10.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.73 [0.02; 156.75] 1.000	1.67 [0.13; 22.01] 1.000	0.07 [-0.28; 0.42] 0.710
SEC high vs. ETA				1.12 [0.01; 98.00] 1.000	1.11 [0.08; 15.28] 1.000	0.01 [-0.27; 0.29] 0.937
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	2 (8.0)	2 (10.5)	4 (25.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.27 [0.02; 2.20] 0.295	0.32 [0.07; 1.55] 0.187	-0.17 [-0.41; 0.07] 0.160
SEC high vs. ETA				0.36 [0.03; 3.02] 0.496	0.42 [0.09; 2.01] 0.379	-0.14 [-0.40; 0.11] 0.262

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Any severe AE</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.348					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	1 (16.7)	1 (11.1)	1 (10.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.73 [0.02; 156.75] 1.000	1.67 [0.13; 22.01] 1.000	0.07 [-0.28; 0.42] 0.710
SEC high vs. ETA				1.12 [0.01; 98.00] 1.000	1.11 [0.08; 15.28] 1.000	0.01 [-0.27; 0.29] 0.937
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)	3 (18.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.15 [<0.01; 1.46] 0.105	0.09 [0.01; 1.70] 0.053	-0.19 [-0.38; 0.00] 0.055
SEC high vs. ETA				0.25 [<0.01; 3.53] 0.476	0.28 [0.03; 2.44] 0.312	-0.13 [-0.35; 0.08] 0.221
<b>Any AE leading to study discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Any AE leading to study drug discontinuation</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	1 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (6.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.63 [<0.01; 52.30] 1.000	0.64 [0.04; 9.52] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.16; 0.12] 0.755
SEC high vs. ETA				0.84 [<0.01; 32.84] 0.914	0.28 [0.01; 6.51] 0.457	-0.06 [-0.18; 0.06] 0.302
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.7.2 Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' No previous systemic therapy	6	9	10			
N' Previous systemic therapy	25	19	16			
<b>Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (11.1)	1 (10.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.67 [<0.01; 65.00] 1.000	0.52 [0.02; 11.14] 1.000	-0.10 [-0.29; 0.09] 0.292
SEC high vs. ETA				1.12 [0.01; 98.00] 1.000	1.11 [0.08; 15.28] 1.000	0.01 [-0.27; 0.29] 0.937
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)	3 (18.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.15 [<0.01; 1.46] 0.105	0.09 [0.01; 1.70] 0.053	-0.19 [-0.38; 0.00] 0.055
SEC high vs. ETA				0.25 [<0.01; 3.53] 0.476	0.28 [0.03; 2.44] 0.312	-0.13 [-0.35; 0.08] 0.221
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event CI: Confidence Interval N.E.: Not estimable OR: Odds Ratio RR: Relative Risk RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor. RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. Subgroup analysis of SOCs and PTs is displayed only if the main effect in the total population is significant (p &lt; 0.05 in Fisher's exact test for RR). Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### **S.7.3 Serious Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.
--

#### **S.7.4 Severe Adverse Events by SOC and PT, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF)**

There are no data meeting the display criteria for this table.



### S.7.5 Adverse Events of Special Interest, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
N'	31	28	26			
N' No previous systemic therapy	6	9	10			
N' Previous systemic therapy	25	19	16			
<b>Infections and infestations (SOC)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=1.000					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	5 (83.3)	7 (77.8)	8 (80.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.23 [0.05; 88.30] 1.000	1.04 [0.65; 1.67] 1.000	0.03 [-0.35; 0.42] 0.866
SEC high vs. ETA				0.88 [0.05; 15.26] 1.000	0.97 [0.61; 1.55] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.39; 0.35] 0.906
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	16 (64.0)	14 (73.7)	11 (68.8)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.81 [0.17; 3.65] 1.000	0.93 [0.60; 1.45] 1.000	-0.05 [-0.34; 0.25] 0.752
SEC high vs. ETA				1.26 [0.23; 7.11] 1.000	1.07 [0.70; 1.64] 1.000	0.05 [-0.25; 0.35] 0.748
<b>Hypersensitivity (SMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.625					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	1 (16.7)	1 (11.1)	1 (10.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.73 [0.02; 156.75] 1.000	1.67 [0.13; 22.01] 1.000	0.07 [-0.28; 0.42] 0.710
SEC high vs. ETA				1.12 [0.01; 98.00] 1.000	1.11 [0.08; 15.28] 1.000	0.01 [-0.27; 0.29] 0.937
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	2 (8.0)	6 (31.6)	2 (12.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.62 [0.04; 9.40] 1.000	0.64 [0.10; 4.10] 0.637	-0.05 [-0.24; 0.15] 0.649
SEC high vs. ETA				3.13 [0.45; 37.11] 0.352	2.53 [0.59; 10.83] 0.244	0.19 [-0.07; 0.46] 0.157

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Neutropenia (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	p=0.609					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	1 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.67 [0.04; >999.99] 0.750	4.71 [0.22; 100.26] 0.375	0.17 [-0.13; 0.46] 0.273
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	1 (4.0)	1 (5.3)	1 (6.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.63 [<0.01; 52.30] 1.000	0.64 [0.04; 9.52] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.16; 0.12] 0.755
SEC high vs. ETA				0.84 [0.01; 69.73] 1.000	0.84 [0.06; 12.42] 1.000	-0.01 [-0.17; 0.15] 0.901
<b>Drug specific antibody present (PT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Hepatitis viral infections (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Inflammatory bowel disease (NMQ) (narrow)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>MACE (MI, Stroke, Cardiovascular death) (NMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Malignant or unspecified tumours (SMQ)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<b>Vaccination related complications (HLT)</b>						
<b>Interaction test:</b>	N.E.					
No previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
Previous systemic therapy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
SEC high vs. ETA				N.E.	N.E.	N.E.
<p>N': Number of patients in the analysis  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup.  Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

### S.7.6 Tanner Stage, Binary Analysis by Previous Systemic Therapy (SAF)

	Treatment Groups			Comparison		
	SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value
<b>Pubertal at Baseline</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=1.000</b>					
<b>No previous systemic therapy, N / N'</b>	<b>6 / 6</b>	<b>9 / 9</b>	<b>10 / 10</b>			
n (%)	5 (83.3)	7 (77.8)	8 (80.0)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.23 [0.05; 88.30] 1.000	1.04 [0.65; 1.67] 1.000	0.03 [-0.35; 0.42] 0.866
SEC high vs. ETA				0.88 [0.05; 15.26] 1.000	0.97 [0.61; 1.55] 1.000	-0.02 [-0.39; 0.35] 0.906
<b>Previous systemic therapy, N / N'</b>	<b>25 / 25</b>	<b>19 / 19</b>	<b>16 / 16</b>			
n (%)	22 (88.0)	14 (73.7)	14 (87.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.05 [0.08; 10.38] 1.000	1.01 [0.80; 1.27] 1.000	0.01 [-0.20; 0.21] 0.962
SEC high vs. ETA				0.41 [0.03; 3.05] 0.559	0.84 [0.61; 1.17] 0.415	-0.14 [-0.39; 0.12] 0.290
<b>Pubertal at Week 52</b>						
<b>Interaction Test</b>	<b>p=0.039</b>					
<b>No previous systemic therapy, N / N'</b>	<b>6 / 5</b>	<b>9 / 9</b>	<b>10 / 8</b>			
n (%)	3 (60.0)	8 (88.9)	7 (87.5)			
SEC low vs. ETA				0.24 [<0.01; 6.39] 0.629	0.69 [0.32; 1.47] 0.510	-0.28 [-0.76; 0.21] 0.268
SEC high vs. ETA				1.13 [0.01; 100.78] 1.000	1.02 [0.72; 1.44] 1.000	0.01 [-0.29; 0.32] 0.930
<b>Previous systemic therapy, N / N'</b>	<b>25 / 24</b>	<b>19 / 18</b>	<b>16 / 15</b>			
n (%)	23 (95.8)	13 (72.2)	14 (93.3)			
SEC low vs. ETA				1.62 [0.02; 134.48] 1.000	1.03 [0.88; 1.20] 1.000	0.03 [-0.12; 0.17] 0.743
SEC high vs. ETA				0.19 [<0.01; 2.08] 0.266	0.77 [0.56; 1.06] 0.186	-0.21 [-0.45; 0.03] 0.088

Treatment Groups			Comparison			
SEC low (N=31)	SEC high (N=28)	ETA (N=26)	OR [95% CI] p-value	RR [95% CI] p-value	RD [95% CI] p-value	
<p>N': Number of patients with available response value  n (%): Number and percentage of patients with event  CI: Confidence Interval  N.E.: Not estimable  OR: Odds Ratio  RR: Relative Risk  RD: Risk Difference</p> <p>Interaction test from exact logistic regression model with predictors treatment, subgroup and treatment x subgroup, OR (with CI and p-value) from separate exact logistic regression models for each subgroup with treatment as predictor.  RR and RD calculated directly within subgroups (with Wald CI), p-values from Fisher's exact test for RR and from Wald test for RD.</p> <p>Subgroup analysis is displayed for subgrouping factors with at least 10 patients in each subgroup. In addition, for binary parameters, subgroup analysis is displayed only if there are at least 10 events in at least one subgroup.</p> <p>Interaction test, OR, RR and RD are N.E. if the incidence of events is zero or 100% in all involved subgroup*treatment groups.</p>						

