

**Dossier zur Nutzenbewertung
gemäß § 35a SGB V**

Teplizumab (Teizeild[®])

Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH

Modul 4A Anhang 4-G

*Erhalt der β -Zellfunktion, um das Fortschreiten von
Typ-1-Diabetes in das Stadium 3 bei Kindern ab
8 Jahren, Jugendlichen und Erwachsenen mit Typ-1-
Diabetes im Stadium 2 zu verzögern*

Medizinischer Nutzen und
medizinischer Zusatznutzen,
Patientengruppen mit therapeutisch
bedeutsamem Zusatznutzen

Inhaltsverzeichnis

	Seite
Inhaltsverzeichnis	1
Tabellenverzeichnis	2
1 Studie TN-10 – Analysen zur Wirksamkeit – ITT Population	4
1.1 Studie TN-10 – Subgruppenanalysen für die Time-to-Event-Analysen.....	4
1.1.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all Time-to-Event Analysis - ITT population	4
1.1.2 Time to Diabetes Onset up to 84 Months (Months) – Subgroup Analyses.....	4
1.2 Studie TN-10 – Subgruppenanalysen für die kontinuierlichen Analysen.....	7
1.2.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups - ITT population.....	7
1.2.2 Change from baseline in mean C-peptide AUC in 2-hour OGTT at month 24 (MMRM) – Subgroup Analyses.....	7
1.2.3 C-peptide ln(AUC + 1) by treatment and time window from the 2-year model at month 24 (MMRM) – Subgroup Analyses.....	9
2 Studie TN-10 – Sicherheit und Verträglichkeit – Safety Population	12
2.1 Studie TN-10 – Gesamtraten der UE – Subgruppenanalysen.....	12
2.1.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups.....	12
2.1.2 Any TEAE – Subgroup Analyses.....	12
2.1.3 Any grade ≥ 3 TEAE – Subgroup Analyses	14
2.2 Studie TN-10 – Jegliche UE nach SOC und PT – Subgruppenanalysen.....	17
2.2.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups.....	17
2.2.2 Any TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia – Subgroup Analyses	17
2.2.3 Any TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Subgroup Analyses	20
2.2.4 Any TEAE - SOC=Infections and infestations – Subgroup Analyses	22
2.2.5 Any TEAE - SOC=Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders – Subgroup Analyses	24
2.3 Studie TN-10 – Schwere UE (CTCAE-Grad ≥ 3) nach SOC und PT – Subgruppenanalysen	27
2.3.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups.....	27
2.3.2 Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – PT= Lymphopenia – Subgroup Analyses	27
2.3.3 Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Subgroup Analyses	29

Tabellenverzeichnis

Tabelle 1-1: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all Time-to-Event Analysis - ITT population	4
Tabelle 1-2: Time to Diabetes Onset up to 84 Months (Months) – Per Age	4
Tabelle 1-3: Time to Diabetes Onset up to 84 Months (Months) – Per Gender	5
Tabelle 1-4: Time to Diabetes Onset up to 84 Months (Months) – Per C-peptide level	5
Tabelle 1-5: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups - ITT population.....	7
Tabelle 1-6: Change from baseline in mean C-peptide AUC in 2-hour OGTT at month 24 – Per Age.....	7
Tabelle 1-7: Change from baseline in mean C-peptide AUC in 2-hour OGTT at month 24 – Per Gender.....	8
Tabelle 1-8: Change from baseline in mean C-peptide AUC in 2-hour OGTT at month 24 – Per C-peptide level.....	9
Tabelle 1-9: C-peptide $\ln(\text{AUC} + 1)$ by treatment and time window from the 2-year model at month 24 – Per Age.....	9
Tabelle 1-10: C-peptide $\ln(\text{AUC} + 1)$ by treatment and time window from the 2-year model at month 24 – Per Gender.....	10
Tabelle 1-11: C-peptide $\ln(\text{AUC} + 1)$ by treatment and time window from the 2-year model at month 24 – Per C-peptide level.....	11
Tabelle 2-1: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups.....	12
Tabelle 2-2: Any TEAE – Per Age	12
Tabelle 2-3: Any TEAE – Per Gender	13
Tabelle 2-4: Any TEAE – Per C-peptide level	13
Tabelle 2-5: Any grade ≥ 3 TEAE – Per Age.....	14
Tabelle 2-6: Any grade ≥ 3 TEAE – Per Gender.....	15
Tabelle 2-7: Any grade ≥ 3 TEAE – Per C-peptide level	15
Tabelle 2-8: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups.....	17
Tabelle 2-9: Any TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia – Per Age.....	17
Tabelle 2-10: Any TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia – Per Gender.....	18
Tabelle 2-11: Any TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia – Per C-peptide level.....	19
Tabelle 2-12: Any TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per Age.....	20
Tabelle 2-13: Any TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per Gender	20
Tabelle 2-14: Any TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per C-peptide level	21
Tabelle 2-15: Any TEAE - SOC=Infections and infestations – Per Age.....	22
Tabelle 2-16: Any TEAE - SOC=Infections and infestations – Per Gender.....	23

Tabelle 2-17: Any TEAE - SOC=Infections and infestations – Per C-peptide level.....	23
Tabelle 2-18: Any TEAE - SOC=Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders – Per Age	24
Tabelle 2-19: Any TEAE - SOC=Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders – Per Gender	25
Tabelle 2-20: Any TEAE - SOC=Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders – Per C-peptide level	26
Tabelle 2-21: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups	27
Tabelle 2-22: Grade \geq 3 TEAE – PT= Lymphopenia – Per Age	27
Tabelle 2-23: Grade \geq 3 TEAE – PT= Lymphopenia – Per Gender	28
Tabelle 2-24: Grade \geq 3 TEAE – PT= Lymphopenia – Per C-peptide level	29
Tabelle 2-25: Grade \geq 3 TEAE – SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per Age	29
Tabelle 2-26: Grade \geq 3 TEAE – SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per Gender	30
Tabelle 2-27: Grade \geq 3 TEAE – SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per C-peptide levels	31

1 Studie TN-10 – Analysen zur Wirksamkeit – ITT Population

1.1 Studie TN-10 – Subgruppenanalysen für die Time-to-Event-Analysen

1.1.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all Time-to-Event Analysis - ITT population

Tabelle 1-1: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all Time-to-Event Analysis - ITT population

Efficacy endpoint	Subgroup	Overall treatment-by-subgroup p-value
Time to Diabetes Onset (Months)	Age (years) (< 18 , >= 18)	0.7988
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.2144
	C-peptide level (< Median , >= Median)	0.0789

Overall treatment-by-subgroup p-values derived using Cox regression model with discrete time intervals including intervention, strata (ages 8-17 with a confirmed abnormal OGTT/ages 8-17 with an abnormal OGTT that was not confirmed (expanded eligibility criteria)/ 18 or older with a confirmed abnormal OGTT), age (except for age subgroup), subgroup and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariates.

1.1.2 Time to Diabetes Onset up to 84 Months (Months) – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 1-2: Time to Diabetes Onset up to 84 Months (Months) – Per Age

Time to Diabetes Onset (Months)	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Number of patients with event	14 (48.3)	18 (69.2)	6 (40.0)	5 (83.3)
Number of patients who are censored	15 (51.7)	8 (30.8)	9 (60.0)	1 (16.7)
25% quantile time to first event (months) (95% CI) ^a	21.16 (12.45 to 32.20)	5.55 (3.22 to 12.42)	43.27 (9.46 to NE)	24.90 (3.75 to 31.41)
Median time to first event (months) (95% CI) ^a	33.45 (25.86 to NE)	17.68 (6.28 to 48.59)	NE (24.84 to NE)	30.95 (3.75 to NE)
75% quantile time to first event (months) (95% CI) ^a	60.48 (60.42 to NE)	55.20 (30.52 to NE)	NE (49.54 to NE)	60.45 (24.90 to NE)
Unstratified Log-Rank test p-value ^a	0.0337	-	0.1406	-
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) vs. placebo ^b	0.47 (0.23 to 0.96)		0.40 (0.12 to 1.31)	
p-value for Hazard Ratio ^b	0.0385		0.1284	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^c				0.7988

^aderived from Kaplan-Meier estimates for each modality of subgroup.

^bderived using two Cox regression models for each modality of subgroup with discrete time intervals including intervention and strata (ages 8-17 with a confirmed abnormal OGTT/ages 8-17 with an abnormal OGTT that was not confirmed (expanded eligibility criteria)/ 18 or older with a confirmed abnormal OGTT) as covariates.

^cderived using Cox regression model with discrete time intervals including intervention, strata (ages 8-17 with a confirmed abnormal OGTT/ages 8-17 with an abnormal OGTT that was not confirmed (expanded eligibility criteria)/ 18 or older with a confirmed abnormal OGTT), age and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariates.

Note: Time is calculated from randomization to diabetes onset up to 84 months.

Tabelle 1-3: Time to Diabetes Onset up to 84 Months (Months) – Per Gender

Time to Diabetes Onset (Months)	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Number of patients with event	13 (52.0)	11 (64.7)	7 (36.8)	12 (80.0)
Number of patients who are censored	12 (48.0)	6 (35.3)	12 (63.2)	3 (20.0)
25% quantile time to first event (months) (95% CI) ^a	25.86 (6.44 to 44.25)	9.46 (2.73 to 30.49)	24.84 (9.46 to 43.27)	5.55 (3.22 to 17.68)
Median time to first event (months) (95% CI) ^a	49.54 (25.86 to NE)	30.52 (5.36 to 60.45)	43.27 (24.84 to NE)	19.06 (4.50 to 48.59)
75% quantile time to first event (months) (95% CI) ^a	NE (49.54 to NE)	60.45 (30.49 to NE)	NE (43.27 to NE)	48.59 (19.06 to NE)
Unstratified Log-Rank test p-value ^a	0.1407	-	0.0229	-
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) vs. placebo ^b	0.59 (0.26 to 1.35)		0.21 (0.07 to 0.66)	
p-value for Hazard Ratio ^b	0.2123		0.0073	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^c				0.2144

^aderived from Kaplan-Meier estimates for each modality of subgroup.

^bderived using two Cox regression models for each modality of subgroup with discrete time intervals including intervention, strata (ages 8-17 with a confirmed abnormal OGTT/ages 8-17 with an abnormal OGTT that was not confirmed (expanded eligibility criteria)/ 18 or older with a confirmed abnormal OGTT) and age as covariates.

^cderived using Cox regression model with discrete time intervals including intervention, strata (ages 8-17 with a confirmed abnormal OGTT/ages 8-17 with an abnormal OGTT that was not confirmed (expanded eligibility criteria)/ 18 or older with a confirmed abnormal OGTT), age, subgroup and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariates.

Note: Time is calculated from randomization to diabetes onset up to 84 months.

Tabelle 1-4: Time to Diabetes Onset up to 84 Months (Months) – Per C-peptide level

Time to Diabetes Onset (Months)	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		≥ Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Number of patients with event	9 (45.0)	15 (83.3)	11 (45.8)	8 (57.1)
Number of patients who are censored	11 (55.0)	3 (16.7)	13 (54.2)	6 (42.9)
25% quantile time to first event (months) (95% CI) ^a	25.86 (9.46 to 33.45)	4.50 (2.73 to 11.79)	21.78 (6.44 to 49.54)	13.93 (4.01 to 48.59)
Median time to first event (months) (95% CI) ^a	43.27 (25.86 to NE)	12.42 (4.50 to 30.52)	60.42 (24.84 to NE)	48.59 (6.28 to NE)
75% quantile time to first event (months) (95% CI) ^a	NE (33.45 to NE)	30.52 (12.42 to NE)	NE (60.42 to NE)	NE (42.64 to NE)
Unstratified Log-Rank test p-value ^a	0.0012	-	0.4369	-
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) vs. placebo ^b	0.24 (0.10 to 0.60)		0.72 (0.26 to 1.94)	
p-value for Hazard Ratio ^b	0.0023		0.5133	

Time to Diabetes Onset (Months)	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		≥ Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^c				0.0789

^aderived from Kaplan-Meier estimates for each modality of subgroup.

^bderived using two Cox regression models for each modality of subgroup with discrete time intervals including intervention, strata (ages 8-17 with a confirmed abnormal OGTT/ages 8-17 with an abnormal OGTT that was not confirmed (expanded eligibility criteria)/ 18 or older with a confirmed abnormal OGTT) and age as covariates.

^cderived using Cox regression model with discrete time intervals including intervention, strata (ages 8-17 with a confirmed abnormal OGTT/ages 8-17 with an abnormal OGTT that was not confirmed (expanded eligibility criteria)/ 18 or older with a confirmed abnormal OGTT), age, subgroup and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariates.

Note: Time is calculated from randomization to diabetes onset up to 84 months.

1.2 Studie TN-10 – Subgruppenanalysen für die kontinuierlichen Analysen

1.2.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups - ITT population

Tabelle 1-5: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups - ITT population

Efficacy endpoint	Subgroup	Overall treatment-by-subgroup at month 24 p-value
Mean C-peptide (nmol/L) AUC in 2-hour OGTT / Month 24	Age (years) (< 18 , >= 18)	0.9572
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.1692
	C-peptide level (< Median , >= Median)	0.8899
C-peptide (nmol/L) ln(AUC + 1) by treatment and time window from the 2-year model / Month 24	Age (years) (< 18 , >= 18)	0.8301
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.1744
	C-peptide level (< Median , >= Median)	0.7061

Overall treatment-by-subgroup p-values derived from MMRM model with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, subgroup, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-subgroup interaction and treatment-by-subgroup-by-visit interaction as covariates

1.2.2 Change from baseline in mean C-peptide AUC in 2-hour OGTT at month 24 (MMRM) – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 1-6: Change from baseline in mean C-peptide AUC in 2-hour OGTT at month 24 – Per Age

Mean C-peptide (nmol/L) AUC in 2-hour OGTT (MMRM)	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Baseline				
Number	29	26	15	6
Mean (SD)	1.83 (0.78)	1.84 (0.67)	2.26 (0.92)	2.10 (0.98)
Month 24				
Value				
Number	17	10	13	5
Mean (SD)	1.95 (0.79)	1.94 (0.63)	2.39 (0.98)	1.89 (1.08)
Change from baseline				
Number	17	10	13	5
Mean (SD)	0.36 (0.49)	-0.07 (0.59)	0.03 (0.88)	-0.28 (0.51)
LS Mean (SE) ^a	0.15 (0.10)	-0.06 (0.13)	-0.05 (0.16)	-0.11 (0.27)
LS Mean Diff vs. Placebo (95% CI) ^a	-0.22 (-0.55 to 0.12)		-0.07 (-0.73 to 0.60)	
P-value vs. Placebo ^a	0.1972		0.8339	
Hedges'g vs. Placebo (95% CI)	-0.51 (-1.29 to 0.27)	-	-0.11 (-1.21 to 0.99)	-
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9572

Mean C-peptide (nmol/L) AUC in 2-hour OGTT (MMRM)	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		≥ 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
^a Derived from two MMRM models for each modality of subgroup with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction and treatment-by-visit interaction as covariates.				
^b Derived from MMRM model with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, subgroup, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-subgroup interaction and treatment-by-subgroup-by-visit interaction as covariates.				
Note: No imputation was used for missing values.				

Tabelle 1-7: Change from baseline in mean C-peptide AUC in 2-hour OGTT at month 24 – Per Gender

Mean C-peptide (nmol/L) AUC in 2-hour OGTT (MMRM)	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Baseline				
Number	25	17	19	15
Mean (SD)	1.94 (0.83)	1.74 (0.81)	2.03 (0.89)	2.06 (0.58)
Month 24				
Value				
Number	18	9	12	6
Mean (SD)	2.30 (1.04)	1.96 (0.81)	1.90 (0.55)	1.87 (0.77)
Change from baseline				
Number	18	9	12	6
Mean (SD)	0.37 (0.60)	-0.08 (0.59)	-0.02 (0.78)	-0.22 (0.54)
LS Mean (SE) ^a	0.33 (0.11)	-0.13 (0.15)	-0.21 (0.16)	-0.06 (0.21)
LS Mean Diff vs. Placebo (95% CI) ^a	-0.46 (-0.83 to -0.09)		0.15 (-0.38 to 0.68)	
P-value vs. Placebo ^a	0.0153		0.5613	
Hedges'g vs. Placebo (95% CI)	-1.01 (-1.81 to -0.21)	-	0.28 (-0.70 to 1.26)	-
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.1692

^a Derived from two MMRM models for each modality of subgroup with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction and treatment-by-visit interaction as covariates.

^b Derived from MMRM model with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, subgroup, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-subgroup interaction and treatment-by-subgroup-by-visit interaction as covariates.

Note: No imputation was used for missing values.

Tabelle 1-8: Change from baseline in mean C-peptide AUC in 2-hour OGTT at month 24 – Per C-peptide level

Mean C-peptide (nmol/L) AUC in 2-hour OGTT (MMRM)	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		>= Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Baseline				
Number	20	18	24	14
Mean (SD)	1.38 (0.30)	1.38 (0.34)	2.48 (0.83)	2.56 (0.50)
Month 24				
Value				
Number	14	6	16	9
Mean (SD)	1.57 (0.35)	1.20 (0.25)	2.64 (0.92)	2.40 (0.59)
Change from baseline				
Number	14	6	16	9
Mean (SD)	0.23 (0.37)	-0.02 (0.41)	0.20 (0.89)	-0.22 (0.65)
LS Mean (SE) ^a	0.16 (0.08)	-0.07 (0.13)	0.03 (0.17)	-0.23 (0.22)
LS Mean Diff vs. Placebo (95% CI) ^a	-0.23 (-0.53 to 0.07)		-0.27 (-0.84 to 0.30)	
P-value vs. Placebo ^a	0.1303		0.3446	
Hedges'g vs. Placebo (95% CI)	-0.76 (-1.75 to 0.24)	-	-0.39 (-1.22 to 0.44)	-
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.8899

^a Derived from two MMRM models for each modality of subgroup with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction and treatment-by-visit interaction as covariates.

^b Derived from MMRM model with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, subgroup, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-subgroup interaction and treatment-by-subgroup-by-visit interaction as covariates.
Note: No imputation was used for missing values.

1.2.3 C-peptide $\ln(\text{AUC} + 1)$ by treatment and time window from the 2-year model at month 24 (MMRM) – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 1-9: C-peptide $\ln(\text{AUC} + 1)$ by treatment and time window from the 2-year model at month 24 – Per Age

C-peptide (nmol/L) $\ln(\text{AUC} + 1)$ by treatment and time window from the 2-year model (MMRM)	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Baseline				
Number	29	26	15	6
Mean (SD)	1.01 (0.25)	1.02 (0.24)	1.15 (0.26)	1.09 (0.29)
Month 24				
Value				

C-peptide (nmol/L) ln(AUC + 1) by treatment and time window from the 2-year model (MMRM)	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		≥ 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Number	17	10	13	5
Mean (SD)	1.05 (0.25)	1.06 (0.21)	1.18 (0.28)	1.01 (0.37)
LS Mean (SE) ^a	1.08 (0.03)	1.00 (0.04)	1.14 (0.05)	1.10 (0.09)
LS Mean Diff vs. Placebo (95% CI) ^a	-0.08 (-0.18 to 0.03)		-0.05 (-0.26 to 0.17)	
P-value vs. Placebo ^a	0.1366		0.6581	
Hedges'g vs. Placebo (95% CI)	-0.59 (-1.37 to 0.19)		-0.24 (-1.35 to 0.88)	
Change from baseline				
Number	17	10	13	5
Mean (SD)	0.12 (0.17)	-0.01 (0.20)	0.00 (0.24)	-0.10 (0.15)
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.8301

^a Derived from two MMRM models for each modality of subgroup with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction and treatment-by-visit interaction as covariates.

^b Derived from MMRM model with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, subgroup, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-subgroup interaction and treatment-by-subgroup-by-visit interaction as covariates.

Note: No imputation was used for missing values.

Tabelle 1-10: C-peptide ln(AUC + 1) by treatment and time window from the 2-year model at month 24 – Per Gender

C-peptide (nmol/L) ln(AUC + 1) by treatment and time window from the 2-year model (MMRM)	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Baseline				
Number	25	17	19	15
Mean (SD)	1.04 (0.27)	0.97 (0.28)	1.07 (0.26)	1.10 (0.20)
Month 24				
Value				
Number	18	9	12	6
Mean (SD)	1.15 (0.30)	1.05 (0.28)	1.05 (0.19)	1.02 (0.26)
LS Mean (SE) ^a	1.14 (0.03)	1.00 (0.04)	1.02 (0.05)	1.06 (0.07)
LS Mean Diff vs. Placebo (95% CI) ^a	-0.15 (-0.26 to -0.03)		0.04 (-0.13 to 0.21)	
P-value vs. Placebo ^a	0.0120		0.6191	
Hedges'g vs. Placebo (95% CI)	-1.05 (-1.85 to -0.25)		0.24 (-0.74 to 1.22)	
Change from baseline				
Number	18	9	12	6

C-peptide (nmol/L) ln(AUC + 1) by treatment and time window from the 2-year model (MMRM)	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Mean (SD)	0.12 (0.18)	-0.01 (0.19)	0.00 (0.24)	-0.08 (0.18)
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.1744

^a Derived from two MMRM models for each modality of subgroup with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction and treatment-by-visit interaction as covariates.

^b Derived from MMRM model with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, subgroup, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-subgroup interaction and treatment-by-subgroup-by-visit interaction as covariates. Note: No imputation was used for missing values.

Tabelle 1-11: C-peptide ln(AUC + 1) by treatment and time window from the 2-year model at month 24 – Per C-peptide level

C-peptide (nmol/L) ln(AUC + 1) by treatment and time window from the 2-year model (MMRM)	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		≥ Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Baseline				
Number	20	18	24	14
Mean (SD)	0.86 (0.14)	0.86 (0.15)	1.22 (0.22)	1.26 (0.14)
Month 24				
Value				
Number	14	6	16	9
Mean (SD)	0.93 (0.13)	0.78 (0.11)	1.26 (0.26)	1.21 (0.18)
LS Mean (SE) ^a	0.91 (0.03)	0.82 (0.05)	1.24 (0.05)	1.17 (0.07)
LS Mean Diff vs. Placebo (95% CI) ^a	-0.09 (-0.21 to 0.03)		-0.07 (-0.24 to 0.10)	
P-value vs. Placebo ^a	0.1258		0.4043	
Hedges'g vs. Placebo (95% CI)	-0.77 (-1.76 to 0.23)		-0.34 (-1.17 to 0.49)	
Change from baseline				
Number	14	6	16	9
Mean (SD)	0.10 (0.15)	-0.00 (0.19)	0.05 (0.25)	-0.06 (0.19)
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.7061

^a Derived from two MMRM models for each modality of subgroup with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction and treatment-by-visit interaction as covariates.

^b Derived from MMRM model with change from baseline up to month 24 as the response variable and corresponding baseline value, treatment group, subgroup, onset of diabetes, visit, baseline-by-visit interaction, Onset-of-diabetes-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-visit interaction, treatment-by-subgroup interaction and treatment-by-subgroup-by-visit interaction as covariates. Note: No imputation was used for missing values.

2 Studie TN-10 – Sicherheit und Verträglichkeit – Safety Population

2.1 Studie TN-10 – Gesamtraten der UE – Subgruppenanalysen

2.1.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups

Tabelle 2-1: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups

Safety endpoint	Subgroup	Overall treatment-by-subgroup p-value
Any TEAE	Age (years) (< 18 , >= 18)	<.0001
	Gender (Female , Male)	<.0001
	C-peptide level (< Median , >= Median)	<.0001
Any grade >= 3 TEAE	Age (years) (< 18 , >= 18)	0.9773
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.9782
	C-peptide level (< Median , >= Median)	0.5864

Overall treatment-by-subgroup p-values derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

2.1.2 Any TEAE – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 2-2: Any TEAE – Per Age

Safety Any TEAE	population	Age (years)			
		< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
		Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Any TEAE [n (%)]					
Patients with any events [n(%)]		28 (96.6)	17 (65.4)	15 (100)	5 (83.3)
Patients without events [n(%)]		1 (3.4)	9 (34.6)	0	1 (16.7)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		4.55 (0.99 to 21.00)	-	2.25 (0.11 to 46.95)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a		0.0519		0.5831	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		1.13 (NE to NE)	-	1.05 (NE to NE)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)		0.88 (NE to NE)	-	0.95 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a		NE		NE	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		31.17 (11.26 to 51.08)	-	16.67 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a		0.0028		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b					<.0001

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Tabelle 2-3: Any TEAE – Per Gender

Safety Any TEAE	population	Gender			
		Male (N=42)	Placebo (N=17)	Female (N=34)	Placebo (N=15)
Any TEAE [n (%)]					
Patients with any events [n(%)]		25 (100)	12 (70.6)	18 (94.7)	10 (66.7)
Patients without events [n(%)]		0	5 (29.4)	1 (5.3)	5 (33.3)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		4.18 (0.66 to 26.60)	-	3.92 (0.57 to 26.70)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a		0.1260		0.1571	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		1.11 (NE to NE)	-	1.12 (NE to NE)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)		0.90 (NE to NE)	-	0.89 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a		NE		NE	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		29.41 (NE to NE)	-	28.07 (1.17 to 54.97)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a		<0.0001		0.0413	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b					<.0001

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Tabelle 2-4: Any TEAE – Per C-peptide level

Safety Any TEAE	population	C-peptide level			
		< Median (N=38)	Placebo (N=18)	>= Median (N=38)	Placebo (N=14)
Any TEAE [n (%)]					
Patients with any events [n(%)]		20 (100)	11 (61.1)	23 (95.8)	11 (78.6)
Patients without events [n(%)]		0	7 (38.9)	1 (4.2)	3 (21.4)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		6.63 (0.96 to 46.00)	-	2.32 (0.33 to 16.24)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a		0.0553		0.3878	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		1.17 (NE to NE)	-	1.06 (NE to NE)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)		0.85 (NE to NE)	-	0.94 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a		NE		NE	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		38.89 (NE to NE)	-	17.26 (-6.47 to 40.99)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a		<0.0001		0.1488	

Safety	population	C-peptide level			
		< Median (N=38)		>= Median (N=38)	
Any TEAE		Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b					<.0001

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

2.1.3 Any grade >=3 TEAE – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 2-5: Any grade >=3 TEAE – Per Age

Safety	population	Age (years)			
		< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
Any grade		Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Any grade >= 3 TEAE [n (%)]					
Patients with any events [n(%)]		13 (44.8)	3 (11.5)	13 (86.7)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]		16 (55.2)	23 (88.5)	2 (13.3)	6 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		5.05 (1.27 to 20.05)	-	67.72 (2.06 to 2228.14)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a		0.0223		0.0206	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a				33.12 (4.94 to 221.98)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)				0.03 (0.00 to 0.20)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio				0.0003	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		2.28 (1.40 to 3.72)	-	11.81 (0.81 to 172.16)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)		0.44 (0.27 to 0.71)	-	0.08 (0.01 to 1.23)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a		0.0009		0.0709	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		33.29 (10.91 to 55.67)	-	86.67 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a		0.0043		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b					0.9773

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Tabelle 2-6: Any grade ≥ 3 TEAE – Per Gender

Safety Any grade	population	Gender			
		Male (N=42)	Placebo (N=17)	Female (N=34)	Placebo (N=15)
Any grade ≥ 3 TEAE [n (%)]					
Patients with any events [n(%)]		14 (56.0)	0	12 (63.2)	3 (20.0)
Patients without events [n(%)]		11 (44.0)	17 (100)	7 (36.8)	12 (80.0)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		15.24 (2.11 to 109.90)	-	8.16 (1.54 to 43.14)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a		0.0081		0.0151	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		11.70 (3.22 to 42.58)	-		
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)		0.09 (0.02 to 0.31)	-		
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio		0.0002			
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		20.08 (1.28 to 315.44)	-	1.82 (1.19 to 2.80)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)		0.05 (0.00 to 0.78)	-	0.55 (0.36 to 0.84)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a		0.0328		0.0061	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		56.00 (NE to NE)	-	43.16 (12.32 to 73.99)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a		<0.0001		0.0076	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b					0.9782

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Tabelle 2-7: Any grade ≥ 3 TEAE – Per C-peptide level

Safety Any grade	population	C-peptide level			
		< Median (N=38)	Placebo (N=18)	\geq Median (N=38)	Placebo (N=14)
Any grade ≥ 3 TEAE [n (%)]					
Patients with any events [n(%)]		10 (50.0)	1 (5.6)	16 (66.7)	2 (14.3)
Patients without events [n(%)]		10 (50.0)	17 (94.4)	8 (33.3)	12 (85.7)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		8.69 (1.42 to 53.29)	-	12.78 (2.19 to 74.59)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a		0.0209		0.0059	

Safety Any grade	population	C-peptide level			
		< Median (N=38)	Placebo (N=18)	>= Median (N=38)	Placebo (N=14)
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		3.85 (1.65 to 8.97)	-	2.33 (1.32 to 4.10)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)		0.26 (0.11 to 0.61)	-	0.43 (0.24 to 0.76)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a		0.0018		0.0034	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a		44.44 (19.26 to 69.62)	-	52.38 (25.17 to 79.59)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a		0.0010		0.0004	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b					0.5864

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

2.2 Studie TN-10 – Jegliche UE nach SOC und PT – Subgruppenanalysen

2.2.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups

Tabelle 2-8: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups

Safety endpoint	Subgroup	Overall treatment-by-subgroup p-value
TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia	Age (years) (< 18 , >= 18)	0.9788
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.9861
	C-peptide level (< Median , >= Median)	0.9702
TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Age (years) (< 18 , >= 18)	0.9776
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.9724
	C-peptide level (< Median , >= Median)	0.3287
TEAE - SOC=Infections and infestations	Age (years) (< 18 , >= 18)	0.7805
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.8679
	C-peptide level (< Median , >= Median)	0.3339
TEAE - SOC=Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	Age (years) (< 18 , >= 18)	0.9812
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.7214
	C-peptide level (< Median , >= Median)	0.7067

Overall treatment-by-subgroup p-values derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

2.2.2 Any TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 2-9: Any TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia – Per Age

Safety population Lymphopenia	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Lymphopenia [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	19 (65.5)	2 (7.7)	13 (86.7)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	10 (34.5)	24 (92.3)	2 (13.3)	6 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	16.65 (3.81 to 72.85)	-	67.72 (2.06 to 2228.14)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0003		0.0206	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a			33.12 (4.94 to 221.98)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)			0.03 (0.00 to 0.20)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio			0.0003	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	3.51 (1.93 to 6.36)	-	11.81 (0.81 to 172.16)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.28 (0.16 to 0.52)	-	0.08 (0.01 to 1.23)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	<0.0001		0.0709	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	57.82 (37.25 to 78.40)	-	86.67 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	

Medizinischer Nutzen, medizinischer Zusatznutzen, Patientengruppen mit therap. bedeutsamem Zusatznutzen

Safety population	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
Lymphopenia	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9788

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-10: Any TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia – Per Gender

Safety population	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
Lymphopenia	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Lymphopenia [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	17 (68.0)	1 (5.9)	15 (78.9)	1 (6.7)
Patients without events [n(%)]	8 (32.0)	16 (94.1)	4 (21.1)	14 (93.3)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	20.52 (3.29 to 127.94)	-	33.64 (4.28 to 264.07)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0018		0.0015	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	4.20 (1.81 to 9.73)	-	4.10 (1.75 to 9.59)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.24 (0.10 to 0.55)	-	0.24 (0.10 to 0.57)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0008		0.0011	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	62.12 (40.01 to 84.22)	-	72.28 (49.15 to 95.41)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9861

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-11: Any TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia – Per C-peptide level

Safety population Lymphopenia	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		>= Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Lymphopenia [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	12 (60.0)	2 (11.1)	20 (83.3)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	8 (40.0)	16 (88.9)	4 (16.7)	14 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	10.78 (1.98 to 58.82)	-	57.58 (5.89 to 563.29)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0073		0.0009	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a			25.91 (7.04 to 95.32)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)			0.04 (0.01 to 0.14)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio			<0.0001	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	2.66 (1.47 to 4.80)	-	24.60 (1.60 to 377.72)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.38 (0.21 to 0.68)	-	0.04 (0.00 to 0.62)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0012		0.0216	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	48.89 (22.07 to 75.71)	-	83.33 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	0.0007		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9702

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

2.2.3 Any TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 2-12: Any TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per Age

Safety population	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		≥ 18 (N=21)	
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	20 (69.0)	4 (15.4)	13 (86.7)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	9 (31.0)	22 (84.6)	2 (13.3)	6 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	13.55 (3.47 to 52.85)	-	67.72 (2.06 to 2228.14)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0003		0.0206	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a			33.12 (4.94 to 221.98)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)			0.03 (0.00 to 0.20)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio			0.0003	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	2.24 (1.52 to 3.31)	-	11.81 (0.81 to 172.16)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.45 (0.30 to 0.66)	-	0.08 (0.01 to 1.23)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	<0.0001		0.0709	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	53.58 (31.26 to 75.90)	-	86.67 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9776

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-13: Any TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per Gender

Safety population	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	18 (72.0)	2 (11.8)	15 (78.9)	2 (13.3)

Safety population	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Patients without events [n(%)]	7 (28.0)	15 (88.2)	4 (21.1)	13 (86.7)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	18.72 (3.39 to 103.40)	-	24.32 (3.62 to 163.40)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0013		0.0018	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	2.73 (1.53 to 4.88)	-	2.59 (1.46 to 4.59)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.37 (0.20 to 0.65)	-	0.39 (0.22 to 0.69)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0007		0.0012	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	60.24 (36.18 to 84.29)	-	65.61 (39.49 to 91.74)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9724

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-14: Any TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per C-peptide level

Safety population	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		≥ Median (N=38)	
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	13 (65.0)	3 (16.7)	20 (83.3)	1 (7.1)
Patients without events [n(%)]	7 (35.0)	15 (83.3)	4 (16.7)	13 (92.9)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	10.49 (2.10 to 52.56)	-	40.68 (5.20 to 318.17)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0054		0.0008	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	2.08 (1.33 to 3.25)	-	3.99 (1.71 to 9.31)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.48 (0.31 to 0.75)	-	0.25 (0.11 to 0.58)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0014		0.0014	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	48.33 (20.31 to 76.36)	-	76.19 (55.38 to 97.00)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	0.0013		<0.0001	

Medizinischer Nutzen, medizinischer Zusatznutzen, Patientengruppen mit therap. bedeutsamem Zusatznutzen

Safety population	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		>= Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders				
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.3287

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

2.2.4 Any TEAE - SOC=Infections and infestations – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 2-15: Any TEAE - SOC=Infections and infestations – Per Age

Safety population	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Infections and infestations				
Infections and infestations [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	12 (41.4)	6 (23.1)	11 (73.3)	2 (33.3)
Patients without events [n(%)]	17 (58.6)	20 (76.9)	4 (26.7)	4 (66.7)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	2.44 (0.71 to 8.30)	-	7.00 (0.75 to 65.67)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.1513		0.0848	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	1.39 (1.02 to 1.89)	-	1.42 (0.93 to 2.16)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.72 (0.53 to 0.99)	-	0.71 (0.46 to 1.08)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0399		0.1053	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	18.30 (-6.42 to 43.02)	-	40.00 (-6.84 to 86.84)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	0.1435		0.0898	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.7805

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-16: Any TEAE - SOC=Infections and infestations – Per Gender

Safety population Infections and infestations	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Infections and infestations [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	13 (52.0)	4 (23.5)	10 (52.6)	4 (26.7)
Patients without events [n(%)]	12 (48.0)	13 (76.5)	9 (47.4)	11 (73.3)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	3.99 (0.95 to 16.85)	-	3.54 (0.76 to 16.54)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0590		0.1052	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	1.52 (1.06 to 2.17)	-	1.42 (1.00 to 2.00)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.66 (0.46 to 0.94)	-	0.71 (0.50 to 1.00)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0215		0.0489	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	28.47 (-0.51 to 57.46)	-	25.96 (-6.98 to 58.91)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	0.0540		0.1182	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.8679

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-17: Any TEAE - SOC=Infections and infestations – Per C-peptide level

Safety population Infections and infestations	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		≥ Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Infections and infestations [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	10 (50.0)	3 (16.7)	13 (54.2)	5 (35.7)
Patients without events [n(%)]	10 (50.0)	15 (83.3)	11 (45.8)	9 (64.3)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	5.06 (1.05 to 24.44)	-	2.45 (0.59 to 10.15)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0440		0.2080	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	1.87 (1.18 to 2.96)	-	1.22 (0.93 to 1.58)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.54 (0.34 to 0.85)	-	0.82 (0.63 to 1.07)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0078		0.1451	

Safety population	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		>= Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Infections and infestations				
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	33.33 (4.50 to 62.17)	-	18.45 (-14.71 to 51.62)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	0.0247		0.2666	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.3339

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

2.2.5 Any TEAE - SOC=Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 2-18: Any TEAE - SOC=Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders – Per Age

Safety population	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders				
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	14 (48.3)	3 (11.5)	6 (40.0)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	15 (51.7)	23 (88.5)	9 (60.0)	6 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	5.97 (1.51 to 23.64)	-	7.00 (0.25 to 195.02)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0119		0.2360	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a			6.47 (0.84 to 49.99)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)			0.15 (0.02 to 1.19)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio			0.0736	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	2.36 (1.46 to 3.83)	-	5.69 (0.37 to 87.72)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.42 (0.26 to 0.69)	-	0.18 (0.01 to 2.71)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0005		0.2130	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	36.74 (14.28 to 59.19)	-	40.00 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	0.0018		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9812

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Medizinischer Nutzen, medizinischer Zusatznutzen, Patientengruppen mit therap. bedeutsamem Zusatznutzen

Safety population Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-19: Any TEAE - SOC=Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders – Per Gender

Safety population Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	12 (48.0)	2 (11.8)	8 (42.1)	1 (6.7)
Patients without events [n(%)]	13 (52.0)	15 (88.2)	11 (57.9)	14 (93.3)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	5.83 (1.12 to 30.28)	-	5.61 (0.80 to 39.06)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0367		0.0799	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	2.33 (1.29 to 4.20)	-	3.18 (1.34 to 7.57)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.43 (0.24 to 0.78)	-	0.31 (0.13 to 0.75)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0052		0.0088	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	36.24 (10.60 to 61.87)	-	35.44 (8.90 to 61.98)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	0.0068		0.0105	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.7214

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-20: Any TEAE - SOC=Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders – Per C-peptide level

Safety population	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		≥ Median (N=38)	
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	9 (45.0)	2 (11.1)	11 (45.8)	1 (7.1)
Patients without events [n(%)]	11 (55.0)	16 (88.9)	13 (54.2)	13 (92.9)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	5.20 (0.96 to 28.05)	-	6.57 (0.96 to 44.70)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0550		0.0543	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	2.35 (1.28 to 4.30)	-	3.17 (1.35 to 7.48)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.43 (0.23 to 0.78)	-	0.32 (0.13 to 0.74)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0056		0.0083	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	33.89 (6.78 to 60.99)	-	38.69 (13.78 to 63.60)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	0.0157		0.0033	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.7067

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

2.3 Studie TN-10 – Schwere UE (CTCAE-Grad ≥ 3) nach SOC und PT – Subgruppenanalysen

2.3.1 Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups

Tabelle 2-21: Summary table of treatment-by-subgroup p-value for all subgroups

Safety endpoint	Subgroup	Overall treatment-by-subgroup p-value
Grade 3 TEAE - PT=Lymphopenia	Age (years) (< 18 , \geq 18)	0.9993
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.9998
	C-peptide level (< Median , \geq Median)	0.9999
Grade 3 TEAE - SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Age (years) (< 18 , \geq 18)	0.9993
	Gender (Female , Male)	0.9998
	C-peptide level (< Median , \geq Median)	0.9999

Overall treatment-by-subgroup p-values derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

2.3.2 Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – PT= Lymphopenia – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 2-22: Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – PT= Lymphopenia – Per Age

Safety population Lymphopenia	Age (years)			
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	< 18 (N=55)	\geq 18 (N=21)
			Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Lymphopenia [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	10 (34.5)	0	11 (73.3)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	19 (65.5)	26 (100)	4 (26.7)	6 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	5.35 (1.03 to 27.85)	-	35.41 (1.21 to 1039.27)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0464		0.0396	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	9.74 (2.50 to 37.93)	-	16.44 (2.59 to 104.58)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)	0.10 (0.03 to 0.40)	-	0.06 (0.01 to 0.39)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio	0.0010		0.0030	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	18.90 (1.16 to 307.40)	-	10.06 (0.68 to 148.02)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.05 (0.00 to 0.86)	-	0.10 (0.01 to 1.46)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0389		0.0923	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	34.48 (NE to NE)	-	73.33 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9993

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Safety population Lymphopenia	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		≥ 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-23: Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – PT= Lymphopenia – Per Gender

Safety population Lymphopenia	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Lymphopenia [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	11 (44.0)	0	10 (52.6)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	14 (56.0)	17 (100)	9 (47.4)	15 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	8.50 (1.18 to 61.31)	-	12.94 (1.51 to 110.53)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0345		0.0209	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	9.23 (2.31 to 36.84)	-	11.71 (2.71 to 50.61)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)	0.11 (0.03 to 0.43)	-	0.09 (0.02 to 0.37)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio	0.0017		0.0010	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	15.92 (1.00 to 253.33)	-	16.80 (1.06 to 265.39)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.06 (0.00 to 1.00)	-	0.06 (0.00 to 0.94)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0499		0.0451	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	44.00 (NE to NE)	-	52.63 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9998

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-24: Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – PT= Lymphopenia – Per C-peptide level

Safety population	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		>= Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Lymphopenia				
Lymphopenia [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	9 (45.0)	0	12 (50.0)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	11 (55.0)	18 (100)	12 (50.0)	14 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	8.92 (1.23 to 64.51)	-	11.38 (1.32 to 98.16)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0311		0.0280	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	11.29 (2.58 to 49.51)	-	9.52 (2.35 to 38.57)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)	0.09 (0.02 to 0.39)	-	0.11 (0.03 to 0.43)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio	0.0013		0.0016	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	17.19 (1.07 to 275.79)	-	15.00 (0.96 to 235.41)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.06 (0.00 to 0.93)	-	0.07 (0.00 to 1.05)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0446		0.0539	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	45.00 (NE to NE)	-	50.00 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9999

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

2.3.3 Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Subgroup Analyses

Tabelle 2-25: Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per Age

Safety population	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		>= 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders				
Blood and lymphatic system disorders [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	10 (34.5)	0	11 (73.3)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	19 (65.5)	26 (100)	4 (26.7)	6 (100)

Safety population Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Age (years)			
	< 18 (N=55)		≥ 18 (N=21)	
	Teplizumab (N=29)	Placebo (N=26)	Teplizumab (N=15)	Placebo (N=6)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	5.35 (1.03 to 27.85)	-	35.41 (1.21 to 1039.27)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0464		0.0396	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	9.74 (2.50 to 37.93)	-	16.44 (2.59 to 104.58)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)	0.10 (0.03 to 0.40)	-	0.06 (0.01 to 0.39)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio	0.0010		0.0030	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	18.90 (1.16 to 307.40)	-	10.06 (0.68 to 148.02)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.05 (0.00 to 0.86)	-	0.10 (0.01 to 1.46)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0389		0.0923	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	34.48 (NE to NE)	-	73.33 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9993

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-26: Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per Gender

Safety population Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	11 (44.0)	0	10 (52.6)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	14 (56.0)	17 (100)	9 (47.4)	15 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	8.50 (1.18 to 61.31)	-	12.94 (1.51 to 110.53)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0345		0.0209	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	9.23 (2.31 to 36.84)	-	11.71 (2.71 to 50.61)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)	0.11 (0.03 to 0.43)	-	0.09 (0.02 to 0.37)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio	0.0017		0.0010	

Safety population	Gender			
	Male (N=42)		Female (N=34)	
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Teplizumab (N=25)	Placebo (N=17)	Teplizumab (N=19)	Placebo (N=15)
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	15.92 (1.00 to 253.33)	-	16.80 (1.06 to 265.39)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.06 (0.00 to 1.00)	-	0.06 (0.00 to 0.94)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0499		0.0451	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	44.00 (NE to NE)	-	52.63 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9998

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.

Tabelle 2-27: Grade ≥ 3 TEAE – SOC=Blood and lymphatic system disorders – Per C-peptide levels

Safety population	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		>= Median (N=38)	
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders [n (%)]				
Patients with any events [n(%)]	9 (45.0)	0	12 (50.0)	0
Patients without events [n(%)]	11 (55.0)	18 (100)	12 (50.0)	14 (100)
Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	8.92 (1.23 to 64.51)	-	11.38 (1.32 to 98.16)	-
p-value for Odds Ratio ^a	0.0311		0.0280	
Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	11.29 (2.58 to 49.51)	-	9.52 (2.35 to 38.57)	-
Reversed Peto Odds Ratio (95% CI)	0.09 (0.02 to 0.39)	-	0.11 (0.03 to 0.43)	-
p-value for Peto Odds Ratio	0.0013		0.0016	
Risk Ratio (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	17.19 (1.07 to 275.79)	-	15.00 (0.96 to 235.41)	-
Reversed Risk ratio (95% CI)	0.06 (0.00 to 0.93)	-	0.07 (0.00 to 1.05)	-
p-value for Risk Ratio ^a	0.0446		0.0539	
Risk Difference (95% CI) vs Placebo ^a	45.00 (NE to NE)	-	50.00 (NE to NE)	-
p-value for Risk Difference ^a	<0.0001		<0.0001	
Treatment-by-subgroup p-value ^b				0.9999

Safety population	C-peptide level			
	< Median (N=38)		>= Median (N=38)	
	Teplizumab (N=20)	Placebo (N=18)	Teplizumab (N=24)	Placebo (N=14)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders				

^a Odds Ratio, Risk ratio, Risk Difference and related p-values are estimated by using a logistic regression with treatment effect for each modality of subgroup. For zero cells, Risk ratio and related p-value is estimated after zero cells correction applied (+0.5 for all cells in 2 x 2 table).

Peto OR calculation is based on Yusuf S et al. (Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1985 Mar-Apr;27(5):335-71) and its p-value is derived using a normal approximation for each modality of subgroup.

^b derived by using a generalized linear mixed model including treatment, subgroup, and treatment-by-subgroup interaction as covariate.

Note: system organ classes (SOCs) and preferred terms are based on MedDRA version 23.0.